

BRENNAN
CENTER
FOR JUSTICE
TWENTY
YEARS

The **Protect -**
Democracy
Project 

at New York University School of Law

Via Certified Mail and Electronic Submission

July 25, 2017

Laurie Day
Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of Information Policy
Department of Justice
Suite 11050
1425 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Nelson D. Hermilla
Chief, FOIA/PA Branch
Civil Rights Division
Department of Justice
BICN Bldg., Room 3234
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Melissa Golden
Lead Paralegal and FOIA Specialist
Office of Legal Counsel
Department of Justice
Room 5511, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request, Request for Expedited Processing and Fee Waiver

Ms. Day, Mr. Hermilla, and Ms. Golden:

This is a request on behalf of the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law and the Protect Democracy Project under the Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”), 5 U.S.C. § 552, to the Department of Justice (“DOJ”) and its offices, divisions, and components, including but not limited to the Office of Information Policy (“OIP”), Civil Rights Division (“CRD”), Office of Legal Counsel (“OLC”), Office of the Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Associate

Attorney General, and Office of the Attorney General. It is also a request for expedited processing under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1), and for a fee waiver under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(a)(4)(A)(ii) & (iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(k).

I. Background

President Trump issued Executive Order 13799, creating a “Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity” (the “Commission”), purportedly “to promote fair and honest Federal elections.” According to the Executive Order, the Commission shall “study the registration and voting processes used in Federal elections... and shall submit a report to the President that identifies... those laws, rules, policies, activities, strategies, and practices” that either “enhance” or “undermine ... the American people’s confidence in the integrity of the voting processes used in Federal elections;” and “those vulnerabilities in voting systems and practices used for Federal elections that could lead to improper voter registrations and improper voting, including fraudulent voter registrations and fraudulent voting.” The Executive Order excludes examination of pressing vulnerabilities in elections systems, like the nation’s aging voting equipment, and fails to reference any investigation into voter suppression efforts or voter turnout issues.

The Commission is chaired by the Vice President of the United States and, per the Executive Order, “shall be informed by, and shall strive to avoid duplicating, the efforts of existing government entities.”

The Order also provides for “staff to provide support for [the Commission’s] functions.” Further, “subject to the availability of appropriations, the General Services Administration shall provide the Commission with such administrative services, funds, facilities, staff, equipment, and other support services as may be necessary to carry out its mission on a reimbursable basis.”

On May 15, 2017, the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law and The Protect Democracy Project submitted a FOIA request (“Initial FOIA Request”) to DOJ addressed to OIP, CRD, and OLC, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

CRD confirmed its receipt of the Initial FOIA Request as of May 16, 2017 and assigned it tracking number FOI/PA No. 17-00286-F.

OIP confirmed its receipt of the Initial FOIA Request as of May 24, 2017 and assigned it tracking numbers DOJ-2017-004291, DOJ-2017-004292, and DOJ-2017-004293.

OLC confirmed receipt of the Initial FOIA Request as of June 8, 2017 and assigned it tracking number FY17-218.

On June 28, 2017, the Commission’s vice chair, Kansas Secretary of State Kris Kobach, sent letters to chief state election officials requesting they submit “publicly-available data from state voter rolls and feedback on how to improve election integrity” by July 14. The Commission explained that “any documents that are submitted to the full Commission will also be made available to the public.” Civic groups, including the Brennan Center, challenged the

Commission's requests in court,¹ and the Commission subsequently asked states to hold off submitting data pending a court ruling.²

President Trump attended and spoke at the Commission's first meeting, which took place on July 19, 2017. The Commission has scheduled its next meeting for September 2017.

II. Formal Request

The Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law and The Protect Democracy Project request, to the extent the following are in the possession, custody, or control of DOJ, CRD, OLC, or OIP:

1. All communications and documents subject to the Initial FOIA Request created, dated, identified, or modified subsequent to any search previously undertaken by DOJ, CRD, OLC, or OIP in response to the Initial Request.
2. All communications and documents regarding use of the following databases for any purpose related to the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity, whether by any employee of DHS or by any commissioner, officer, agent, employee, or assignee of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity:
 - a. The Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements ("SAVE") program;
 - b. The National Security Entry-Exit Registration Systems ("NSEERS") program;
 - c. Any cross-state voter database programs, including but not limited to the Electronic Registration Information Center ("ERIC") and Interstate Voter Registration Crosscheck ("IVRC") program;
 - d. Any list, program, or other resource that contains or can be used to determine the citizenship status of any individual, including but not limited to resources at the disposal of the Executive Office for Immigration Review ("EOIR");
 - e. Any other federal database for the purpose of matching, verifying, or investigating information on voter registration lists, including all lists to which the Commission was granted access.
3. All communications and documents concerning the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity, including but not limited to emails, memoranda, and letters to state election officials regarding the requests for narrative responses and voter file data sent by the Commission on or around June 28, 2017.

¹ *Legal Actions Taken Against Trump's "Voter Fraud" Commission*, Brennan Center for Justice (updated July 21, 2017), <https://www.brennancenter.org/legal-actions-taken-against-trump%E2%80%99s-%E2%80%9Cfraud%E2%80%9D-commission>.

² Spencer S. Hsu, *Trump voting panel tells states to hold off sending data while court weighs privacy impact*, Wash. Post (July 10, 2017), http://wapo.st/2tBvySS?tid=ss_mail&utm_term=.f7ce56635876.

4. All communications and documents identifying the names and titles of DOJ officers, agents, employees, or assignees on detail or assignment to the Commission, the Executive Office of the President (“EOP”), or other agency or government entity to work with or on behalf of the Commission, including but not limited to memoranda of understanding with the Commission, EOP, or other agency or government entity outlining such individuals’ responsibilities while on detail or assignment.
5. All communications and documents regarding the selection of members of the Commission, including but not limited to selection criteria.
6. All communications and documents regarding the staffing of the Commission, including but not limited to job descriptions, organization charts, and criteria for hiring.
7. All communications and documents regarding DOJ expenditures directly or indirectly related to the Commission and Commission activities.
8. All communications and documents regarding the Commission’s July 19, 2017 meeting, including but not limited to communications and documents concerning the meeting agenda, staffing, location, and budget and any notes or transcripts of the meeting proceedings.
9. All communications and documents concerning the Commission’s research or investigatory activities, including but not limited to communications and documents concerning the Commission’s research methodologies, identification of experts, consultation with experts, and materials reviewed in connection with the Commission’s research and investigatory activities.
10. All communications and documents describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched, and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If DOJ uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

Definitions

As used in this request—

“*Collaborative Work Environment*” means a platform used to create, edit, review, approve, store, organize, share, and access documents and information by and among authorized users, potentially in diverse locations and with different devices. Collaborative Work Environments include Google Docs sites, Microsoft SharePoint sites, eRooms, document management systems (e.g., iManage), intranets, web content management systems (CMS) (e.g., Drupal), wikis, and blogs.

“*Communications*” means disclosure, transfer, or exchange of information or opinion, however made, including any transmission of information by oral, graphic, written, pictorial, electronic, or other perceptible means.

“*Documents*” means all written, printed, or electronically stored information of any kind in the possession, custody, or control of DOJ, including information stored on social media accounts like Twitter or Facebook, chats, instant messages, and documents contained in Collaborative Work Environments and other document databases. The term includes agreements; letters; calendar appointments; telegrams; inter-office communications; memoranda; reports; records; instructions; notes; notebooks; diaries; plans; diagrams; photographs; photocopies; charts; descriptions; drafts, whether or not they resulted in a final document; agendas and minutes of meetings, conferences, and telephone or other conversations or communications; recordings; published or unpublished speeches or articles; publications; transcripts of telephone conversations; phone mail; electronic-mail; and computer print-outs.

“*Including*” means including, but not limited to.

“*Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity*” means the commission created pursuant to the Executive Order on “Establishment of Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity,” signed by President Donald Trump on May 11, 2017, or any effort to establish any task force, commission, or committee, whether through a government agency or not, to investigate voter fraud, vote suppression, or any other aspect of the voting system.

Unless otherwise noted, the request includes documents and communications dated, created, identified, or modified between November 8, 2016 and the date of DOJ’s search.

We request that responsive electronic records be provided electronically, in a text-searchable, static-image (PDF) format (in the best image quality available to the agency), pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)(B) and (C).

III. Request for Expedited Processing

The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project request expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii), (iv). The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project intend to disseminate the information obtained in response to this request to enable the public to effectively monitor, evaluate, participate in, and respond to the work of the Commission, which has begun in earnest. The Commission has attempted to collect and make public voter information from all 50 states, held an introductory meeting led by the Vice President and featuring remarks by the President, and plans to meet again this September. Both the Commission and the issue of voter fraud have generated extensive public interest and media coverage, reflecting the public’s urgent concern about election integrity.³ Accordingly,

³ See, e.g., Ed. Board, *The Bogus Voter-Fraud Commission*, N.Y. Times, Jul. 22, 2017, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/22/opinion/sunday/the-bogus-voter-fraud-commission.html>; Vann R. Newkirk II, *Trump’s Voter-Fraud Commission Has Its First Meeting*, Atlantic, Jul. 19, 2017, <https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2017/07/trumps-voter-fraud-commission-runs-into-a-roadblock/534084/>; Liz Stark & Grace Hauck, *Forty-four states and DC have refused to give certain voter information to Trump commission*, CNN, Jul. 5, 2017, <http://www.cnn.com/2017/07/03/politics/kris-kobach-letter-voter-fraud-commission-information/index.html>; CBS News, *Trump “voter fraud” commission seeking data from*

this request meets the criteria for expedited processing because there is “[a]n urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity” and this request concerns “[a] matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government’s integrity which affect public confidence.” 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii), (iv).

The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project are section 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations that are “primarily engaged in disseminating information” within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The Brennan Center regularly writes and publishes reports and newspaper articles and makes appearances on various media outlets regarding the fight to preserve and expand the right to vote for every eligible citizen. Through practical policy proposals, litigation, advocacy, and communications, the Brennan Center works to ensure that voting is free, fair, and accessible for all Americans.⁴

The core mission of The Protect Democracy Project, a new organization awaiting 501(c)(3) status, is to inform public understanding on operations and activities of the government. This request is submitted in accordance with the organization’s mission to gather and disseminate information that is likely to contribute significantly to the public understanding of executive branch operations and activities. The Protect Democracy Project has routinely demonstrated the ability to disseminate information about its FOIA requests to a wide audience.⁵ The Protect Democracy Project has been recognized as an organization that meets this standard. *Protect Democracy Project, Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of Def.*, No. 17-CV-00842 (CRC), 2017 WL 2992076 (D.D.C. July 13, 2017). The Protect Democracy Project has no commercial interests.

Furthermore, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project urgently require the information sought by this request in order to inform the public of federal government activity. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The information requested herein concerns federal government activity that is of vital interest to the general public. The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project intend to share any new information about the Commission and the integrity of federal elections obtained from this request with the public.

In order to adequately inform the public and to monitor the Commission, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project need this information expeditiously. The information

all states, June 30, 2017, <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-voter-fraud-commission-seeking-voter-data-from-all-states/>; Ali Vitali, Peter Alexander & Kelly O’Donnell, *Trump Establishes Voter Fraud Commission*, NBC News, May 11, 2017, <http://www.nbcnews.com/politics/white-house/trump-establish-vote-fraud-commission-n757796>.

⁴ A list of the Brennan Center’s recent publications is available at <http://www.brennancenter.org/content/resources/publications>.

⁵ See, e.g., Lisa Rein, *Watchdog group, citing “integrity of civil service,” sues Trump to find out if feds are being bullied*, Wash. Post, Apr. 27, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/powerpost/wp/2017/04/27/watchdog-group-citing-integrity-of-civil-service-sues-trump-to-find-out-if-feds-are-being-bullied/?utm_term=.8647ab128f3e; Ben Berwick, *Going to Court for Civil Servants*, Take Care, April 28, 2017, <https://takecareblog.com/blog/going-to-court-for-civil-servants>; Charlie Savage, *Watchdog Group Sues Trump Administration, Seeking Legal Rationale Behind Syria Strike*, N.Y. Times, May 8, 2017, <https://nyti.ms/2pX82OV>; Justin Florence, *What’s the Legal Basis for the Syria Strikes? The Administration Must Acknowledge Limits on its Power to Start a War*, Lawfare, May 8, 2017, <https://www.lawfareblog.com/whats-legal-basis-syria-strikes-administration-must-acknowledge-limits-its-power-start-war>.

sought in this request is critical for the public’s monitoring and evaluation of and response to those immediate activities. The information is also critical to public evaluation and monitoring of the Commission’s work, to pursue its mission of determining which “laws, rules, policies, activities, strategies, and practices” enhance or undermine public confidence in elections and what vulnerabilities exist in America’s voting systems—work which the Commission has already started. Effective public monitoring and involvement is urgently needed given the importance of the topics the Commission is charged with addressing.

IV. Application for Waiver or Limitation of All Fees

The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project request a waiver of all search, review, and duplication fees associated with this request. The Brennan Center and the Protect Democracy Project are eligible for a waiver of search and review fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(k), and for a waiver of all fees, including duplication fees, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k).

First, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project plan to analyze, publish, and publicly disseminate information obtained from this request. The requested records are not sought for commercial use and will be disclosed to the public at no cost.

Second, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project qualify as “representative[s] of the news media” for the same reasons that they are “primarily engaged in dissemination of information,” i.e., because the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project “gather[] information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(III); *Nat’l Sec. Archive v. Dep’t of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989). The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project are therefore entitled to a waiver of search and review fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k).

As a noncommercial requester, the Brennan Center also qualifies for waivers as an “educational institution” pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(d)(1) because it is affiliated with the NYU School of Law, which is plainly an educational institution.

The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project are also entitled to a waiver of all fees, including duplication fees, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). First, the subject of the requested records clearly concerns “the operations or activities of the federal government.” Disclosure of the requested records is therefore in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of how the government is regulating elections, which is plainly of interest to the public.

Moreover, disclosure is not primarily in the Brennan Center’s or The Protect Democracy Project’s commercial interests. As stated above, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project plan to make any information disclosed as a result of this request available to the public at no cost. A fee waiver would therefore fulfill Congress’s legislative intent that FOIA be “liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters.” *McClellan Ecological*

Situation v. Carlucci, 835 F.2d 1282, 1284 (9th Cir. 1987) (quoting 132 CONG. REC. 27, 190 (1986) (Statement of Sen. Leahy)).

In the event you deny our waiver request, please contact us if you expect the costs to exceed the amount of \$500.00.

V. Response Requested in 10 Days

Your attention to this request is appreciated, and the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project will anticipate your determination regarding our request for expedited processing within ten (10) calendar days. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(ii)(I); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(4). I affirm that the information provided supporting the request for expedited processing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi).

We also request that you provide us with an estimated completion date, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(7)(B)(ii). If the request is denied in whole or in part, we ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions to FOIA. We expect the release of all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material. We reserve the right to appeal a decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees.

Please furnish all applicable records to:


Wendy R. Weiser
Director, Democracy Program
Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, NY 10271
(646) 292-8310
www.brennancenter.org

Should you have any questions regarding this request, please contact Ms. Weiser at the address above, by telephone at (646) 292-8310, or by e-mail at weiserw@brennan.law.nyu.edu; or Larry Schwartztol by telephone at (202) 599-0466 email or by email at larry.schwartztol@protectdemocracy.org.

Sincerely,



Larry Schwartztol, Counsel
The Protect Democracy Project
2020 Pennsylvania Ave NW, #163
Washington, DC 20006



Wendy Weiser, Director
Tomas Lopez, Counsel
Democracy Program
Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School
of Law
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, New York 10271

Exhibit A

Initial FOIA Request

BRENNAN
CENTER
FOR JUSTICE
TWENTY
YEARS

The **Protect -**
Democracy
Project 

at New York University School of Law

Via Certified Mail and Electronic Submission

May 15, 2017

Laurie Day
Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of Information Policy
Department of Justice
Suite 11050
1425 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Nelson D. Hermilla
Chief, FOIA/PA Branch
Civil Rights Division
Department of Justice
BICN Bldg., Room 3234
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Melissa Golden
Lead Paralegal and FOIA Specialist
Office of Legal Counsel
Department of Justice
Room 5511, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request, Request for Expedited Processing and Fee Waiver

Dear Ms. Day, Mr. Hermilla, and Ms. Golden:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”), 5 U.S.C. § 552. It is also a request for expedited processing under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1), and for a fee waiver under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii) & (iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(k).

I. Background

President Trump issued an Executive Order creating a “Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity” (the “Commission”), supposedly “to promote fair and honest Federal elections.” According to the Executive Order, the Commission shall “study the registration and voting processes used in Federal elections... and shall submit a report to the President that identifies the following:

“(a) those laws, rules, policies, activities, strategies, and practices that enhance the American people’s confidence in the integrity of the voting processes used in Federal elections;

“(b) those laws, rules, policies, activities, strategies, and practices that undermine the American people’s confidence in the integrity of the voting processes used in Federal elections; and

“(c) those vulnerabilities in voting systems and practices used for Federal elections that could lead to improper voter registrations and improper voting, including fraudulent voter registrations and fraudulent voting.”

II. Formal Request

The Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law and The Protect Democracy Project request, to the extent the following are in the possession, custody, or control of the Office of Legal Counsel, Office of Legal Policy, Civil Rights Division, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Associate Attorney General, or Office of the Attorney General:

1. All communications, including but not limited to emails and memoranda, between any Department of Justice (“DOJ” or “Department”) officer, employee, or agent, or any White House liaison to the Department, and any other person, including but not limited to any officer, employee, or agent of the White House or DOJ, or any member of the presidential transition team or the presidential campaign of Donald Trump, regarding the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity or any other effort since November 8, 2016 to establish a commission, task force, or committee to study voter fraud or any aspect of the voting system.

2. All communications, including but not limited to emails and memoranda, between any Department officer, employee, or agent, or any White House liaison to the Department, and any member of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity, other than Vice President Michael Pence, since November 8, 2016.¹

¹ According to a public announcement from the White House, the following individuals are currently members of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity: Vice President Mike Pence, Kansas Secretary of State Kris Kobach, Indiana Secretary of State Connie Lawson, New Hampshire Secretary of State William Gardner, Maine Secretary of State Matthew Dunlap, former Ohio Secretary of State J. Kenneth Blackwell, and EAC Commissioner Christy McCormick. The White House, Office of the

3. All documents relating to the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity or any other effort since November 8, 2016 to establish a commission, task force, or committee to study voter fraud or any aspect of the voting system, including all documents discussing or making reference to the following subjects:

- a) The Executive Order creating the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity;
- b) The reasons for forming the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity;
- c) The goals and mission of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity; and
- d) The membership of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity, including the criteria for selection of its members.

Definitions

As used in this request—

“*Collaborative Work Environment*” means a platform used to create, edit, review, approve, store, organize, share, and access documents and information by and among authorized users, potentially in diverse locations and with different devices. Collaborative Work Environments include Google Docs sites, Microsoft SharePoint sites, eRooms, document management systems (e.g., iManage), intranets, web content management systems (CMS) (e.g., Drupal), wikis, and blogs.

“*Communications*” means disclosure, transfer, or exchange of information or opinion, however made, including any transmission of information by oral, graphic, written, pictorial, electronic, or other perceptible means.

“*Documents*” means all written, printed, or electronically stored information of any kind in the possession, custody, or control of the Department, including information stored on social media accounts like Twitter or Facebook, chats, instant messages, and documents contained in Collaborative Work Environments and other document databases. The term includes agreements; letters; telegrams; inter-office communications; memoranda; reports; records; instructions; notes; notebooks; diaries; plans; diagrams; photographs; photocopies; charts; descriptions; drafts, whether or not they resulted in a final document; agendas and minutes of meetings, conferences, and telephone or other conversations or communications; recordings; published or unpublished speeches or articles; publications;

Press Secretary, President Announces Formation of Bipartisan Presidential Commission on Election Integrity, May 11, 2017, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/05/11/president-announces-formation-bipartisan-presidential-commission>.

transcripts of telephone conversations; phone mail; electronic-mail; and computer print-outs.

“*Including*” means including, but not limited to.

“*Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity*” means the commission created pursuant to the Executive Order on “Establishment of Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity,” signed by President Donald Trump on May 11, 2017, or any effort to establish any task force, commission, or committee, whether through a government agency or not, to investigate voter fraud, vote suppression, or any other aspect of the voting system.

We request that responsive electronic records be provided electronically, in a text-searchable, static-image (PDF) format (in the best image quality available to the agency), pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A)(B) and (C).

III. Application for Expedited Processing

The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project request expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii), (iv). This request meets the criteria for expedited processing because there is “[a]n urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, if made by a person who is primarily engaged in disseminating information;” and this request concerns “[a] matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government’s integrity that could affect public confidence.” 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (iv). As explained below in more detail in the section of this request regarding a fee waiver, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project intend to disseminate the information obtained in response to this request to enable the public to effectively monitor, evaluate, participate in, and respond to the work of the Commission, which is scheduled to begin immediately.

The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project are section 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations that are “primarily engaged in disseminating information” within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The United States District Court for the District of Columbia has found that a non-profit, public interest group that “gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience” is “primarily engaged in disseminating information” within the meaning of the statute and regulations. *Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Dep’t of Justice*, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 29 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (quoting *Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep’t of Def.*, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 11 (D.D.C. 2003)). The Brennan Center regularly writes and publishes reports and newspaper articles and makes appearances on various media outlets regarding the fight to preserve and expand the right to vote for every eligible citizen. Through

practical policy proposals, litigation, advocacy, and communications, the Brennan Center works to ensure that voting is free, fair, and accessible for all Americans.²

The core mission of The Protect Democracy Project, a new organization awaiting 501(c)(3) status, is to inform public understanding on operations and activities of the government. This request is submitted in accordance with the organization's mission to gather and disseminate information that is likely to contribute significantly to the public understanding of executive branch operations and activities. The Protect Democracy Project has no commercial interests.

Furthermore, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project urgently require the information sought by this request in order to inform the public of federal government activity. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The information requested herein concerns federal government activity with regard to the conduct and integrity of federal elections, which is at the core of the missions for both the Department and the newly established Commission. This information is of vital interest to the general public. Both the Commission and the issue of voter fraud have generated extensive public interest and media coverage, reflecting the public's urgent concern about election integrity. The Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project intend to share any new information about the Commission and the integrity of federal elections obtained from this request with the public. The Commission's charge includes recommending changes to the nation's "laws, rules, policies, activities, strategies, and practices" regarding voting—all of which are of critical importance to the public and the integrity of American democracy, as well as to the missions of the Brennan Center for Justice and The Protect Democracy Project.

In order to adequately inform the public and to monitor the Commission, the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project need this information expeditiously. According to news reports, the Commission will begin its work shortly,³ and according to the White House's official announcement, a final report will be submitted to the President next year.⁴ Before this summer, the Commission will likely name additional members (fewer than half of a possible total of fifteen are currently named), hire staff, and

² A list of the Brennan Center's recent publications is available at <http://www.brennancenter.org/content/resources/publications>.

³ *See* Ali Vitali, Peter Alexander, & Kelly O'Donnell, *Trump Establishes Voter Fraud Commission*, NBC NEWS, May 11, 2017, <http://www.nbcnews.com/politics/white-house/trump-establish-vote-fraud-commission-n757796>; Jenna Johnson & John Wagner, *White House launches a commission to study voter fraud and suppression*, WASH. POST, May 11, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2017/05/11/white-house-to-launch-a-commission-to-study-voter-fraud-and-suppression/?utm_term=.a495285c5a69; Pam Fessler, *Despite Little Evidence of Fraud, White House Launches Voting Commission*, NAT'L PUB. RADIO, May 11, 2017, <http://www.npr.org/2017/05/11/527924633/white-house-expected-to-announce-voting-fraud-commission>; DEBORAH BARRY & DAVID JACKSON, *Trump orders "voter fraud" commission as FBI firing fallout lingers*, USA Today, May 11, 2017, <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2017/05/11/donald-trump-voter-fraud-mike-pence-kris-kobach/101544112/>.

⁴ The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, *President Announces Formation of Bipartisan Presidential Commission on Election Integrity*, May 11, 2017, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/05/11/president-announces-formation-bipartisan-presidential-commission>.

establish a meeting and hearing calendar, as provided for in the Executive Order. The information sought in this request is critical for the public's monitoring and evaluation of and response to those immediate activities. The information is also critical to public evaluation and monitoring of the Commission's work, to pursue its mission of determining which "laws, rules, policies, activities, strategies, and practices" enhance or undermine public confidence in elections and what vulnerabilities exist in America's voting systems—work which the Commission plans to begin expeditiously. Effective public monitoring and involvement is urgently needed given the importance of the topics the Commission is charged with addressing.

IV. Application for Waiver or Limitation of All Fees

The Brennan Center requests a waiver of all search, review, and duplication fees associated with this request. The Brennan Center is eligible for a waiver of search and review fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k), and for a waiver of all fees, including duplication fees, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k).

First, the Brennan Center plans to analyze, publish, and publicly disseminate information obtained from this request. The requested records are not sought for commercial use and will be disclosed to the public at no cost.

Second, the Brennan Center qualifies as a "representative of the news media" for the same reasons that it is "primarily engaged in dissemination of information," i.e., because the Brennan Center "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(III); *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dep't of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989). The Brennan Center has released dozens of publications regarding voting issues in the form of reports and papers on various issues of public importance. *Cf. Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr.*, 241 F. Supp. 2d at 11-12 (finding that the Electronic Privacy Information Center was representative of the news media based on its publication of seven books about national and international policies relating to privacy and civil rights); *see also Nat'l Sec. Archive*, 880 F.2d at 1386 (deeming the National Security Archive a representative of the news media after it published one book and indicated its intention to publish a set of documents on national and international politics and nuclear policy). The Brennan Center is therefore entitled to a waiver of search and review fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k).

As a noncommercial requester, the Brennan Center also qualifies for waivers as an "educational institution" pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(d)(1). The Brennan Center qualifies as an educational institution because it is affiliated with the NYU School of Law, which is plainly an educational institution. *See also Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dep't of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

The Brennan Center is also entitled to a waiver of all fees, including duplication fees, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k). First, the subject of the requested records clearly concerns “the operations or activities of the federal government.” This request seeks records and information concerning federal government activity because the materials requested concern allegations by the President of voter fraud in the conduct of federal elections and proposed changes to federal law. This connection to the federal government is “direct and clear, not remote or attenuated.” Disclosure of the requested records is therefore in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of how the government is regulating elections, which is plainly of interest to the public. Disclosure will significantly enhance the public’s understanding of this subject.

Moreover, disclosure is not primarily in the Brennan Center’s commercial interests. As stated above, the Brennan Center plans to make any information disclosed as a result of this request available to the public at no cost. A fee waiver would therefore fulfill Congress’s legislative intent that FOIA be “liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters.” *McClellan Ecological Situation v. Carlucci*, 835 F.2d 1282, 1284 (9th Cir. 1987) (quoting 132 CONG. REC. 27, 190 (1986) (Statement of Sen. Leahy)).

In the event you deny our waiver request, please contact us if you expect the costs to exceed the amount of \$500.00.

V. Response Requested in 10 Days

Your attention to this request is appreciated, and the Brennan Center and The Protect Democracy Project will anticipate your determination regarding our request for expedited processing within ten (10) calendar days. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(ii)(I); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5. I affirm that the information provided supporting the request for expedited processing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi).

We also request that you provide us with an estimated completion date, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(7)(B)(ii). If the request is denied in whole or in part, we ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions to FOIA. We expect the release of all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material. We reserve the right to appeal a decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees.


Please furnish all applicable records to:

Wendy R. Weiser
Director, Democracy Program
Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, NY 10271
(646) 292-8310


www.brennancenter.org

Should you have any questions regarding this request, please contact Ms. Weiser at the address above, by telephone at (646) 292-8310, or by e-mail at weiserw@brennan.law.nyu.edu; or Ben Berwick by email at ben.berwick@protectdemocracy.org.

Sincerely,



Ben Berwick, Counsel
The Protect Democracy Project



Wendy Weiser, Director
Adam Gitlin, Counsel
Tomas Lopez, Counsel
Democracy Program
Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School
of Law
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, New York 10271