

By Daniel Sayani  
The New American

The FBI announced last week that its new identification system has reached its initial operating capacity. Known as Next Generation Identification (NGI), the Lockheed Martin-built program serves as an incremental upgrade of the FBI's Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System, or IAFIS — which will revolutionize law enforcement's ability to process fingerprints.

NGI provides automated fingerprint and latent search capabilities, electronic image storage, and electronic exchange of fingerprints to more than 18,000 law enforcement agencies and other authorized criminal justice partners 24/7. Upon completion, the system will have the ability to process fingerprint transactions much more effectively and accurately.

"The implementation announced today represents a tremendous achievement in enhancing our identification services. Already, we're seeing how the NGI system is revolutionizing fingerprint identification in support of our mission," said Louis E. Grever, executive assistant director of the FBI Science and Technology Branch.

"Lockheed Martin was there supporting the FBI when IAFIS went live in 1999, and we're thrilled to be here for NGI today," affirmed Linda Gooden, executive vice president of Lockheed Martin Information Systems and Global Solutions. "Technology like this is a powerful tool when it comes to protecting America's citizens, and we're proud to serve as a partner in that mission."

Article link: <http://www.thenewamerican.com/index.php/usnews/constitution/6771-fbi-announces-creation-of-biometric-database>

\*\*\*

## **U.S. Should Take Stronger Stance To Protect Infrastructure, Experts Say**

*Active defense might be structured like NORAD*

By William Jackson  
Defense Systems

Years of recommendations from the Government Accountability Office and inspectors general have failed to significantly improve the country's cybersecurity posture at a time when the United States is becoming increasingly reliant on an interconnected information infrastructure, GAO's director of information security issues told a House homeland security subcommittee March 16.

"The federal government continues to face significant challenges in protecting the nation's cyber-reliant critical infrastructure and federal information systems," said Gregory Wilshusen, GAO's director of information security issues.

James Lewis, a senior fellow at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, advocated an aggressive defensive posture spearheaded by government at the national and international levels.

"Active defense is the future of cybersecurity," Lewis said. "Active defense could be structured to operate like NORAD, where the Air Force protects our skies by focusing on foreign threats. It is not perfect, but it works, and other nations are deploying this kind of defense against foreign attacks."

Article link: <http://defensesystems.com/articles/2011/03/17/critical-infrastructure-vulnerable-to-attack.aspx?admgarea=DS>

\*\*\*

## Texas Hospital Hacker Sentenced To Nine Years

By Angela Moscaritolo  
SC Magazine

A former Dallas hospital guard was sentenced late last week to nine years in federal prison for breaking into hospital computers, planting malicious software and planning a distributed-denial-of-service (DDoS) attack.

Jesse William McGraw, 26, of Arlington, Texas, worked the night shift in 2009 at the Carrell Clinic hospital in Dallas, where he broke into more than 14 computers, including one that controlled the hospital's heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system, and a nurses' station PC containing confidential patient information, according to a news release from the U.S. Department of Justice.

McGraw uninstalled anti-virus programs on the computers and installed malware that allowed unauthorized individuals to remotely access and take control of them.

He was also ordered to pay \$31,881 in restitution and serve three years of supervised release following his prison term.

McGraw, who used the alias "GhostExodus," was the self-proclaimed leader of the hacker group "Elektronik Tribulation Army."

According to federal prosecutors, the group was building a botnet to attack rival hacker groups, such as Anonymous, which has made headlines recently for its hacking of anti-WikiLeaks' websites, the security firm HBGary and the hate-mongering Westboro Baptist Church.

Article link: <http://www.scmagazineus.com/texas-hospital-hacker-sentenced-to-nine-years/article/198833/>

\*\*\*

Meredith Isola  
Public Affairs Specialist  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
National Protection and Programs Directorate  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
E-Mail: (b) (6)

For information about the National Protection and Programs Directorate, please visit the [NPPD Web page](#).

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 27, 2011 8:21 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Goode, Brendan; Brown, Michael A. RADM; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
**Subject:** Status on JCSP Transition Activities  
**Attachments:** JCSS\_Action Tracker\_11212011.xls

(b) (6)

I understand that you are the POC to work with on the DIB pilot transition activities. Attached is a draft we put together at DHS. However, (b) (5) I'm hoping you might have time to review prior to the SERB tomorrow and we could possibly discuss after. I'd also like to figure out a recurring synch time with you so we keep this on track.

Look forward to discussing with you,

Nicole

(b) (6)


**From:** Kizzee, Carlos  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 6:07 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta; Menna, Jenny; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Bi-Directional Pilot Recent Chronology (EMAIL CONTAINS COMMERCIALY SENSITIVE PROPRIETARY INFORMATION)

~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - COMMERCIALY SENSITIVE~~

Sir,  
Per your request from yesterday's staff meeting, the following (b) (5) is provided below. Please advise if you require anything further on this issue.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)



kz

Carlos Kizzee  
Director, Strategic Initiatives  
Critical Infrastructure Cyber Protection & Awareness  
National Cyber Security Division  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
National Protection and Programs Directorate  
United States Department of Homeland Security

[o] - (b) (6)  
[c] - (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

*This communication and its attachments is covered by federal and state laws governing electronic communications and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or legally privileged information. If you as the reader/recipient of this message is not the recipient to whom it was sent, you are notified that your retention, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this correspondence in error, please reply immediately to the sender.*

**(b) (6)**

**From:** Sandler, Kaiya  
**Sent:** Friday, December 03, 2010 12:49 PM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip; Brown, Michael A. RADM; Gillis, Ryan M; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David;  
**Cc:** **(b) (6)** Schaffer, Gregory  
**(b) (6)** Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; **(b) (6)** **(b) (6)**  
Ludtke, Meghan; Richardson, Christopher; **(b) (6)**  
**Subject:** CLEARED - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011  
**Attachments:** PASSBACK -- DHS Views letter S 3454 (DHS RESPONSE).docx; DHS Views letter S 3454 (CLEAN OMB CLEARED 12-3-10).docx

**Importance:** High

All – OMB has cleared the DHS Views Letter on S.3454. I have attached the redline version that OMB cleared (as well as a clean version).

Ryan – the clean version is ready for A/S Peacock's signature. DoD advises that the preconferecing is expected to resume on Monday.

Please let me know when this has been transmitted to the Hill and provide a copy of the signed letter. I need to let OMB know and give them a copy.

Thanks, Kaiya

---

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2010 10:10 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A. RADM; Gillis, Ryan M; Sandler, Kaiya; Richardson, Christopher; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David;  
**(b) (6)** Schaffer, Gregory  
**Cc:** **(b) (6)** Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; **(b) (6)** **(b) (6)** Ludtke, Meghan  
**Subject:** RE: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

Okay – **(b) (5)**

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A. RADM  
**Sent:** Monday, November 29, 2010 6:10 PM  
**To:** Gillis, Ryan M; Sandler, Kaiya; Richardson, Christopher; Reitinger, Philip; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; **(b) (6)**  
**(b) (6)** Schaffer, Gregory  
**Cc:** **(b) (6)** Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; **(b) (6)** **(b) (6)** Ludtke, Meghan  
**Subject:** RE: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

Ryan,

FYI. I got a call today from **(b) (5)** I've not received any specifics – and not sure **(b) (5)**

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary

Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**Sent:** Monday, November 29, 2010 6:06 PM  
**To:** Sandler, Kaiya; Richardson, Christopher; Reitinger, Philip; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6)  
Schaffer, Gregory  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) Ludtke, Meghan; Brown, Michael A. RADM  
**Subject:** Re: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

(b) (6)/Greg,

Since (b) (5). Once we do, I would like to put in a call (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
Office of Legislative Affairs  
Department of Homeland Security

**From:** Sandler, Kaiya  
**Sent:** Monday, November 29, 2010 05:37 PM  
**To:** Richardson, Christopher; Reitinger, Philip; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) Ludtke, Meghan  
**Subject:** RE: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

Everyone –

Is NPPD working on adjudicating (b) (5) hopefully get clearance ASAP.

Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks, Kaiya

**From:** Richardson, Christopher  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 25, 2010 12:09 AM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip; Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) Ludtke, Meghan  
**Subject:** RE: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

Phil,



Here is where we stand on the views letter on the DoD authorization bill (full letter attached, text of the NPPD portion below)

(b) (5)


(b) (5)

Ideally we could get our views cleared by early next week – (b) (5)

Happy to answer any questions. Chris

(b) (5)

(b) (5)





(b) (5)

\*\*\*\*\*END TEXT OF NPPD PORTION OF LETTER\*\*\*\*\*

Christopher Richardson  
Assistant General Counsel - Legislative Affairs  
Office of the General Counsel  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6) (desk)  
(b) (6) (cell)  
(b) (6) (fax)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use, or copying of this message or portion thereof is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 24, 2010 3:52 PM  
**To:** Richardson, Christopher; Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

Thank you!

**From:** Richardson, Christopher  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 24, 2010 03:37 PM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip; Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

No need to review today. (b) (5)

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 24, 2010 03:32 PM  
**To:** Richardson, Christopher; Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

(b) (5) I have lost my mails on this device.

**From:** Reiting, Philip  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 24, 2010 03:28 PM  
**To:** Richardson, Christopher; Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

(b) (5) I do not think I can do this today.

**From:** Richardson, Christopher  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 24, 2010 03:12 PM  
**To:** Reiting, Philip; Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) 'Sandler, Kaiya' (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

Phil,

OMB circulated the attached for interagency comment. The deadline for comment is today, although we have not received any comments yet. (b) (5)

Thanks,  
Chris

Christopher Richardson  
Assistant General Counsel - Legislative Affairs  
Office of the General Counsel  
Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6) (desk)  
(b) (6) (cell)  
(b) (6) (fax)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use, or copying of this message or portion thereof is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

**From:** Reiting, Philip  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 24, 2010 2:50 PM  
**To:** Sandler, Kaiya; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** Richardson, Christopher; (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) 'Sandler, Kaiya'  
**Subject:** RE: NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

(b) (5)

**From:** Sandler, Kaiya  
**Sent:** Friday, November 05, 2010 12:42 PM  
**To:** Reiting, Philip; McConnell, Bruce; Delaney, David; (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** Richardson, Christopher; (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; (b) (6) Sandler, Kaiya  
**Subject:** NPPD ACTION: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011  
**Importance:** High

Phil and Bruce – attached is a revised version of the DHS Views Letter on the FY 2011 NDAA. (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

Ryan – (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

Please let me know your response to these edits. Thanks, Kaiya

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 03, 2010 6:29 PM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip; McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** Richardson, Christopher; (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; NPPDExecSec; Sandler, Kaiya  
**Subject:** FW: FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011  
**Importance:** High

Per your last exchange with Chris, flagging this views letter now that it is with components for input.

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)

(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)


This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

**From:** Sandler, Kaiya  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 03, 2010 4:50 PM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip; Brown, Michael A. RADM; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce; Armstrong, Chris; CAO EXECSEC; Capps, Rhonda; CFO EXEC SEC; CHCOExecSec; Corrigan, Patricia; Erkun, Mui; France, Otis; Gelfer, Elizabeth; (b) (6) Lewis, Ashley; (b) (6) (b) (6) MGMT Legislation; MGMTExecSec; OCIO ExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) White, Steven; Williams, Marsha; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) IGAEExecSec; Tennyson, Stephanie L; Chuang, Theodore; Paschall, Robert; Russell, Michael; OGC ES NPPD; (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; Brzozowski, Christa; Bunke, Frederick; Canevari, Holly; Cissna, Francis (Lee); Cogswell, Patricia; Cohn, Alan; Cook, Mary E; Cooley, Shawn; Corti, Gianfranco; Crosswait, Mitch; DeLong, Joshua; Dickerson, Bradley; Foley, David; Hall, Wendy; Ham, Douglas; Hannah, Tracy; (b) (6) Jayaram, Sanchitha; Johnson, Bryan; Kangior, Mike; Kaufman, Gail; Kearney, Patrick; Leger-Kelley, Lesley; MacKinney, John A; Matthes, Justin; McGaw, Bridger; Meyers, Deborah; Miron, Mike; (b) (6) Olmo, Elizabeth; Palmeri, Douglas J; Plcy Exec Sec; Scardaville, Michael; Sharp, Becca; Silver, Mariko (b) (6) Walther, Kelli; Warrick, Thomas; (b) (6) OGC ES OELD  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6) Friedman, Nancy; Richardson, Christopher; Sandler, Kaiya; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) Burkley, Bruce; Cervoni, Katia; (b) (6) Dodd, Timothy; Houser, David; McDonald, Christina; Stein, Lesley; Terrell, Arnella; Whalen, Mary Kate; DHS Legislative Affairs

**Subject:** FOR REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE NOON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5 - DHS Views Letter on S.3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011

**Importance:** High

(b) (5)



Please review the attached draft and provide any comments to me by the deadline. Thanks, Kaiya

Kaiya Pontinen Sandler  
Attorney-Advisor  
Office of the General Counsel  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6) (desk)

(b) (6) (cell)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader for this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use, or copying of this message or portion thereof is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

(b) (6)

**From:** Done, Brian  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 25, 2010 7:39 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Shabat, Matthew; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Brief: NDAA FY11 DoD Cyber Demonstrations and Pilots Discussion - 08/25/2010 - DRAFT  
**Attachments:** NDAA FY11 DoD Cyber Demonstrations and Pilots Discussion 08252010 DRAFT.pptx  
  
**Importance:** High

Attached is the draft discussion brief on the NDAA FY11 (S.3454). (b) (5)

[Redacted]

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Thanks!

-BKD

Brian K. Döne  
DHS/NPPD/CS&C

M: (b) (6)  
E: (b) (6)

CS&C SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
 CS&C Enterprise Architecture SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
 CS&C External Website: [http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/gc\\_1185202475883.shtm](http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/gc_1185202475883.shtm)

In support of the CS&C mission, how can we most effectively enhance the security, resiliency, and reliability of the nation's cyber and communications infrastructure?

\*This email message could have been sent quickly from a BlackBerry device, therefore please excuse any clarity, grammar, or spelling mistakes.  
 \*Although I try to keep up with as many emails as possible, if something is time critical, please call the number listed above and/or involve other team members.  
 \*This electronic communication (email / attachments) might have specific use, dissemination, and retention restrictions based on law, executive order, memorandum, policy, guidance, etc.



(b) (6)

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Friday, August 27, 2010 5:39 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Reitinger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** DHS/DOD Partnership Review Package  
**Attachments:** DHS-DoD MOA 26 Aug.docx; DHS and DOD Implementation Plan 27 Aug.DOCX; PD Assistant Secretary for Cybersecurity Coordination 27 Aug.docx; COMMS STRAT 27 Aug.docx

(b) (6)

Attached are the documents we believe

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: Delaney, David  
Sent: Friday, September 03, 2010 10:27 AM  
To: Olsen, Matthew G.; (b) (6); Fong, Ivan  
Cc: (b) (6); (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36; (b) (6); Mr, DoD OGC  
Subject: DHS-DoD DIB description

Matt, (b) (6) and Ivan--

Below is the language that (b) (5) (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

(b) (5) I will be in SCIF space for the next few hours but available for discussion early this afternoon as needed.

(b) (5)

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)  
Office of the General Counsel

(b) (6)

Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 10:13 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A. RADM; Stempfley, Roberta; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** DIB and DOE way forward  
**Attachments:** DHS Proposal for DIB Pilot and DOE Way Forward - nmd.docx

All,

Here's what we came up with (b) (5)

. A couple of notes though.

(b) (5)

Please let me know if there are any questions with this draft.

Nicole

(b) (6)

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 26, 2010 4:30 PM  
**To:** Beers, Rand; Reiting, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Dean, Nicole M; McDermott, Thomas M  
**Subject:** DIB authorities discussion

Gentlemen,

(b) (5)

On my cell for follow-up after the 4pm call.

David

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)  
Office of the General Counsel

(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)


This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Friday, September 24, 2010 8:16 AM  
**To:** Reitingger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.; McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** Fong, Ivan; Ludtke, Meghan; McDermott, Thomas M  
**Subject:** DIB Legal Discussion with (b) (5)

(b) (5)



David Delaney

C:  (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2010 4:58 PM  
**To:** Reiting, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A. RADM; McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** Delaney, David  
**Subject:** DIB legal mtg update

Gentlemen,

Is there any question that there will be an IPC tomorrow? I thought it was locked in; Charles seemed uncertain whether it is on. Believe it would be very important (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

I will be at NAC for 9:15 and then at Rosslyn from 11-2ish if we should discuss in more detail.

David

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)

(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any

00303

dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.



(b) (6)

---

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 02, 2010 8:07 PM  
**To:** Schaffer, Gregory  
**Cc:** Brown, Michael A. RADM; Dean, Nicole M; McDermott, Thomas M; Reitingner, Philip; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** DIB legal topics

Greg,

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

I will be at eeob a bit early if there is anything you would like to review.

David Delaney

C: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** McDermott, Thomas M (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 29, 2010 11:43 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** DIB Meeting Today to Discuss ISP Legal Concerns?

**Importance:** High

(b) (5)

---

Thomas M. McDermott  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security,  
National Protection and Programs  
desk: (b) (6)  
blackberry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 09, 2010 6:36 PM  
**To:** Reitingger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** DIB pilot

(b) (5)

Thank you.

David Delaney

C: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Monday, September 27, 2010 1:50 PM  
**To:** Reiting, Philip  
**Cc:** Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** DIB Status and USCYBERCOM

Sir,

I've talked to (b) (6) again. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

He intends to try and come down for the UCG session this week.

v/r,  
Mike

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)


(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 27, 2010 6:08 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta; McDermott, Thomas M; Delaney, David; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** DIB traffic

Sir,  
Below is the summary of the DIB traffic issue. (b) (5). Please let me know if you have any questions.

(b) (5)



(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 02, 2010 6:00 PM  
**To:** Hopkins, Michelle  
**Cc:** (b) (6) de Vallance, Brian; Brown, Michael A.; Reitinger, Philip; Delaney, David  
**Subject:** DoD MOU and DIB Pilot

Michelle:

Phil and David Delaney, ADM Mike Brown were hoping to speak to the Deputy today on the above topic.

The call never came together.

Will you call me/Chris in the AM and let's make this happen.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
**Office of the Deputy Secretary**  
**Department of Homeland Security**  
**Email:** (b) (6)  
**Phone:** (b) (6)  
**BB:** (b) (6)


(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 30, 2011 5:21 PM  
**To:** Schaffer, Gregory; Stempfley, Roberta; Brown, Michael A. RADM  
**Cc:** McDermott, Thomas M; Kizzee, Carlos  
**Subject:** DOE mtg summary

All,

(b) (5)



Please let me know if you have any questions. Tom / Carlos, please add on if there is anything I forgot to mention.

Nicole

(b) (6)

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Friday, December 17, 2010 8:53 PM  
**To:** Delaney, David; Reiting, Philip; Brown, Michael A. RADM; Schaffer, Gregory; Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** (b) (5)

Fyi

**From:** Butler, Robert J SES OSD POLICY (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, December 17, 2010 07:58 PM  
**To:** 'bruce.mcconnel (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) DISL OSD POLICY (b) (6) (b) (6) Mr, DoD OGC (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: Napolitano Cyber Remarks

Bruce: (b) (5)

(b) (6) can provide further details.

Sincerely, Bob

**From:** McConnell, Bruce (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, December 17, 2010 07:49 PM  
**To:** Butler, Robert J SES OSD POLICY  
**Subject:** Re: Napolitano Cyber Remarks

What DOJ decision, Bob?

**From:** Butler, Robert J SES OSD POLICY (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, December 17, 2010 07:24 PM  
**To:** 'bruce.mcconnel (b) (6) (b) (6) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) DISL OSD POLICY  
(b) (6) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
**Subject:** Re: Napolitano Cyber Remarks

Thanks very much, Bruce. (b) (5)

Sincerely, Bob

**From:** McConnell, Bruce (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, December 17, 2010 01:01 PM  
**To:** Butler, Robert J SES OSD POLICY; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
**Cc:** Brown, Michael A. RADM (b) (6) (b) (6) DISL OSD POLICY; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 ; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36  
**Subject:** Napolitano Cyber Remarks

In view of some (b) (5)



00312

Bruce W. McConnell  
Senior Counselor  
National Protection and Programs  
US Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 21, 2010 8:34 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** DUS QUESTION ON C-LAN

Sir,

(b) (5)

I can respond back to the group (all DHS Senior folks in CS&C) or you may be able to address with him directly today.

Very respectfully,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 12:11 AM  
**To:** McConnell, Bruce; Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** E3-DIB Pilot Implementation Comparison v2.pptx  
**Attachments:** E3-DIB Pilot Implementation Comparison v2.pptx

(b) (5)

(b) (6)


---

**From:** Kizzee, Carlos  
**Sent:** Monday, October 27, 2008 9:49 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Wong, Nancy  
**Subject:** Enduring Security Framework DHS Operations Group Liaison Staffing Support

**Importance:** High

Nancy / Admiral Brown:

(b) (5)



(b) (5)

Ma'am and Sir, if you concur please so indicate. Also, at any time that you question my ability to support the duties and obligations that you expect of me please so indicate to one another and coordinate the adjustment of the period/scope of my support as you both deem necessary; I serve at your pleasure.

Warmest regards,

Carlos P. Kizzee  
Deputy Director, Partnership Programs and Information Sharing  
Partnership and Outreach Division, Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection Programs Directorate, U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (703) 235-3635

Cell: (b) (6)

Fax: (b) (6)

(b) (6)


*This communication and its attachments is covered by federal and state laws governing electronic communications and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or legally privileged information. If you as the reader/recipient of this message is not the recipient to whom it was sent, you are notified that your retention, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this correspondence in error, please reply immediately to the sender.*

(b) (6)


---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 07, 2010 6:02 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory  
**Cc:** Mesterhazy, Paul; (b) (6); (b) (6); Jones, Jeremiah; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** ESF Connection

(b) (5)



(b) (5)



(b) (6) Director of External Affairs

Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (b) (6)

Secondary: (b) (6)

Back-up: (b) (6)

Pager: (b) (6)

Web: [www.dhs.gov/cyber](http://www.dhs.gov/cyber)



(b) (6)

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:01 PM  
**To:** Reitingger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.; McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** McDermott, Thomas M  
**Subject:** ESF/DIB discussions with NSA and DOD counsel

Gentlemen,

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

I will be out of the office tomorrow and Monday, but I will be on bberry as needed.

David

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)  
Office of the General Counsel

(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

(b) (6)

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:01 PM  
**To:** Reitingger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.; McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** McDermott, Thomas M  
**Subject:** ESF/DIB discussions with NSA and DOD counsel

Gentlemen,

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

I will be out of the office tomorrow and Monday, but I will be on bberry as needed.

David

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)  
Office of the General Counsel

(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 07, 2010 6:32 PM  
**To:** Sand, Peter; (b) (6) Kropf, John W; Callahan, Mary Ellen; McNeely, James; Margo Schlanger (b) (6) John Denning  
**Subject:** (b) (6) Schaffer, Gregory  
EXSUM Privacy Sub-IPC Meeting Sept 7, 2010

Civil Liberties -Privacy Sub-IPC  
EXSUM

BLUF- E3 way forward. DHS Deputy CPO (John Kropf) conveyed 4 points (in short time span as meeting ran out of time)

(b) (5)

Tim asked if (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Meeting

Topics covered this meeting were (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b)

(b) (6)

**From:** Goode, Brendan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 20, 2011 9:27 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A. RADM  
**Cc:** Dean, Nicole M; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Feedback from NSA re: AoA

RADM Brown-

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

As we get more notes and feedback, we will pass those along too.

Thanks,  
Brendan

---

Brendan W Goode

00327

Director, Network Security Deployment  
National Cyber Security Division  
Department of Homeland Security

Office: (b) (6)  
Blackberry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)



(b) (6)

**From:** Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 26, 2010 4:45 PM  
**To:** Chipman, Jason (SMO)  
**Cc:** Baker, James A. (ODAG) (SMO); Reiting, Philip; Brown, Michael A.; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** Follow-up

Jason,

(b) (5)

David

David G. Delaney  
Deputy Associate General Counsel  
(National Protection and Programs)  
Office of the General Counsel

(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2010 10:04 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** (b) (6) CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** FOR APPROVAL - JUSTICE Talking Points on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011 LRM [BL-111-325]  
**Attachments:** DoJ Policy Comments on S 3454 (4).docx; S. 3454.pdf

Sir,

(b) (5)

Thank you,

(b) (6)

Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Did you know? **October** is **National Cybersecurity Awareness Month**.

Remember: Cybersecurity is Our Shared Responsibility. For helpful tips you can use to protect yourself, log on today to [www.StaySafeOnline.org](http://www.StaySafeOnline.org) or [www.dhs.gov/cyber](http://www.dhs.gov/cyber).

**From:** Mesterhazy, Paul  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 20, 2010 7:25 PM  
**To:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\*Mesterhazy\*\*\* FOR APPROVAL - JUSTICE Talking Points on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-325]

Agree with JD's assessment. [REDACTED] (b) (5)

**Paul M. Mesterhazy, Esq.**  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Cybersecurity & Communications  
Department of Homeland Security  
Office: [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
Cell: [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
Pager: [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
[REDACTED] (b) (6)

**From:** Denning, John  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 20, 2010 5:43 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\*Denning\*\*\* FOR APPROVAL - JUSTICE Talking Points on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-325]

Defer to [REDACTED] (b) (5)

John Denning, Director of External Affairs  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
Department of Homeland Security  
Desk: [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
Secondary: [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
Web: [www.dhs.gov/cyber](http://www.dhs.gov/cyber)



**From:** [REDACTED] (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2010 5:13 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (b) (6) NCS Exec Sec; NCS ExecSec; OEC EXEC SEC  
**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** UPDATE FOR REVIEW/COMMENT JUSTICE Talking Points on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-325]

CS&C,

Please see the updated attachments.

**Task Name:** JUSTICE Talking

Points on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011

**Assigned By:** NPPD

**Date Assigned:** 10/19

For your review and comment, please see the attached draft DOJ Talking Points on S3454, the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011.

Please provide your comments as track changes in the attached.

Please contact me with any questions.

**Due Date & Time:**

Wednesday, October 20 at 3PM

(b) (6)

Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Did you know? *October* is **National Cybersecurity Awareness Month**.

Remember: Cybersecurity is Our Shared Responsibility. For helpful tips you can use to protect yourself, log on today to [www.StaySafeOnline.org](http://www.StaySafeOnline.org) or [www.dhs.gov/cyber](http://www.dhs.gov/cyber).

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 4:09 PM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M; Shabat, Matthew  
**Subject:** FW: 2011OPS-1625 (Priority 1, High-Side Tasker) Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

FYI - (b) (5) to look over them.

(b) (6)

NCSD Front Office Executive Secretariat

(b) (6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Office: (b) (6) | BlackBerry: (b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 2:39 PM  
**To:** USCERT PM  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Rock, Lee; 'Harris, Richard'; Kinstler, Raymond; Austin, Mark; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: 2011OPS-1625 (Priority 1, High-Side Tasker) Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

(b)

The (b) (5) sent back to your high-side account, while replying-all. Thanks.

(b) (6)

PM, EINSTEIN 3, US-CERT

(b) (6)

(b) (6) (Ft. Meade)

(b) (6) (Cell)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6) **On Behalf Of** USCERT PM  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 1:51 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** USCERT PM; (b) (6) Rock, Lee; 'Harris, Richard'; Kinstler, Raymond; Austin, Mark; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** 2011OPS-1625 (Priority 1, High-Side Tasker) Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing  
**Importance:** High

Good afternoon (b) (6)

(b) (5)

(b) (5) to your JWICS account. Please reply-all to that email and provide any updates you have since the previous submission.

Due: Today @ 5PM (Please send me a note on the low-side when this is complete.)

Thanks,

(b)

**From:** Rock, Lee  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 12:57 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) USCERT PM; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** NCS D Exec Sec; Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** Re: Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

We have no slides, I will talk to the CM's to him (assuming there is a face to face) if they need slides, we should ask Steve Ryan at the fort to provide them, as they have a CM briefing deck built

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 12:53 PM  
**To:** USCERT PM; Rock, Lee; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** NCS D Exec Sec; Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

US-CERT,

Please see the email below from Nicole Dean. Lee Rock is already aware of this task.

Please provide your input to NCS D ExecSec on the high side by today, December 13<sup>th</sup> at 17:00.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NCS D Front Office Executive Secretariat  
(b) (6)  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6) | BlackBerry (b) (6)

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 10:47 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) (b) (6) NSD Exec Sec; Rock, Lee  
**Cc:** NCS D Exec Sec  
**Subject:** RE: Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

(b) (5)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 9:36 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) NSD Exec Sec  
**Cc:** NCS D Exec Sec; Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** RE: Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

Hello (b) (6)

The meeting is to brief DU/S Weatherford on the topic for his benefit/situational awareness. The briefing should provide an overview of the DIB Transition.

Apologies for any confusion. Let me know if you have any other questions.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

NCSD Front Office Executive Secretariat

(b) (6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Office: (b) (6) | BlackBerry: (b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 9:24 AM

**To:** (b) (6) NSD Exec Sec

**Cc:** NCSD Exec Sec; Dean, Nicole M

**Subject:** RE: Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

(b) (6)

(b) (5)

Once I have more background, I can pull together the materials that we need to provide.

Carolyn

(b) (6)

DHS/NCSD/NSD

(b) (6)

(b) (6) (Telework Location)

(b) (6) (Ballston Office)

(b) (6) (BlackBerry)

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 9:10 AM

**To:** NSD Exec Sec

**Cc:** NCSD Exec Sec; Dean, Nicole M

**Subject:** Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

NSD,

We have been asked to (b) (5) and provide a briefing deck and pre-briefing materials for a meeting with DU/S Weatherford on DIB Pilot Transition / E-3 this Thursday at 4:30PM. The slides should provide an overview of the briefing and key decision points. Nicole Dean, RADM Brown, A/S Schaffer, DA/S Stempfley and Bruce McConnell will also be in attendance.

I have attached the DIB Transition backgrounder we prepared on Friday for S2's office as a starting point.

Please return your slides and any other materials for the meeting to NCSO ExecSec by today, December 13<sup>th</sup> at 17:00.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

---

(b) (6)  
NCSO Front Office Executive Secretariat  
(b) (6)  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6) | BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** Casapulla, Stephen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 8:59 AM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

I believe Nicole will be briefing, but RADM Brown, A/S Schaffer, DA/S Stempfley and Bruce McConnell are all attending and I'm sure they will have input as well. The slides should provide an overview of the briefing and key decision points.

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 8:49 AM  
**To:** Casapulla, Stephen  
**Subject:** RE: Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

Hello Steve,

Are the briefing decks and materials for Weatherford to use? Or are they for DA/S Stempfley and/or A/S Schaffer to brief Weatherford?

Thanks,

(b) (6)

---

(b) (6)  
NCSO Front Office Executive Secretariat  
(b) (6)  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6) | BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** Casapulla, Stephen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 8:39 AM  
**To:** NCSO Exec Sec  
**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Tasking - DIB Pilot Transition /E-3 Briefing

NCSO ExecSec,  
On Thursday there is a DIB Pilot Transition / E-3 Briefing scheduled for Deputy Asst. Secretary Weatherford. In preparation for that meeting, please provide all relevant slides and pre-brief materials to CS&C ExecSec **NO LATER THAN 1300 on Wednesday, December 14<sup>th</sup>** for Senior Leadership Review.



The meeting with DU/S Weatherford has been scheduled for Thursday, 12/15 @ 4:30 p.m.

Feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

Thank you for your assistance,  
Steve

---

Stephen L. Casapulla  
Director - Executive Secretariat  
Cybersecurity & Communications  
Department of Homeland Security

Office: (b) (6)

E-mail: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2011 8:41 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A. RADM; Done, Brian  
**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** Fw: \*\*\*RADM Brown\*\*\*HOT DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan  
**Attachments:** DoD\_DHS-cyber-report\_Mar24 DHS Track Changes.docx

Sir,

Here is the most recent doc with CFO comments.

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2011 06:30 PM  
**To:** Mesterhazy, Paul  
**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** \*\*\*Mesterhazy\*\*\*HOT FOR APPROVAL DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan

Sir,

Please use this version. CFO sent comments, mainly changing DoD to DOD.

Thank you,

(b) (6)  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2011 6:24 PM  
**To:** Mesterhazy, Paul  
**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** \*\*\*Mesterhazy\*\*\*HOT FOR APPROVAL DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan  
**Importance:** High

Sir,

Attached please find the DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan for your approval. (b) (5)  
I am still waiting for CFO to respond.

RADM Brown has approved this document and requests your expedited review.

Thank you,

(b) (6)  
Executive Secretariat

00338

Office of Cybersecurity and Communications

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Office: (b) (6)

BlackBerry: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2011 6:22 PM

**To:** (b) (6)

**Cc:** CS&C EXEC SEC

**Subject:** FW: \*\*\*Denning\*\*\*HOT FOR APPROVAL DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan

**Importance:** High

(b) (5)

John Denning, Director of External Affairs

Office of Cybersecurity and Communications

Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (b) (6)

Secondary: (b) (6)

Web: [www.dhs.gov/cyber](http://www.dhs.gov/cyber)

(b) (6)

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 25, 2010 7:18 AM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Fw: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Attachments:** S2 BM - DIB 23 Aug\_bmm\_v6.doc

---

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory  
**Sent:** Tue Aug 24 18:37:07 2010  
**Subject:** Fw: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

1 of 2

---

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**To:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; CS&C EXEC SEC; Reiting, Philip  
**Sent:** Tue Aug 24 17:31:25 2010  
**Subject:** RE: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

(b) (6)

This revised version reflects (b) (5)

Bruce

Bruce W. McConnell  
Senior Counselor  
National Protection and Program Directorate  
US Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6) (NEW)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:26 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; McConnell, Bruce; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Importance:** High

Hi (b) (6)

Thank you for your patience. Please see attached for NPPD/CS&C's materials responsive to this tasker. Please advise if you have any questions.

Have a good evening,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

NPPD Exec Sec

Office: (b) (6)

BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 12:46 PM

**To:** (b) (6)

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl

**Subject:** RE: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

Apologies for the delay in submitting. I understand that (b) (5), I will continue to keep you posted with this tasker's progress...

V/r,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

NPPD Exec Sec

Office: (b) (6)

BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 10:51 AM

**To:** NPPDtasking

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)

**Subject:** [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by noon. Thanks.

---

**From:** NPPDtasking

**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 3:30 PM

**To:** (b) (6)

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)

**Subject:** [EXTENSION REQUEST] [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

CS&C just submitted draft materials for this tasker. These materials have not been coordinated, so I'm about to start that process now. Please advise if your office can grant NPPD an extension until 1200 tomorrow (8/24) to ensure that all materials are adequately coordinated and cleared.

V/r,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

NPPD Exec Sec

Office: (b) (6)

BlackBerry: (b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 10:41 AM**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6)

(b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&amp;A Exec Sec; (b) (6)

MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&amp;T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6)

Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA**Subject:** [Reminder] S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by 1700.

**From:** (b) (6)**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:33 PM**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6)

(b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&amp;A Exec Sec; (b) (6)

MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&amp;T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6)

Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA**Subject:** RE: S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

And this time with NPPD on the actual email.... Sorry!

**From:** (b) (6)**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:20 PM**To:** Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6)

M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&amp;A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6)

(b) (6) S&amp;T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)**Subject:** S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

UPDATE 05/27/10 - Please see new briefing memo template update. When required, talking points will now be included in the memo before the Background and after the Objectives section.

Please note that we now require contact information on every attachment that supplements the briefing memo. In the same format provided at the bottom of every briefing memo.

i.e. - Contact: (b) (6) ESEC/SEC. (b) (6) (b) (6)

**Lead component please note:** Once materials have been put together, please clear through OGC before sending them back to us as finals.

#### DEPUTY SECRETARY BRIEFING BOOK TASKING

<b>Event Date</b>	Wednesday, 08.25.10 (1115-1200)
<b>Event Name</b>	Meeting on Defense Industrial Base Pilot
<b>Lead Component</b>	NPPD
<b>Required Coordination</b>	PLCY, OGC, MGMT, I&A, MIL, S&T
<b>Product</b>	Briefing Memo, Talking Points, Biography
<b>Notes</b>	Attendees: Deputy Secretary Lute Bob Butler, DOD GEN Keith Alexander, NSA

**(b)(6)-P.L. 86-36** NSAPhil Reitingger  
ADM Michael BrownLocation: NAC, Deputy Secretary's OfficeOGC Coordination: Please ensure that briefing materials have been fully coordinated with OGC staff working in your component.Meeting Classification: Please include bullet in background section of briefing memorandum if the meeting or any of the briefing materials are classified. (i.e., "This meeting [or any of the briefing materials] are classified").***Please note that all materials being shown to the Deputy Secretary must be passed through ESEC first. Please do not bring anything to the meeting ESEC has not seen (classified or unclassified) without prior approval. If a presentation is to be made, Lead Component is responsible for providing an appropriate number of handouts at the meeting. (15 if the meeting takes place in Rm. 5110 D; 25 if in Rm. 5107.)***

Due	Monday, 08.23.10 (1700)
-----	-------------------------

**All final, cleared briefing materials should be transmitted to BriefingStaffA and BriefingStaffB.**

Thank you,

**(b) (6)**Deputy Secretary's Briefing Book  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of the Secretary  
Department of Homeland SecurityOffice: **(b) (6)**Cell: **(b) (6)**Email: **(b) (6)**HSDN: **(b) (6)**

(b) (6)

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 6:37 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory  
**Subject:** Fw: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Attachments:** S2 BM - DIB 23 Aug\_bmm\_v6.doc

1 of 2

---

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**To:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; CS&C EXEC SEC; Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Tue Aug 24 17:31:25 2010  
**Subject:** RE: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

(b) (6)

This revised version reflects (b) (5)

Bruce

Bruce W. McConnell  
Senior Counselor  
National Protection and Program Directorate  
US Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6) (NEW)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:26 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; McConnell, Bruce; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Importance:** High

Hi (b) (6)

Thank you for your patience. Please see attached for NPPD/CS&C's materials responsive to this tasker. Please advise if you have any questions.

Have a good evening,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)



---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 12:46 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Subject:** RE: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

Apologies for the delay in submitting. I understand that (b) (5). I will continue to keep you posted with this tasker's progress...

V/r,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 10:51 AM  
**To:** NPPDtasking  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by noon. Thanks.

---

**From:** NPPDtasking  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 3:30 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** [EXTENSION REQUEST] [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

CS&C just submitted draft materials for this tasker. These materials have not been coordinated, so I'm about to start that process now. Please advise if your office can grant NPPD an extension until 1200 tomorrow (8/24) to ensure that all materials are adequately coordinated and cleared.

V/r,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 10:41 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA

**Subject:** [Reminder] S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by 1700.

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:33 PM

**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6)  
 (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6)  
 MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
 Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA

**Subject:** RE: S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

And this time with NPPD on the actual email.... Sorry!

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:20 PM

**To:** Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
 M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6)  
 S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)

**Subject:** S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

**UPDATE 05/27/10 - Please see new briefing memo template update. When required, talking points will now be included in the memo before the Background and after the Objectives section.**

Please note that we now require contact information on every attachment that supplements the briefing memo. In the same format provided at the bottom of every briefing memo.

i.e. - Contact: (b) (6) ESEC/SEC, (b) (6) (b) (6)

**Lead component please note:** Once materials have been put together, please clear through OGC before sending them back to us as finals.

#### DEPUTY SECRETARY BRIEFING BOOK TASKING

<b>Event Date</b>	Wednesday, 08.25.10 (1115-1200)
<b>Event Name</b>	Meeting on Defense Industrial Base Pilot
<b>Lead Component</b>	NPPD
<b>Required Coordination</b>	PLCY, OGC, MGMT, I&A, MIL, S&T
<b>Product</b>	Briefing Memo, Talking Points, Biography
<b>Notes</b>	<p><u>Attendees:</u>            Deputy Secretary Lute            Bob Butler, DOD            GEN Keith Alexander, NSA            (b)(6)-P.L. 86-36, NSA            Phil Reitingner            ADM Michael Brown</p> <p><u>Location:</u> NAC, Deputy Secretary's Office</p> <p><u>OGC Coordination:</u> Please ensure that briefing materials have been fully coordinated with OGC staff working in your component.</p> <p><u>Meeting Classification:</u> Please include bullet in background</p>

section of briefing memorandum if the meeting or any of the briefing materials are classified. (i.e., "This meeting [or any of the briefing materials] are classified").

***Please note that all materials being shown to the Deputy Secretary must be passed through ESEC first. Please do not bring anything to the meeting ESEC has not seen (classified or unclassified) without prior approval. If a presentation is to be made, Lead Component is responsible for providing an appropriate number of handouts at the meeting. (15 if the meeting takes place in Rm. 5110 D; 25 if in Rm. 5107.)***

Due	Monday, 08.23.10 (1700)
-----	-------------------------

**All final, cleared briefing materials should be transmitted to BriefingStaffA and BriefingStaffB.**

Thank you,

(b) (6)

Deputy Secretary's Briefing Book  
 Executive Secretariat  
 Office of the Secretary  
 Department of Homeland Security  
 Office: (b) (6)  
 Cell: (b) (6)  
 Email: (b) (6)  
 HSDN: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:34 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** FW: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Attachments:** S2 BM - DIB 23 Aug\_bmm\_v6.doc

Sir,

Heads up, I do not see you in the chain.

Very respectfully,

(b) (6)

Lieutenant Commander, USN  
Special Assistant to Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
National Protection and Programs Directorate

work: (b) (6) fax: (b) (6)  
cell: (b) (6) Pager: (b) (6)  
NIPR: (b) (6)  
SIPR/HSDN: (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:32 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

(b) (6)

See below...

(b) (6)

Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

---

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:31 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; CS&C EXEC SEC; Reiting, Philip

**Subject:** RE: [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

(b) (6)

This revised version reflects (b) (5)

Bruce

Bruce W. McConnell  
Senior Counselor  
National Protection and Program Directorate  
US Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6) (NEW)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:26 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; McConnell, Bruce; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** [COMPLETED] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Importance:** High

Hi (b) (6)

Thank you for your patience. Please see attached for NPPD/CS&C's materials responsive to this tasker. Please advise if you have any questions.

Have a good evening,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 12:46 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Subject:** RE: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

Apologies for the delay in submitting. I understand that (b) (5). I will continue to keep you posted with this tasker's progress...

V/r,  
(b) (6)

00349

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 10:51 AM  
**To:** NPPDtasking  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by noon. Thanks.

---

**From:** NPPDtasking  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 3:30 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** [EXTENSION REQUEST] [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

CS&C just submitted draft materials for this tasker. These materials have not been coordinated, so I'm about to start that process now. Please advise if your office can grant NPPD an extension until 1200 tomorrow (8/24) to ensure that all materials are adequately coordinated and cleared.

V/r,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 10:41 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA  
**Subject:** [Reminder] S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by 1700.

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:33 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA  
**Subject:** RE: S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

And this time with NPPD on the actual email.... Sorry!

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:20 PM  
**To:** Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6)  
(b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

UPDATE 05/27/10 - Please see new briefing memo template update. When required, talking points will now be included in the memo before the Background and after the Objectives section.

Please note that we now require contact information on every attachment that supplements the briefing memo. In the same format provided at the bottom of every briefing memo.

i.e. - **Contact:** (b) (6), ESEC/SEC, (b) (6) (b) (6)

**Lead component please note:** Once materials have been put together, please clear through OGC before sending them back to us as finals.

#### DEPUTY SECRETARY BRIEFING BOOK TASKING

<b>Event Date</b>	Wednesday, 08.25.10 (1115-1200)
<b>Event Name</b>	Meeting on Defense Industrial Base Pilot
<b>Lead Component</b>	NPPD
<b>Required Coordination</b>	PLCY, OGC, MGMT, I&A, MIL, S&T
<b>Product</b>	Briefing Memo, Talking Points, Biography
<b>Notes</b>	<p><u>Attendees:</u>  Deputy Secretary Lute  Bob Butler, DOD  GEN Keith Alexander, NSA  (b)(6)-P.L. 86-36, NSA  Phil Reitingner  ADM Michael Brown</p> <p><u>Location:</u> NAC, Deputy Secretary's Office</p> <p><u>OGC Coordination:</u> Please ensure that briefing materials have been fully coordinated with OGC staff working in your component.</p> <p><u>Meeting Classification:</u> Please include bullet in background section of briefing memorandum if the meeting or any of the briefing materials are classified. (i.e., "This meeting [or any of the briefing materials] are classified").</p> <p><b><i>Please note that all materials being shown to the Deputy Secretary must be passed through ESEC first. Please do not bring anything to the meeting ESEC has not seen (classified or unclassified) without prior approval. If a presentation is to be made, Lead Component is responsible for providing an appropriate number of handouts at the meeting. (15 if the meeting takes place in Rm. 5110 D; 25 if in Rm. 5107.)</i></b></p>
<b>Due</b>	Monday, 08.23.10 (1700)

**All final, cleared briefing materials should be transmitted to BriefingStaffA and BriefingStaffB.**

Thank you,

(b) (6)

Deputy Secretary's Briefing Book  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of the Secretary  
Department of Homeland Security

Office: (b) (6)

Cell: (b) (6)

Email: (b) (6)

HSDN: (b) (6)



(b) (6)

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 12:54 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: [DPIAC/Cyber] DIB Pilot  
**Attachments:** Agenda 20100715 20100708.docx

(b) (6)

Here is the agenda for next Thurs. Need to fix sked. Thanks.

Vr,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Sand, Peter  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 12:50 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); Callahan, Mary Ellen; (b) (6); Landesberg, Martha  
**Subject:** RE: [DPIAC/Cyber] DIB Pilot

Sir,

The meeting is scheduled for next Thursday from 2-4 in room 940 (draft agenda attached).

Pete

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 12:46 PM  
**To:** (b) (6); Sand, Peter  
**Cc:** (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); Callahan, Mary Ellen; (b) (6); Landesberg, Martha  
**Subject:** RE: [DPIAC/Cyber] DIB Pilot

Folks,

Just back to the office. I'll discuss whatever needs to be discussed. I actually ran into Mary Ellen and we briefly talked about this. Do we have the agenda/timeframe done yet? I had blocked off some of the afternoon, but haven't seen anything firm yet. Thanks.

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 11:34 AM

**To:** Sand, Peter; Brown, Michael A.

**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) Callahan, Mary Ellen; (b) (6) Landesberg, Martha

**Subject:** RE: [DPIAC/Cyber] DIB Pilot

Pete,

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

Oversight & Compliance Officer  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
DHS

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Sand, Peter

**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 9:21 AM

**To:** Brown, Michael A.

**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) Callahan, Mary Ellen; (b) (6)

Landesberg, Martha

**Subject:** [DPIAC/Cyber] DIB Pilot

Sir,

(b) (6) on the subcom, also on ISPAB with (b) (6), sent in the below clip.

(b) (5)

Also, Margo Schlanger, DHS CRCL Officer will attend and we blocked a few minutes for her in the opening section.

Thanks,

Pete

Peter E. Sand  
DHS PRIV, (b) (6)  
Sent via blackberry.  
Please excuse the effects of big thumbs on little keys.

---

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**To:** Sand, Peter <(b) (6)>  
**Sent:** Wed Jul 07 21:42:42 2010  
**Subject:** Fwd: Extension of Einstein III to the Private Sector


Pete --

I am (b) (5) Can we add this to the list for next week?  
Thanks


---

[To view this email as a web page, go here](#)

(b) (5)



(b) (5)



(b) (6)

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Friday, August 20, 2010 1:24 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) Casapulla, Stephen  
**Subject:** FW: \*FO REVIEW\* Tasker for S2 DIB  
**Attachments:** NCIRP Overview DC.PPTX; S2 BM - Template (Updated 05 27 10) (2) -- TPs for Cyber Storm III and NCIRP.doc; Cyber Storm III backrounder.docx; DepSecDef William Lynn bio.doc; General Alexander Bio.doc; (b)(6)-P.L. 86-36 Bio.doc; Bob Butler Bio.doc; S2 BM (DIB)\_Info Sharing Pilots.doc; S2 BM - DIB\_Opt-In\_and E3.doc

**Importance:** High

JD These are the topics:

(b) (5)

(b) (5). Just a few, while I work on editing the rest please would be really helpful since we just got this today.

I have attached info on each item, (b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, August 20, 2010 1:00 PM  
**To:** Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M; Hedge, Christopher; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: \*FO REVIEW\* Tasker for S2 DIB  
**Importance:** High

**\*\*UPDATED\*\***

Good Afternoon,  
Please review the requested documents:

(b) (5)

Thanks,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

DHS/ CS&C/ NCSD

BB: 2 (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Royster, Kristin

Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 12:22 PM

To: Royster, Kristin; Dean, Nicole M; (b) (6) Menna, Jenny; Hanson, Eric; (b) (6)

(b) (6) Casapulla, Stephen; (b) (6) McElroy, Deron T

Cc: NCSD Exec Sec; Lambo, Brett; Walsh, Bridgette

Subject: RE: Tasker for S2 DIB

Here are the TPs on Cyber Storm III and NCIRP as well as backgrounder documents for both.

The NCIRP backgrounder deck is the deck from the recent DC.

KR

-----Original Message-----

From: Royster, Kristin

Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 11:21 AM

To: Dean, Nicole M; (b) (6) Menna, Jenny; Hanson, Eric; (b) (6) (b) (6)

Casapulla, Stephen; (b) (6) McElroy, Deron T

Cc: NCSD Exec Sec

Subject: RE: Tasker for S2 DIB

(b) (6) :

Here's the first set of documents:

(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: Dean, Nicole M

Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 8:59 AM

To: (b) (6) Royster, Kristin; Menna, Jenny; Hanson, Eric; (b) (6) (b) (6)

(b) (6) Casapulla, Stephen; (b) (6) McElroy, Deron T

Cc: NCSD Exec Sec

Subject: RE: Tasker for S2 DIB

Importance: High

All,  
We have had more come in on this task, so this is how I think we need to divide and conquer.

Topics will include (b) (5)

So if we could have each group prepare talking points, per the attached templates, as well as more detailed appendices on each topic. (b) (6) I believe can assemble overall document. And, Kristin, if you could please pull Bios.

If we could get moving on this, this morning, I can look at in about an hour to see where we are at. Please let me know if you think I have this wrong ASAP.

Thanks,  
Nicole

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 9:05 PM  
To: Royster, Kristin; Menna, Jenny; Hanson, Eric; Dean, Nicole M  
Cc: NCS D Exec Sec  
Subject: Fw: Tasker for S2 DIB

Kristin -  
FYI. We received a task to prepare a backgrounder for S2s meeting on the DIB pilot. CS&C might draft but I wanted to give you a heads up.

Thanks,  
(b) (6)

Sent from my Blackberry Wireless Device.

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6)  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: CS&C EXEC SEC  
Sent: Thu Aug 19 19:56:02 2010  
Subject: RE: Tasker for S2 DIB

(b) (6)

Attached is the tasker I just sent up. RADM said he will be sending more details. As soon as you know anything, please let me know. It is due from NCS D tomorrow at 3:00.

Thank you,

(b) (6)  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 7:47 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: Tasker for S2 DIB

(b) (6)

(b) (5)

Looping (b) (6) in. NCSD has or will have shortly for action.  
May want to let NPPD know as well.

Very respectfully,

(b) (6)

Lieutenant Commander, USN  
Special Assistant to Deputy Assistant Secretary Cybersecurity and Communications U.S.  
Department of Homeland Security National Protection and Programs Directorate

work: (b) (6) fax: (b) (6)  
cell: (b) (6) Pager: (b) (6)  
NIPR: (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)



(b) (6)

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 25, 2010 6:43 PM  
**To:** Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** FW: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)  
**Attachments:** S2 BM - DIB 24 Aug bmcc.doc

Mike Brown  
 RADM, USN  
 Deputy Assistant Secretary  
 Cybersecurity and Communications  
 United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:03 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Dorville, Kristina; Durkovich, Caitlin; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** RE: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Sorry, here's the markup of the outdated version, as just discussed with Heather. She knows to call me with any questions.

Bruce W. McConnell  
 Senior Counselor  
 National Protection and Programs Directorate  
 US Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6) (NEW)

(b) (6) (c)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 3:40 PM  
**To:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** Dorville, Kristina; Durkovich, Caitlin; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Subject:** RE: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi Mr. McConnell,

I understand you're currently reviewing this briefing memo. (b) (5)  
 (b) (6) If it's not too late, please review/edit the attached version of the briefing memo.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

NPPD Exec Sec

00361

Office: (b) (6)

BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** Dorville, Kristina  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 2:47 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Subject:** Re: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Bruce is reviewing now. Standby. (Didn't realize the RADM sent to him also).

---

**From:** Dorville, Kristina  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Sent:** Tue Aug 24 14:40:23 2010  
**Subject:** Re: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Sorry with attachment.

---

**From:** Dorville, Kristina  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Sent:** Tue Aug 24 14:39:48 2010  
**Subject:** Re: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Attached is the updated BM from the RADM. DUS won't be able to review until after 6pm. Please send to Bruce for his quick review in the interim.

Thanks!  
K

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Durkovich, Caitlin; Dorville, Kristina; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl  
**Sent:** Tue Aug 24 12:45:30 2010  
**Subject:** RE: [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

Apologies for the delay in submitting. I understand that RADM Brown of CS&C had a call with S2 this morning regarding tomorrow's meeting and he'll need to revise the existing briefing memo based on this conversation. I will continue to keep you posted with this tasker's progress...

V/r,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

NPPD Exec Sec

Office: (b) (6)

BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2010 10:51 AM  
**To:** NPPDtasking

**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by noon. Thanks.

---

**From:** NPPDtasking  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 3:30 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; NPPDtasking; Dorris, Earl; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** [EXTENSION REQUEST] [Reminder] 4828 S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Hi (b) (6)

CS&C just submitted draft materials for this tasker. These materials have not been coordinated, so I'm about to start that process now. Please advise if your office can grant NPPD an extension until 1200 tomorrow (8/24) to ensure that all materials are adequately coordinated and cleared.

V/r,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
NPPD Exec Sec  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 10:41 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA  
**Subject:** [Reminder] S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.23.10 - 1700)

Please note materials are due by 1700.

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:33 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles; (b) (6) (b) (6) Dorris, Earl; (b) (6) NPPDExecSec; NPPDtasking; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA  
**Subject:** RE: S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

And this time with NPPD on the actual email.... Sorry!

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 2:20 PM  
**To:** Campbell, Sandra L; Plcy Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) OGC Exec Sec; (b) (6) (b) (6) M; MGMTExecSec; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) I&A Exec Sec; (b) (6) MILExecSec; (b) (6) S&T Exec Sec; (b) (6) Wiley, Miles  
**Cc:** BriefingStaffA; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** S2BB - 08.25.10 - Meeting on the Defense Industrial Base Pilot - (Due: 08.22.10 - 1700)

UPDATE 05/27/10 - Please see new briefing memo template update. When required, talking points will now be included in the memo before the Background and after the Objectives section.

Please note that we now require contact information on every attachment that supplements the briefing memo. In the same format provided at the bottom of every briefing memo.

i.e. - Contact: (b) (6); ESEC/SEC (b) (6)

**Lead component please note:** Once materials have been put together, please clear through OGC before sending them back to us as finals.

#### DEPUTY SECRETARY BRIEFING BOOK TASKING

<b>Event Date</b>	Wednesday, 08.25.10 (1115-1200)
<b>Event Name</b>	Meeting on Defense Industrial Base Pilot
<b>Lead Component</b>	NPPD
<b>Required Coordination</b>	PLCY, OGC, MGMT, I&A, MIL, S&T
<b>Product</b>	Briefing Memo, Talking Points, Biography
<b>Notes</b>	<p><u>Attendees:</u>  Deputy Secretary Lute  Bob Butler, DOD  GEN Keith Alexander, NSA  (b)(6)-P.L. 86-36, NSA  Phil Reitingner  ADM Michael Brown</p> <p><u>Location:</u> NAC, Deputy Secretary's Office</p> <p><u>OGC Coordination:</u> Please ensure that briefing materials have been fully coordinated with OGC staff working in your component.</p> <p><u>Meeting Classification:</u> Please include bullet in background section of briefing memorandum if the meeting or any of the briefing materials are classified. (i.e., "This meeting [or any of the briefing materials] are classified").</p> <p><b><i>Please note that all materials being shown to the Deputy Secretary must be passed through ESEC first. Please do not bring anything to the meeting ESEC has not seen (classified or unclassified) without prior approval. If a presentation is to be made, Lead Component is responsible for providing an appropriate number of handouts at the meeting. (15 if the meeting takes place in Rm. 5110 D; 25 if in Rm. 5107.)</i></b></p>
<b>Due</b>	Monday, 08.23.10 (1700)

**All final, cleared briefing materials should be transmitted to BriefingStaffA and BriefingStaffB.**

Thank you,

(b) (6)  
Deputy Secretary's Briefing Book  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of the Secretary  
Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)  
Email: (b) (6)

00364

HSDN: [REDACTED] (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 08, 2010 11:52 AM  
**To:** Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.; McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** Beers, Rand  
**Subject:** FW: Another Update - for Howard visit

fyi

---

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 08, 2010 11:52 AM  
**To:** 'JHL'  
**Cc:** Beers, Rand  
**Subject:** Another Update - for Howard visit

Ma'am

(b) (5)

phil

(b) (6)

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 12:32 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** FW: Chairman Price Recap

(b) (6)

Please reach out to Steve (b) (5) Meeting can either be here or at his place and not tomorrow. It only needs to be Steve and myself, but Bobbie and RADM should be invited as optional attendees. My scheduled can be reworked to make this happen, Bobbie's shouldn't so she can keep getting the insight into all we have going on. However, if we can it would be nice to see if Steve will come our way so I can at least introduce him to Bobbie at a minimum. Meeting is totally unclass and need an hour and a half at a minimum.

Bobbie - if you think I am wrong on your calender, please correct me. Also know you can't miss Howard on Thursday if that's when this happens and I don't want to keep Steve waiting.

Nicole

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Mon 5/17/2010 12:16 PM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole,

I need you to sked time with (b) (6) this week to go over details of Corry.

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 12:14 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Sir,  
Then I'll be standing by to support as needed.

Nicole

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Mon 5/17/2010 12:10 PM

**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole,

Understand. Tomorrow won't be resolution – and in fact I just forwarded a bunch of questions to Steve.

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 12:06 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

(b) (5)

Nicole

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Mon 5/17/2010 11:56 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole,

Right now – (b) (5) Mark just talked to (b)(6)-P.L. 86, so you may want to follow up.

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 10:58 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap



Sir,  
Our plans were to have Bobbie go up today and me tomorrow. However, based on priorities we can always send representatives in our place. Your call.

Nicole

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Mon 5/17/2010 10:55 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole and Bobbie,

(b) (5) I have received a rough outline from Steve and am going up to the box to read it. Will get back to you.

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 10:11 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Sir,  
Would you like me at any of these discussions? Personally, I'd like to be there due to the impacts we are incurring. ■

(b) (5)

Nicole

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Mon 5/17/2010 10:07 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole,

(b) (5)

Bobbie – we have Howard coming on Thurs. I don't know if anyone has talked to NCSD about this. He's here from 0930 to 1200. Can you work an agenda?

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 9:55 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

(b) (5)

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Mon 5/17/2010 9:44 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole,

(b) (5)

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Monday, May 17, 2010 9:15 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Cc:** Stempfley, Roberta  
**Subject:** Re: Chairman Price Recap

Sir

(b) (5)

Nicole

----- Original Message -----


**From:** Brown, Michael A.

To: Dean, Nicole M  
Cc: Stempfley, Roberta  
Sent: Sun May 16 10:10:28 2010  
Subject: RE: Chairman Price Recap

Nicole,

I made some changes. Are they ok?

(b) (5)



v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6)


-----Original Message-----

From: Dean, Nicole M  
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 7:48 PM  
To: Brown, Michael A.  
Cc: Stempfley, Roberta  
Subject: RE: Chairman Price Recap

(b) (5)



(b) (5)



(b) (5)

Thoughts.....

-----Original Message-----

From: Brown, Michael A.

Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 10:47 AM

To: Gillis, Ryan M; Schaffer, Gregory; Durkovich, Caitlin; Hess, David; Ludtke, Meghan; Paschall, Robert; Delaney, David; Mesterhazy, Paul; Schilling, Deborah; Dean, Nicole M; McConnell, Bruce; Borst, Nathan; Butcher, Michael; Poptanich, Kristine

Subject: Re: Chairman Price Recap

Ryan,

(b) (5)

Vr,

MAB

----- Original Message -----

From: Gillis, Ryan M

To: Schaffer, Gregory; Durkovich, Caitlin; Hess, David; Ludtke, Meghan; Paschall, Robert; Delaney, David; Mesterhazy, Paul; Schilling, Deborah; Brown, Michael A.; Dean, Nicole M; McConnell, Bruce; Borst, Nathan; Butcher, Michael; Poptanich, Kristine

Sent: Thu May 13 10:42:28 2010

Subject: Chairman Price Recap

The meeting with Chairman Price, U/S Beers and A/S Schaffer yesterday went well. (b) (5) Deliverables are below.

(b) (5)

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
DHS Office of Legislative Affairs

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Gillis, Ryan M

To: Schaffer, Gregory; Durkovich, Caitlin; Hess, David; Ludtke, Meghan; Paschall, Robert; Delaney, David; Mesterhazy, Paul; Schilling, Deborah; Brown, Michael A.; Dean, Nicole M; McConnell, Bruce; Borst, Nathan; Butcher, Michael

Sent: Wed May 12 10:52:47 2010

Subject: Re: IF (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
DHS Office of Legislative Affairs

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Gillis, Ryan M

To: Schaffer, Gregory; Durkovich, Caitlin; Hess, David; Ludtke, Meghan; Paschall, Robert; Delaney, David; Mesterhazy, Paul; Schilling, Deborah

Sent: Wed May 12 10:34:04 2010

Subject: IF ASKED Corry Station bullet for Chairman Price

With complete deference on substance, here are the points as I understand them.

(b) (5)

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
DHS Office of Legislative Affairs

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Done, Brian  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 09, 2010 12:58 AM  
**To:** Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** FW: CS&C to NCSD ExecSec Request: DoD & DHS Joint Cyber Pilot Plan, Preliminary Version, October 2010 --- DRAFT 10/08/2010v7  
**Attachments:** DoDDHS\_Joint Cyber Pilot Plan\_Preliminary Version\_October 2010\_DRAFT\_10082010v7.docx  
**Importance:** Low

FYI...

-BKD

Brian K. Done  
DHS/NPPD/CS&C

M: (b) (6)

E: (b) (6)

-----  
 CS&C SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
 CS&C Enterprise Architecture SharePoint Site (b) (7)(E)  
 CS&C External Website: [http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/ge\\_1185202475883.shtm](http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/ge_1185202475883.shtm)  
 -----

In support of the CS&C mission, how can we most effectively enhance the security, resiliency, and reliability of the nation's cyber and communications infrastructure?

- 
- \*This email message could have been sent quickly from a BlackBerry device, therefore please excuse any clarity, grammar, or spelling mistakes.
  - \*Although I try to keep up with as many emails as possible, if something is time critical, please call the number listed above and/or involve other team members.
  - \*This electronic communication (email / attachments) might have specific use, dissemination, and retention restrictions based on law, executive order, memorandum, policy, guidance, etc.
- 

---

**From:** Done, Brian  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 09, 2010 12:57 AM  
**To:** Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M  
**Cc:** Hedge, Christopher; Shabat, Matthew; White, Mark  
**Subject:** FW: CS&C to NCSD ExecSec Request: DoD & DHS Joint Cyber Pilot Plan, Preliminary Version, October 2010 --- DRAFT 10/08/2010v7

Bobbie & Nicole,

Although CS&C ExecSec will be sending this to NCSD ExecSec on Monday morning, I wanted to make sure that you had the current version of the document. (b) (5)

The document has come a long way since the last version provided, with the extensive help from people at NPPD, CS&C, and NCSD.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

-BKD

Brian K. Done  
DHS/NPPD/CS&C

M: (b) (6)  
E: (b) (6)

-----  
CS&C SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
CS&C Enterprise Architecture SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
CS&C External Website: [http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/gc\\_1185202475883.shtm](http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/gc_1185202475883.shtm)

-----  
In support of the CS&C mission, how can we most effectively enhance the security, resiliency, and reliability of the nation's cyber and communications infrastructure?

- \*This email message could have been sent quickly from a BlackBerry device, therefore please excuse any clarity, grammar, or spelling mistakes.
  - \*Although I try to keep up with as many emails as possible, if something is time critical, please call the number listed above and/or involve other team members.
  - \*This electronic communication (email / attachments) might have specific use, dissemination, and retention restrictions based on law, executive order, memorandum, policy, guidance, etc.
- 

---

**From:** Done, Brian  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 09, 2010 12:50 AM  
**To:** CS&C EXEC SEC  
**Subject:** CS&C to NCSA ExecSec Request: DoD & DHS Joint Cyber Pilot Plan, Preliminary Version, October 2010 --- DRAFT 10/08/2010v7  
**Importance:** High

CS&C Exec Sec,  
<Sam: This is the document we spoke about on Thursday.>

Please send this to NCSA ExecSec for review. Based on the NCSA meeting this past Wednesday, the document should go out to at least the Branch Directors. The due date should be Thursday, October 14, 2010. There is expected to be much more coordination internal and external to DHS.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Please let me know what questions you might have.

Thanks!

-BKD

Brian K. Dōne  
DHS/NPPD/CS&C

M: (b) (6)

E: (b) (6)

---

CS&C SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
CS&C Enterprise Architecture SharePoint Site: (b) (7)(E)  
CS&C External Website: [http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/gc\\_1185202475883.shtm](http://www.dhs.gov/xabout/structure/gc_1185202475883.shtm)

---

In support of the CS&C mission, how can we most effectively enhance the security, resiliency, and reliability of the nation's cyber and communications infrastructure?

---

*\*This email message could have been sent quickly from a BlackBerry device, therefore please excuse any clarity, grammar, or spelling mistakes.  
\*Although I try to keep up with as many emails as possible, if something is time critical, please call the number listed above and/or involve other team members.  
\*This electronic communication (email / attachments) might have specific use, dissemination, and retention restrictions based on law, executive order, memorandum, policy, guidance, etc.*

---



(b) (6)

From: Dean, Nicole M  
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2011 9:56 AM  
To: Nusbaum, Keri  
Subject: FW: Cyber IPC Meeting SOC - 5/19/11

(b) (5)

From: (b) (6) ] On Behalf  
Of (b) (6)


Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 7:00 PM

To: #CYBER; (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6)  
(b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6)  
(b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); Department of Transportation; Dept. of Energy  
(b) (6); DHS-ICI-IPC; DL-OVP-National Security; DNI (b) (6); Education  
(b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); GSA  
(b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); J-5 Cyber Division (b) (6)  
(b) (6); Chipman, Jason (SMO); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6) (SMO); (b) (6);  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6) (NSD) (SMO); (b) (6);  
(b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); NSA (b) (6) OSD (b) (6) OSTP  
(b) (6); (b) (6) (NSC); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6);  
(b) (6) State (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); Treasury (b) (6); DL-

USTR-Cyber Security; (b) (6)  
Subject: Cyber IPC Meeting SOC - 5/19/11

(b) (5)

(b) (5)



For any questions or inquiries regarding this initiative, please do not hesitate to contact Bryan Platon at  
(b) (6) or (b) (6)

Greg

Gregory P. Schaffer  
Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
National Protection and Programs Directorate United States Department of Homeland Security  
Phone: (b) (6)  
Fax: (b) (6)  
email: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 6:22 AM  
**To:** Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** Fw: Defense Supp Dates

(b) (5)

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**To:** Reitingger, Philip; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beers, Rand  
**Cc:** Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce; Dorville, Kristina  
**Sent:** Thu Aug 19 06:15:11 2010  
**Subject:** Re: Defense Supp Dates

Sir,

Roger.

Vr,  
Mike

---

**From:** Reitingger, Philip  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beers, Rand  
**Cc:** Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce; Dorville, Kristina  
**Sent:** Thu Aug 19 06:08:15 2010  
**Subject:** RE: Defense Supp Dates

Pls set up a briefing for me so we can discuss and I can provide input or direction. Thank you.

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:58 AM  
**To:** Reitingger, Philip; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beers, Rand  
**Cc:** Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce; Dorville, Kristina  
**Subject:** Re: Defense Supp Dates

Sir,

(b) (5)

Vr,  
Mike

---

**From:** Reitingger, Philip  
**To:** Durkovich, Caitlin; Beers, Rand  
**Cc:** Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce; Dorville, Kristina  
**Sent:** Thu Aug 19 05:50:32 2010  
**Subject:** RE: Defense Supp Dates

Thank you, Caitlin.

(b) (5)

phil

---

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 12:12 AM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip; Beers, Rand  
**Cc:** Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** Defense Supp Dates

Phil -


Per our conversation this afternoon, (b) (5)  
Deadlines of note:

(b) (5)

Caitlin

(b) (5)

(b) (5)



(b) (6)

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 29, 2010 10:04 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** Fw: DHS/DOD Partnership Review Package  
**Attachments:** DHS-DoD MOA 26 Aug.docx; DHS and DOD Implementation Plan 27 Aug.DOCX; PD Assistant Secretary for Cybersecurity Coordination 27 Aug.docx; COMMS STRAT 27 Aug.docx

---

**From:** Brown, Michael A.  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Reitinger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Fri Aug 27 17:39:26 2010  
**Subject:** DHS/DOD Partnership Review Package

Chris,

(b) (5)

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: Dean, Nicole M  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 4:04 PM  
To: Brown, Michael A. RADM  
Subject: FW: DIB Call

Sir

(b) (5)

On a separate note, (b) (5) (b) (5)  
(b) (5) (b) (5) I don't know if we can get this many attendees again and Mark can at least come by for 5 minutes.

Nicole

-----Original Message-----

From: Rock, Lee  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 2:57 PM  
To: Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M; Goode, Brendan  
Subject: Re: DIB Call

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Stempfley, Roberta  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 02:52 PM  
To: Rock, Lee; Dean, Nicole M; Goode, Brendan  
Subject: Fw: DIB Call

What do you know?

----- Original Message -----

From: McConnell, Bruce  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 02:50 PM  
To: Brown, Michael A. RADM; Schaffer, Gregory; Weatherford, Mark; Stempfley, Roberta  
Subject: RE: DIB Call

(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: Brown, Michael A. RADM  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 2:49 PM  
To: McConnell, Bruce; Schaffer, Gregory; Weatherford, Mark; Stempfley, Roberta  
Subject: Re: DIB Call

Bruce,

(b) (5)

Cheers,  
Mike

----- Original Message -----

From: McConnell, Bruce  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 02:42 PM  
To: Schaffer, Gregory; Weatherford, Mark; Brown, Michael A. RADM; Stempfley, Roberta  
Subject: RE: DIB Call

(b) (5)

Eric will not be on the call -- he is traveling. We talked about three matters:

(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: Schaffer, Gregory  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 1:44 PM  
To: Weatherford, Mark; Brown, Michael A. RADM; Stempfley, Roberta  
Cc: McConnell, Bruce  
Subject: Fw: DIB Call

Fyi...good response from Eric.

----- Original Message -----

From: Rosenbach, Eric B SES OSD POLICY (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 12:34 PM  
To: Schaffer, Gregory  
Cc: McConnell, Bruce  
Subject: RE: DIB Call

Greg,

(b) (5)

Best, Eric

Eric Rosenbach  
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense  
Cyber Policy

Office of the Secretary of Defense



Pentagon Room (b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Schaffer, Gregory (b) (6)

Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 10:25 AM

To: Rosenbach, Eric B SES OSD POLICY

Cc: McConnell, Bruce

Subject: DIB Call

(b) (5)

Eric, because I am on leave, and will be unavailable for a few hours starting at about 10:30, I suggest you work with Bruce on any proposed changes or comments.

Greg

(b) (6)

From: Brown, Michael A.  
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 6:03 PM  
To: Stempfley, Roberta; Dean, Nicole M  
Subject: FW: DIB Legal Discussion with (b) (5)

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Delaney, David  
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 9:02 AM  
To: Brown, Michael A.; Reitingger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce  
Cc: Fong, Ivan; Ludtke, Meghan; McDermott, Thomas M  
Subject: Re: DIB Legal Discussion with (b) (5)

Mike,

I can certainly create a briefing memo on these topics heading toward the DC. Will draft and circulate to NPPD Sunday (unclass) for any policy context/issues you might like to add.

David Delaney

C: (b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Brown, Michael A.  
To: Delaney, David; Reitingger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce  
Cc: Fong, Ivan; Ludtke, Meghan; McDermott, Thomas M  
Sent: Fri Sep 24 08:19:29 2010  
Subject: RE: DIB Legal Discussion with (b) (5)

David,

(b) (5)

v/r,  
MAB

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
Cybersecurity and Communications  
United States Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Delaney, David


Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 8:16 AM

To: Reitinger, Philip; Schaffer, Gregory; Brown, Michael A.; McConnell, Bruce

Cc: Fong, Ivan; Ludtke, Meghan; McDermott, Thomas M


Subject: DIB Legal Discussion with OLC

(b) (5)



00387

(b) (5)



David Delaney

C:  (b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: Dean, Nicole M  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 2:08 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: FW: DIB OPT IN

(b) (6)

See trail below for Monday 0900 con call.

Nicole

-----Original Message-----

From: SWO (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:59 AM  
To: Randy Vickers (DHS); Nicole Dean; Randy Vickers (DHS); (b) (6) Austin, Mark; Kinstler, Raymond L; Richard Harris 1  
Cc: US-CERT Senior Watch Officer  
Subject: RE: DIB OPT IN

All,

We've reserved (b) (6) for this meeting

v/r,

(b) (6)

Senior Watch Officer (SWO)  
US-CERT  
Phone: (b) (6)  
Unclas: (b) (6)  
HSDN: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: SWO  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:48 AM  
To: Randy Vickers (DHS); Nicole Dean; Randy Vickers (DHS); (b) (6) SWO; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) 'Austin, Mark'; 'Kinstler, Raymond L'; (b) (6) Richard Harris 1  
Cc: SWO  
Subject: RE: DIB OPT IN

All,

The information for the Monday telecon is as follows:

Time: 9:00 - 10:00  
Dial: (b) (6)  
Conference Pin: (b) (7)(E)  
Ticket number 532264

v/r,

(b) (6)

Senior Watch Officer (SWO)  
US-CERT  
Phone: (b) (6)  
Unclas: (b) (6)

HSDN: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Vickers, Randal (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:18 AM  
To: Nicole Dean; Randy Vickers (DHS); (b) (6) SWO; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Austin, Mark; Kinstler, Raymond L (b) (6); Richard Harris 1  
Subject: Re: DIB OPT IN

(b) (5)  
V/R

Randy Vickers  
Director, US-CERT  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
BB: (b) (6)  
FAX: (b) (6)  
Watch: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Dean, Nicole M (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:16 AM  
To: Vickers, Randal (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) US-CERT  
Senior Watch Officer; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6) Austin, Mark (b) (6) Kinstler, Raymond L  
(b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DIB OPT IN

I can move staff and be available

----- Original Message -----

From: Vickers, Randal (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:14 AM  
To: (b) (6) (b) (6) Vickers, Randal (b) (6) US-CERT  
Senior Watch Officer; (b) (6) <(b) (6)> (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6) Austin, Mark (b) (6) Kinstler, Raymond L  
(b) (6) Dean, Nicole M <(b) (6)> (b) (6)  
<(b) (6)>  
Subject: DIB OPT IN

Nicole.... Can you be avail at 0900 ...  
V/R

Randy Vickers  
Director, US-CERT  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
BB: (b) (6)  
FAX: (b) (6)  
Watch: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:12 AM  
To: Vickers, Randal (b) (6) US-CERT Senior Watch Officer;  
(b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) Austin,  
Mark (b) (6) Kinstler, Raymond L (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6) Dean, Nicole M (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Mr. Shirley is available at 0900 on Monday.

V/r

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Vickers, Randal (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 11:03 AM  
To: US-CERT Senior Watch Officer; (b) (6); Vickers, Randal; (b) (6)  
Austin, Mark; Kinstler, Raymond L; (b) (6) Dean, Nicole M; (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

OK how flexible is this? We have not even confirmed calendars. Thanks for being forward leaning though!!!!

Also please move this to the thread called DIB OPT IN to minimize confusion V/R

Randy Vickers  
Director, US-CERT  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
BB: (b) (6)  
FAX: (b) (6)  
Watch: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: SWO [mailto: (b) (6)]  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 10:59 AM  
To: (b) (6) <(b) (6)> Randy Vickers (DHS) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6) Austin, Mark (b) (6) Kinstler, Raymond L (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Cc: US-CERT Senior Watch Officer  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

All,

I've just got off the phone with the request for telephone bridge.

I've requested Monday from 9:00 - 10:00 with 15 lines.

The ticket number is (b) (6)

If this needs to be changed, let me know!

v/r,

Lee

(b) (6)  
Senior Watch Officer (SWO)  
US-CERT  
Phone: (b) (6)  
Unclas: (b) (6)  
HSDN: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 10:52 AM  
To: Randy Vickers (DHS); (b) (6); (b) (6) SWO;  
(b) (6) (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

\* PGP - S/MIME Signed: 06/17/11 at 10:52:02

Ok, can I suggest, we move further discussion to your email with  
Subject:  
DIB OPT IN

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Vickers, Randal (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 10:49 AM  
To: (b) (6) Vickers, Randal; (b) (6) Austin, Mark; US-CERT Senior Watch  
Officer; Kinstler, Raymond L; (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week



(b) (5)

V/R

Randy Vickers  
Director, US-CERT  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Office: (b) (6)

BB: (b) (6)

FAX: (b) (6)

Watch: (b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 10:45 AM  
To: Vickers, Randal (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) Austin,  
Mark (b) (6) US-CERT Senior Watch Officer; Kinstler, Raymond L  
(b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Agree,  
We are discussing two items here.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Can we lay the initial discussion to rest?  
Or, are there still questions?

(b) (6)  
DHS Liaison to DC3  
DC3 DCISE/DIB CERT Manager

DC3: Office: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
DHS: Mobile: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Vickers, Randal (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 9:27 AM  
To: (b) (6) Austin, Mark; US-CERT Senior Watch Officer; Kinstler, Raymond L; (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

(b) (5)

V/R

Randy Vickers  
Director, US-CERT  
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Office: (b) (6)

BB: (b) (6)

FAX: (b) (6)

Watch: (b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

Sent using BlackBerry

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 09:24 AM  
To: Austin, Mark (b) (6) Vickers, Randal (b) (6) US-CERT  
Senior Watch Officer; Kinstler, Raymond (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6) <(b) (6)>; (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

(b) (5)

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/major-internet-service-providers-cooperating-with-nsa-on-monitoring-traffic/2011/06/07/AG2dukXH\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/major-internet-service-providers-cooperating-with-nsa-on-monitoring-traffic/2011/06/07/AG2dukXH_story.html)  
<[http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/major-internet-service-providers-cooperating-with-nsa-on-monitoring-traffic/2011/06/07/AG2dukXH\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/major-internet-service-providers-cooperating-with-nsa-on-monitoring-traffic/2011/06/07/AG2dukXH_story.html)>

Respectfully,  
(b) (6)  
DHS Liaison to DC3

DHS Mobile: (b) (6)  
UNCL: (b) (6)  
HSDN: (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)

DC3 Desk: (b) (6)  
UNCL: (b) (6)

SIPR: [redacted] (b) (6)

From: Austin, Mark [redacted] (b) (6)  
Sent: Thu 6/16/2011 8:41 PM  
To: Vickers, Randal; US-CERT Senior Watch Officer; Kinstler, Raymond; (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6) [redacted] (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

[redacted] (b) (5)

told (b) (6) and David that I was going to email you tonight - just hadn't gotten to that task.

(b) (6) and I came up around 1500 to chat with you on this but unfortunately we missed you.

[redacted] (b) (5)

Mark

-----  
Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Vickers, Randal  
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 08:17 PM  
To: US-CERT Senior Watch Officer; Kinstler, Raymond; Austin, Mark; [redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6) [redacted] (b) (6) <[redacted] (b) (6)> [redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6)  
Subject: FW: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Did we ever answer Nicole

This goes back [redacted] (b) (5)

V/R  
Randy Vickers  
Director, US-CERT  
Department of Homeland Security

[redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6)  
Office: [redacted] (b) (6)  
BB: [redacted] (b) (6)  
FAX: [redacted] (b) (6)  
Watch: [redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6)  
[redacted] (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Dean, Nicole M (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 5:44 PM  
To: (b) (6) Rock, Lee  
Cc: Vickers, Randal; (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

All,  
Just following up on my below question.

Nicole

-----Original Message-----

From: Dean, Nicole M  
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:21 AM  
To: (b) (6) Rock, Lee  
Cc: Vickers, Randal; (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

All,  
Maybe I'm missing something here. (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:05 AM  
To: Rock, Lee; Dean, Nicole M  
Cc: Vickers, Randal; (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

(b) (5)

(b) (6)  
DHS Liaison to DC3

-----Original Message-----

From: Rock, Lee (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:55 AM  
To: (b) (6) Dean, Nicole M  
Cc: Vickers, Randal; (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

So everyone else is SOL?

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 08:38 AM  
To: Dean, Nicole M <(b) (6)> Rock, Lee (b) (6)  
Cc: Vickers, Randal (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Good Morning,

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

DHS Liaison to DC3

-----Original Message-----

From: Dean, Nicole M (b) (6)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 1:14 PM  
To: Rock, Lee; (b) (6)  
Cc: Vickers, Randal; (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Ditto

-----Original Message-----

From: Rock, Lee (b) (6)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:28 AM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: Vickers, Randal; Dean, Nicole M; (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Unless it came. In on the high side, I have not seen the resolution

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:12 AM  
To: Rock, Lee (b) (6)  
Cc: Vickers, Randal (b) (6) Dean, Nicole M <(b) (6) (b) (6)>  
Subject: DoD discussion prior to NCCIC teleconference last week

Good Morning,

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

DHS Liaison to DC3

DC3: Office: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

DHS: Mobile: (b) (6)  
UNCL: (b) (6)  
HSDN: (b) (6)  
JWICS: (b) (6)

00397

\* [REDACTED] (b) (6) < [REDACTED] (b) (6)

\* Issuer: U.S. Government

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 1:20 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Beers, Rand; McConnell, Bruce; Schaffer, Gregory  
**Subject:** FW: DIB Pilot

FYI

---

**From:** JHL (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 1:06 PM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Subject:** RE: DIB Pilot


We want to be involved, not just informed.

---

**From:** Reitinger, Philip (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 12:48 PM  
**To:** JHL (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Brown, Michael A.; Beers, Rand  
**Subject:** DIB Pilot

Ma'am

(b) (5)



phil

(b) (6)

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Friday, July 09, 2010 11:34 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** Fw: DPIAC/Cyber Subcommittee meeting

Mary Ellen Callahan  
Chief Privacy Officer  
Department of Homeland Security

**Work:** (b) (6)  
**Cell:** (b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6)  
(b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6)  
(b) (6), (b) (6), Landesberg, Martha, (b) (6), (b) (6)  
(b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6)  
(b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), Sand, Peter  
(b) (6), Callahan, Mary Ellen, (b) (6), (b) (6)

**Sent:** Fri Jul 09 09:49:17 2010  
**Subject:** Re: DPIAC/Cyber Subcommittee meeting

(b) (5)

(b) (6)  
Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Date:** Fri, 9 Jul 2010 09:26:21  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6)  
(b) (6), (b) (6), Landesberg, Martha<, (b) (6), (b) (6)  
(b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (6), Sand, (b) (6), Callahan, Mary  
Ellen<, (b) (6), (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: DPIAC/Cyber Subcommittee meeting

On Jul 9, 2010, at 8:02 36AM, (b) (6) wrote:



> While not the same program, this has similarities and might also inform the discussion (and is public):

>  
>

[http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704545004575352983850463108.html?mod=WSJ\\_hps\\_MI\\_DDLEThirdNews](http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704545004575352983850463108.html?mod=WSJ_hps_MI_DDLEThirdNews)

>

> U.S. Plans Cyber Shield for Utilities, Companies

(b) (5)

>  
> Sorry I will be away and unable to join you for this next meeting.

Same here.

>

(b) (6)

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> On Thu, Jul 8, 2010 at 1:54 PM, (b) (6) wrote:  
> It't not generally public but has been announced to the companies who participate in the DIB program.

>

>

> On Thu, Jul 8, 2010 at 1:02 PM, Steven Bellovin (b) (6) wrote:

>

> On Jul 8, 2010, at 1:01 42PM, (b) (6) wrote:

>

(b) (5)

> >

> > ---

> >

(b) (5)

> >

(b) (5)

> >  
> >  
>  
> >  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

--Steve Bellovin, <http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~smb>

--Steve Bellovin, <http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~smb>

(b) (6)

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 1:56 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) Brown, Michael A.; (b) (6) Sand, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: DPIAC/Cyber Subcommittee meeting

FYI, for awareness.

Mary Ellen Callahan, CIPP  
Chief Privacy Officer  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
245 Murray Lane SW, Mail Stop 0655  
Washington, DC 20528-0655  
Telephone: (b) (6)  
Fax: (b) (6)  
E-mail: (b) (6)  
Website: [www.dhs.gov/privacy](http://www.dhs.gov/privacy)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 08, 2010 1:54 PM  
**To:** Steven Bellovin  
**Cc:** (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6);  
(b) (6) Landesberg, Martha; (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6);  
(b) (6) Sand, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Re: DPIAC/Cyber Subcommittee meeting

It's not generally public but has been announced to the companies who participate in the DIB program.

On Thu, Jul 8, 2010 at 1:02 PM, Steven Bellovin (b) (6) wrote:

On Jul 8, 2010, at 1:01 42PM, (b) (6) wrote:

(b) (5)

>  
> ---  
>

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

>  
>  
>

--Steve Bellovin, <http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~smb>

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2011 1:02 PM  
**To:** Royster, Kristin  
**Cc:** McDermott, Thomas M  
**Subject:** FW: Draft DIB-Pilot transition TPs  
**Attachments:** Talking Points -- DIB Pilot 12-7-11 - shorter version nmd (tmm edits).docx

(b) (5)

---

**From:** McDermott, Thomas M  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2011 11:20 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** RE: Draft DIB-Pilot transition TPs

(b) (5)

---

Thomas M. McDermott  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security,  
National Protection and Programs  
desk: (b) (6)  
blackberry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2011 10:38 AM  
**To:** McDermott, Thomas M  
**Subject:** FW: Draft DIB-Pilot transition TPs

Here they are, very simple is what Anne and I agreed to.

---

**From:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2011 10:24 AM  
**To:** Royster, Kristin; Mesterhazy, Paul; Menna, Jenny; Goode, Brendan; (b) (6) Kizzee, Carlos  
**Subject:** RE: Draft DIB-Pilot transition TPs

See my edits

---

**From:** Royster, Kristin  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2011 5:36 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M; Mesterhazy, Paul; Menna, Jenny; Goode, Brendan; (b) (6) Kizzee, Carlos  
**Subject:** RE: Draft DIB-Pilot transition TPs

Nicole,

Attached are revised talking points based on your edits.

(b) (5)

Let me know if you have any questions or any clarification is needed on any of the wording.

Thanks,  
Kristin

---

**From:** Royster, Kristin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 07, 2011 11:09 AM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M; Mesterhazy, Paul; Menna, Jenny; Goode, Brendan; (b) (6) Kizzee, Carlos  
**Subject:** Draft DIB-Pilot transition TPs

Nicole,

Per our conversation last week, attached are draft TPs that could be used for the CIO/CISO discussion.

The draft has been run by Jenny/Brendan/(b) (6) for their input.

Let me know if this is headed in the right direction.

Thanks,  
Kristin

---

Kristin Royster  
Director, External Affairs  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Desk: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Kern, Mike  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 15, 2010 3:19 PM  
**To:** Dean, Nicole M  
**Subject:** FW: FOR DHS REVIEW: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Hi Nicole –

Here is the information I was talking about on some of the SASC pilot words.

Mike

Mike Kern  
Director, Network Security Deployment  
National Cyber Security Division

(w) (b) (6)

(bb) (b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Johnson, Kim A  
**Sent:** Monday, June 14, 2010 7:53 AM  
**To:** Kern, Mike; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Fw: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Here are my comments on the proposed SASC pilots. Admiral Brown is (b) (5)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**To:** Johnson, Kim A  
**Cc:** 'Dorris, Earl' (b) (6) 'NPPDExecSec' (b) (6) McConnell, Bruce; Gillis, Ryan M  
**Sent:** Fri Jun 11 11:43:57 2010  
**Subject:** RE: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Thanks Kim,

I've reached out to CS&C already to remind them that their comments are due by COB today for adjudication.

(b) (6)

Correspondence Analyst  
National Protection and Programs Directorate  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

Homeland Security

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Johnson, Kim A  
**Sent:** Friday, June 11, 2010 11:18 AM  
**To:** NPPDExecSec  
**Cc:** Gillis, Ryan M; McConnell, Bruce  
**Subject:** FW: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]


Attached are Cyber + Strategy comments on S.3454. These comments will need to be adjudicated with CS&C comments on the bill.

---

**From:** Johnson, Kim A  
**To:** (b) (6) Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** McConnell, Bruce; Finan, Tom  
**Sent:** Fri Jun 11 10:27:26 2010  
**Subject:** FW: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]


Thank you for the opportunity to review S3454. The following are Cyber + Strategy comments:

(b) (5)






(b) (5)



(b) (5)



---

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 08, 2010 8:50 PM  
**To:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**Cc:** Finan, Tom; Johnson, Kim A  
**Subject:** Re: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Ok, thanks for the clarification. Kim has the background on the pilots I'll transfer action on this LRM to her.

Tom,  (b) (5)

Many thanks, all.

---

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**To:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Cc:** Finan, Tom  
**Sent:** Tue Jun 08 20:30:05 2010  
**Subject:** Fw: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Bruce,

Clarification below. We need to weigh in on house bill for conference, but I missed originally that this is the senate bill with cyber pilotsm

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
DHS Office of Legislative Affairs

 (b) (6)

---

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**To:** Finan, Tom  
**Sent:** Tue Jun 08 17:57:53 2010  
**Subject:** Re: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Sorry, I misread that this was house defense auth prior to conference.

(b) (5)

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
DHS Office of Legislative Affairs

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Finan, Tom  
**To:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**Sent:** Tue Jun 08 17:54:02 2010  
**Subject:** RE: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Am I reviewing H.R. 4900 and H.R. 5247?

---

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 08, 2010 5:52 PM  
**To:** Finan, Tom  
**Subject:** Fw: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Ryan Gillis  
Director  
DHS Office of Legislative Affairs

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**To:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Mon Jun 07 18:47:55 2010  
**Subject:** RE: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

God bless you bruce mcconnell.

---

**From:** McConnell, Bruce  
**Sent:** Monday, June 07, 2010 6:46 PM  
**To:** Gillis, Ryan M; Reitingger, Philip; Beers, Rand; Hess, David; Durkovich, Caitlin; Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory; Richardson, Christopher; Ludtke, Meghan; Delaney, David  
**Cc:** Gary, Lizzy; Finan, Tom  
**Subject:** Re: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

We will take a crack here at C+S and nppd execsec can either staff our views to cs+c for comment or adjudicate differences between us and cs+c, depending on timing.

---

**From:** Gillis, Ryan M  
**To:** McConnell, Bruce; Reitingger, Philip; Beers, Rand; Hess, David; Durkovich, Caitlin; Brown, Michael A.; Schaffer, Gregory; Richardson, Christopher; Ludtke, Meghan; Delaney, David  
**Sent:** Mon Jun 07 18:14:36 2010

**Subject:** FW: FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

Not that we aren't busy, but we really need to comment strongly on the Watson/Langevin amdt that was added. Background is below with amndmt attached.

(b) (5)

**From:** (b) (6)

**Sent:** Monday, June 07, 2010 4:35 PM

**To:** Acocella, Anthony; (b) (6) Cappannari, Michael; Gillis, Ryan M; Gleason, Eddie; Joh, Joseph; Lovett, Edward; Readinger, Jeff; White, Erin; Jensen, Daniel B; (b) (6) Harper, Jerald; (b) (6) Beck, Carol; (b) (6) Saupp, Jennifer; Wiggins, Chani; Peacock, Nelson; Dietch, Sarah; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) Mann, Melissa; Poptanich, Kristine; LaRossa, Connie

**Subject:** FOR DHS REVIEW: COMMENTS DUE: COB, FRIDAY, JUNE 11: OMB Request for Views on S3454 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 LRM [BL-111-264]

OMB has requested DHS's review of the attached bill, S. 3454, the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011. Please forward your comments to me in MS Word document also include, page number, line number and section.

The bill text is available on Thomas at: <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c111:S3454/>

Please ensure that your comments are first cleared by any Component in which your office operates. Please provide comments by replying to this message and (a) indicating your concurrence or "no comment", or (b) explaining your comments, including specific textual changes where needed to address your comments.

If I do not hear from you by **COB, TODAY, FRIDAY, JUNE 11th**, the deadline, I will assume that you concur and have no comment.

Thanks

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Paralegal Specialist  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
(Office) (b) (6)  
(Cell) (b) (6)  
(Fax) (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Done, Brian  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2011 5:40 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A. RADM  
**Cc:** (b) (6) White, Mark; 'Shabat, Matthew'  
**Subject:** FW: FOR EXPEDITED COORDINATION DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan  
**Attachments:** DoD\_DHS-cyber-report\_Mar24 DHS Track Changes.docx

**Importance:** Low

CFO comments...

---

**From:** CFO EXEC SEC  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2011 5:26 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) CFO EXEC SEC  
**Cc:** Done, Brian; CS&C EXEC SEC; CS&C Budget Exec Sec  
**Subject:** RE: FOR EXPEDITED COORDINATION DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan

(b) (6)

Attached are OCFO comments (in tracked changes).

V/r,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Office of the Chief Financial Officer  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
Mobile: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2011 5:20 PM  
**To:** CFO EXEC SEC  
**Cc:** Done, Brian; CS&C EXEC SEC; CS&C Budget Exec Sec  
**Subject:** RE: FOR EXPEDITED COORDINATION DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan

CFO,

Please advise if you will have comment as soon as possible.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 25, 2011 3:24 PM  
**To:** OLA Exec Sec; CFO EXEC SEC

**Cc:** Done, Brian; CS&C EXEC SEC; CS&C Budget Exec Sec  
**Subject:** RE: FOR EXPEDITED COORDINATION DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan

OLA and CFO,

Please advise if you will have comments.

Thank you,

(b) (6)  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2011 6:27 PM  
**To:** ogc-cyber; OLA Exec Sec; CFO EXEC SEC  
**Cc:** Done, Brian; CS&C EXEC SEC; CS&C Budget Exec Sec  
**Subject:** FOR EXPEDITED COORDINATION DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan  
**Importance:** High

OGC, OLA, and CFO,

CS&C request your expedited assistance. Please review the attached DoD & DHS Joint Cybersecurity Pilot Plan and return and comments/edits in track changes as soon as possible but no later than **Friday, March 25<sup>th</sup> at 1500.**

Thank you in advanced for your prompt review.

Regards,

(b) (6)  
Executive Secretariat  
Office of Cybersecurity and Communications  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Office: (b) (6)  
BlackBerry: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Sent:** Monday, October 04, 2010 7:03 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) HON OSD POLICY  
**Cc:** Brown, Michael A.; Butler, Robert J SES OSD POLICY; McConnell, Bruce; Schaffer, Gregory; (b) (6) DISL OSD POLICY  
**Subject:** Language compromise

(b) (6)

(b) (5)

I think we are ok with this. If you are agreed to this, we can both confirm with our deputies and move forward.

phil

(b) (6)


---

**From:** Fong, Ivan (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 26, 2010 11:39 AM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.; Reitingger, Philip  
**Cc:** McConnell, Bruce; Beers, Rand; Delaney, David  
**Subject:** Legal Authorities for DOD DIB Pilot

As we discussed this morning, here is some material for a 1-page annex to S2's materials for tomorrow's meeting:


---

(b) (5)





(b) (5)



Ivan K. Fong  
General Counsel  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Washington, DC 20528

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 17, 2010 12:24 PM  
**To:** Brown, Michael A.  
**Subject:** MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Sir,

(b) (5)

That is it and there is only the one area that changed so that the wording was softened. If you have any comments or feedback that you want me to include in the Memorandum and shoot back out just let me know.

Very respectfully,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

**From:** Brown, Michael A. RADM  
**Sent:** Friday, May 13, 2011 11:56 AM  
**To:** Reitinger, Philip  
**Cc:** Beers, Rand; Schaffer, Gregory; McConnell, Bruce; Ludtke, Meghan; Stempfley, Roberta; Delaney, David; McDermott, Thomas M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Parkinson, Deborah  
**Subject:** MOA Mtg w/NSA

Sir,

(b) (5), (b) (7)(E)

V/P,  
Mike

Mike Brown  
RADM, USN  
Director, Cybersecurity Coordination  
National Protection & Programs Directorate Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6) Fort Meade)

(b) (6) (Arlington)

**(b) (6)**

**From:** Isola, Meredith  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 21, 2010 6:48 AM  
**To:** NPPD-OPA Principals  
**Subject:** Morning Headlines - December 21, 2010  
**Attachments:** Morning Headlines\_Dec 21 2010.doc

*See full text of articles in the attached document.*

### **Latest Terror Threat in US Aimed to Poison Food**

*Exclusive: The Dept. of Homeland Security Uncovered a Plot to Attack Hotels and Restaurants Over a Single Weekend*

By Armen Keteyian  
cbsnews.com

In this exclusive story, CBS News chief investigative correspondent Armen Keteyian reports the latest terror attack to America involves the possible use of poisons - simultaneous attacks targeting hotels and restaurants at many locations over a single weekend.

A key Intelligence source has confirmed the threat as "credible." Department of Homeland Security officials, along with members of the Department of Agriculture and the FDA, have briefed a small group of corporate security officers from the hotel and restaurant industries about it.

"We operate under the premise that individuals prepared to carry out terrorist acts are in this country," said Dec. of Homeland Security Janet Napolitano on Dec. 6, 2010.

The plot uncovered earlier this year is said to involve the use of two poisons - ricin and cyanide - slipped into salad bars and buffets.

Of particular concern: The plotters are believed to be tied to the same terror group that attempted to blow up cargo planes over the east coast in October, al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula.

In online propaganda al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula has praised the cargo attack, part of what it called "Operation Hemorrhage."

The propaganda says in part, "...attacking the enemy with smaller but more frequent operations" to "add a heavy economic burden to an already faltering economy."

On Monday Dept. of Homeland Security spokesman Sean Smith said, "We are not going to comment on reports of specific terrorist planning. However, the counterterrorism and homeland security communities have engaged in extensive efforts for many years to guard against all types of terrorist attacks, including unconventional attacks using chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear materials. Indeed, Al-Qa'ida has publicly stated its intention to try to carry out unconventional attacks for well over a decade, and AQAP propaganda in the past year has made similar reference.

"Finally, we get reports about the different kinds of attacks terrorists would like to carry out that frequently are beyond their assessed capability."

The fact remains the government and hospitality industries are on alert.

Article link: <http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2010/12/20/eveningnews/main7169266.shtml>

\*\*\*

### **Napolitano Outlines DHS Cybersecurity Focus**

*DHS Perspective: A State of the Cybersecurity Union*

By Janet Napolitano  
GovInfoSecurity.com

We have been spending a lot of time on cyber issues at the Department of Homeland Security and we really get it.

The Department of Homeland Security this year produced its first-ever Quadrennial Homeland Security Review. One of the things we did through the QHSR project was to say, what are our fundamental missions at Homeland Security? Counterterrorism, obviously, that's why we were founded; securing our borders, and that's air, land, and sea, makes sense; enforcing our nation's immigration laws.

But the next major mission area was the protection of cyberspace. The fact that cyberspace was specifically singled out in the QHSR process, amongst the myriad other issues that are within the Department of Homeland Security, indicates the fundamental understanding that we have on the importance of the problem.

Cybersecurity isn't about control. It's not about government control. It is about partnerships. But partnership needs to have some effectiveness. There needs to be meat on the bone when we say partnership. And there needs to be widespread distributed action toward that goal, so that we view this much more as creating, if I may, layered security involving partnerships, as opposed to top-down or government-down. We are working more closely than ever to identify the private sector partners who we need, and work with them, and also across the federal family.

Article link: [http://www.govinfosecurity.com/articles.php?art\\_id=3198&opg=1](http://www.govinfosecurity.com/articles.php?art_id=3198&opg=1)

\*\*\*

### **DHS Secretary Asserts Cybersecurity Leadership**

*Cybersecurity should be led by the Department of Homeland Security and not left to the market or the military, Janet Napolitano said.*

By J. Nicholas Hoover  
InformationWeek

Cybersecurity should be left neither to the free market nor to the military to solve, Department of Homeland Security (DHS) secretary Janet Napolitano said in a speech in Washington, D.C., last week as she reasserted her agency's role as the locus of cybersecurity authority in the federal government.

"Cyberspace is fundamentally a civilian space," Napolitano said. "There are some who say cybersecurity should be left to the market, and there are some who characterize the Internet as a battlefield. Both the market and the battlefield analogies are the wrong ones to use. We should be talking about this as, fundamentally, a civilian space and a civilian benefit that employs partnerships with the private sector and across the globe."

The DHS has taken the lead in the federal government on cybersecurity measures via its National Cyber Security Division. That group this year headed up a major international and inter-governmental cyber exercise, Cyber Storm III, and continued ramping up efforts to protect federal systems and critical infrastructure like power plants.

Napolitano has been an ardent supporter of DHS' leadership role, but while she implied a DHS-centered view of cybersecurity, she did admit that DHS can't do it alone. "It is our goal to build one of the very best teams that we can to tackle the cybersecurity challenge," she added. "No single industry or agency, quite frankly, can manage it. Cybersecurity is about effective partnerships and shared security."

This year, DHS has expanded partnerships with private industry, for example doing a substantial amount of investigative work on the Stuxnet worm that infiltrated power plant control systems earlier this year and working to build up liaisons with private sector industries it deems to be "critical."

Article link:

[http://www.informationweek.com/news/government/security/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=228800919&cid=RSSfeed\\_IWK\\_All](http://www.informationweek.com/news/government/security/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=228800919&cid=RSSfeed_IWK_All)

\*\*\*

### **New Approaches Needed to Combat Cyber Threats, says DHS' Napolitano**

By John Wagley  
Security Management

Better education and new approaches to policy-making are a few of the ways the U.S. can better protect itself from cyber threats, according to Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano.

The Secretary, speaking at a conference last week sponsored by Atlantic Media, listed numerous DHS initiatives to secure the country's cyber infrastructure. But she said some new strategies may be needed due to the complexity and severity of cyber threats.

"We need colleges and universities to make cyber security a multidisciplinary pursuit so that we have policy makers who understand technology, but we also have technologists who understand policy-making," she said. "We need, I believe, a more transparent and inclusive cyber security policy-making process that brings the best minds to the table and the best minds from a number of different areas, instead of the existing divide."

Cyber attacks are a threat partly because the Internet and related technology is playing an increasingly prevalent role in Americans' lives, she said. A disruptive attack "could have cascading effects, not only within the cyber domain, but across multiple other sectors and elements of our critical infrastructure, crippling commerce."

Major DHS efforts to secure cyberspace include inter-governmental and public-private partnerships, a drive to hire more cyber security professionals, and ongoing cyber security awareness campaigns.



The challenge of cyber threats is unique in some ways, she said. “This one may be bigger, more complex, and require more of our effort than anything we've ever dealt with,” she said. The country has faced similar challenges before, she added, and has “always met [them]” by “putting our best minds together.”

Article link: <http://www.securitymanagement.com/news/new-approaches-needed-combat-cyber-threats-says-dhs%E2%80%99-apolitano-007980>

\*\*\*

### **Napolitano: Cybersecurity is Not a Battlefield**

By Jack Moore  
ExecutiveGov.com

Some have characterized cybersecurity as a challenge for the private marketplace, and others have laid it squarely on the shoulders of the military, calling the efforts to secure cyberspace the latest battle frontier.

But, Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano is having none of it.

Speaking at a cybersecurity conference sponsored by Atlantic Media and *Government Executive*, the secretary rejected both notions.

“Some categorize the challenge as one for the market, or a looming war,” she said, according to a report on *Nextgov*. “In my view, cyberspace is fundamentally a civilian space, and government has a role to help protect it, in partnership with the private sector and across the globe . . . both the market and the battlefield analogies are the wrong ones to use.”

While DHS has the authority to secure the United States’ critical infrastructure, such as sensitive computer networks, the agency won’t be taking the go-it-alone approach, she suggested.

Article link: <http://www.executivegov.com/2010/12/napolitano-cybersecurity-is-not-a-battlefield/>

\*\*\*

### **TSA Has Its Security Priorities in the Wrong Place**

*The agency should focus more on intelligence and less on body scanners and pat-downs*

By Jena Baker Mcneill – *OPINION*  
US News & World Report

There is absolutely nothing wrong with full-body scanners. In fact, the more we innovate and introduce new security technologies, the more we can stay one step ahead of terrorists.

But there are major problems with the way the Department of Homeland Security, through the Transportation Security Administration, is handling security at airports.

Requiring more and more passengers to choose (a) a full-body scan or (b) an aggressive pat-down as part of routine screening (also called primary inspection) sends a message that everyone is a terrorist risk when, in fact, almost everyone is an innocent traveler.

Many Americans have legitimate (and some not-so-legitimate) concerns about full-body scans. As such, robust deployment of full-body scans inevitably has led to more opt-outs, which means more folks are choosing pat-

downs. Let's be honest, these pat-downs could make the least modest among us blush. It can't be very comfortable for the poor TSA officer either. [See a roundup of editorial cartoons about air security.]

This is a big reason that the TSA now finds itself in a public relations nightmare. Homeland security should not be the stuff of *Saturday Night Live*. "Don't Touch My Junk" should not be a national catchphrase. So why is the government making flying more and more ... icky?

Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano says it's all part of a "layered defense" to terrorism. Certainly we need multiple layers of security, as Napolitano suggests, but layered defense shouldn't become code for trying to childproof the country against terrorists. And it need not mean piling up physical security measures and applying them all to everyone robotically.

Article link: <http://politics.usnews.com/opinion/articles/2010/12/20/tsa-has-its-security-priorities-in-the-wrong-place.html>

\*\*\*

### **Heightened TSA Security Is Necessary to Keep Us Safe**

*Full body scanners and intrusive pat downs are a necessary line of defense*

By Jeff Sural – *OPINION*  
US News & World Report

The Transportation Security Administration's new airport screening procedures--body scanning machines and enhanced pat-downs--are justified in the context of our unfortunate reality. The reality is, bluntly, that bad people persist in trying to kill Americans en masse and in a way that captures global attention. Add the fact that the TSA's own covert testing had found that its screening methods weren't finding concealed items.

Regardless of recent, widely publicized attacks and thwarted plots, some Americans continue to question TSA security initiatives. Our narcissistic obsession with someone viewing or getting too close to our "junk" and anecdotes of pat-downs gone bad have diverted our attention from the seriousness of this reality.

Of course, nothing inspires adolescent humor and 24/7 media coverage faster than talk of people's privates. Such immaturity is fitting for the sophomoric event that triggered the visceral outrage and the follow-on protests. While collectively exhausting the deep well of potty jokes, most people displayed a firm grasp of our reality on Thanksgiving eve by opting out of "opt-out day." [See a roundup of editorial cartoons about air security.]

The banter about the infringement of our rights comes mostly from a small group of citizens and a large gaggle of pundits. Nevertheless, they have been effective in scrambling the wording, meaning, and judicial interpretations of the 16th Amendment (which guards against unreasonable search and seizure). The courts have consistently upheld these types of searches as reasonable and legal.

Considering that only a small percentage of all travelers may need a pat-down--3 percent, according to the TSA--and independent studies prove these machines are not harmful to our health, most passengers understand the reasonableness of these new screening procedures.

Article link: [http://politics.usnews.com/opinion/articles/2010/12/20/heightened-tsa-security-is-necessary-to-keep-us-safe\\_print.html](http://politics.usnews.com/opinion/articles/2010/12/20/heightened-tsa-security-is-necessary-to-keep-us-safe_print.html)

\*\*\*

## **Freed and Defiant, Assange Says Sex Charges 'Tabloid Crap'**

*Wikileaks Founder Denies Rape Charge, Said He Never Knew Bradley Manning*

By Jim Sciutto, Kaitlyn Folmer, Lee Ferran and Mary Plummer  
abcnews.com

Freed from a London prison, WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange now says he was set up in the Swedish sexual assault case and claims that the publication of over a quarter-million classified U.S. diplomatic cables was "a step forward."

"[I am] clearly the victim of a smear campaign," Assange told "Good Morning America" today. "There are intercepted SMS messages between the women and each other and their friends that I am told represent a set-up."

Assange said his attorney has seen the messages, but has been ordered by the Swedish government not to discuss them. Assange is accused of sexually assaulting two women in Sweden, but claimed he has not been presented with "one word" of evidence.

In another interview with ABC News, Assange called the allegations "tabloid crap."

Speaking to "GMA" in front of the sprawling English mansion where he is staying with a friend now that he has been released on bail, Assange is already mounting a defense against possible U.S. charges under the Espionage Act. He claims not to know Bradley Manning, the Army intelligence private who is allegedly behind the leak of the trove of classified diplomatic documents to WikiLeaks.

"I never heard the name Bradley Manning until it was published in the press," Assange said. According to Assange, WikiLeaks is set up to provide the "leaker" of documents complete anonymity. Assange denied that he encouraged Manning to send the documents and likened his role to that of a reporter who discovers information.

"Security officers have a job to keep things secret, the press has the job to expose the public to the truth. So that is our job. We're doing it. The fact that state department was not able to do their job is a matter for them," he said.

Article link: <http://abcnews.go.com/International/assange-speaks-sex-charges-tabloid-crap/story?id=12420465&page=1>

\*\*\*

## **NYPD Trains for New Type of Attack**

By Sean Gardiner  
The Wall Street Journal

Earlier this month the New York Police Department ran an antiterrorism exercise simulating an attack on the city.

A team of terrorists unleashed a coordinated series of bombings and gun attacks around the city in the simulation. At one point, terrorists attacked New York police officials visiting wounded officers in a hospital. By the time the daylong attacks were over, dozens of people had been killed and many more wounded.

The NYPD simulation was different from any of the terrorist incidents that have actually hit New York, such as the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks where terrorists hijacked planes to destroy the World Trade Center, or the foiled Times Square car-bombing attempt in May of this year.

Instead, the simulation deliberately mirrored the 2008 massacre in Mumbai. Within minutes of one another on the night of Nov. 26, 2008, 10 gunmen attacked various locations in the Indian capital, including two luxury hotels, a hospital and a railway station. The attack stretched on for three days as hostages were taken at several of the locations. Ultimately, 174 people were killed.

Until Mumbai, NYPD counterterrorism officials felt reasonably comfortable that they were prepared for any type of terrorist attack. But that comfort level was built on preparing for a single event, not a series of coordinated attacks that would terrorize a city for days on end.

"The Mumbai attack two years ago was a bit of a game changer," Mitchell Silber, head of the NYPD's intelligence analysis division, said. "It was a model that most counterterrorism practitioners hadn't really considered. The armed gunmen roaming around the city taking hostages, that wasn't something we had seen by any jihadist group. That was a real eye-opener." Mr. Silber said the more NYPD officials learned about the Mumbai attacks "the more similarities we saw between Mumbai city and New York City." Both, he said, are financial centers; both are surrounded by water on three sides; both get intense media attention.

The latest simulation made additional sense, he said, in light of the rumors this past fall that jihadists were planning another "Mumbai-style" attack somewhere in Europe.

So on Dec. 3, the NYPD's top brass gathered inside the department's headquarters in downtown Manhattan, in the Police Academy on East 20th Street and a third location, which police don't want to identify, that will be activated in the event police headquarters is destroyed. More than 40 senior commanders took part, and a facilitator introduced "injects," or new complications, into the exercise.

Article link:

[http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704138604576029860668093114.html?mod=WSJ\\_hpp\\_section\\_newyork](http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704138604576029860668093114.html?mod=WSJ_hpp_section_newyork)

\*\*\*

### **False Alarms Snag City, Travel**

By Sean Gardiner and Andrew Grossman  
The Wall Street Journal

A trio of suspicious packages caused evacuations and delays at three tourist-heavy spots around the region Monday.

A large part of Newark International Airport's Terminal A was shut down Monday morning when security officers found a small amount of radiation coming from a checked bag, officials said. The Union County bomb squad and Port Authority police responded, shutting down part of the terminal and some of its entrances.

Less than an hour later, it was reopened after police figured out that the radiation was coming from a computer monitor. Such devices typically emit trace amounts of radiation, officials said. The bag was being shipped to the same location as its owner, who was already in the air, according to a Federal Bureau of Investigation spokesman.

"It appears there was no cause for alarm," the spokesman said.

While the Newark scare was still being sorted out, what turned out to be another false alarm was transpiring near the Metropolitan Museum of Art on Manhattan's Upper East Side.

Police were alerted by a passerby that a silver Honda minivan packed with suitcases was parked on 82nd Street near Fifth Avenue, not far from the Met. As a precaution, just before 9 a.m. police shut down six blocks along Fifth Avenue from 79th Street to 85th Street. The NYPD's Bomb Squad investigated and found that the suitcases only contained clothing. It was later determined that the vehicle belonged to a street vendor licensed to sell the clothing, police said. The street was reopened at 11:50 a.m.

Article link: <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703886904576031884288402072.html>

\*\*\*

### **Newark Airport Terminal Open After Precautionary Shutdown**

By The Associated Press  
The Wall Street Journal

A computer monitor that was checked as baggage caused a security scare at New Jersey's Newark Liberty International Airport on Monday, leading to a terminal's precautionary shutdown.

Terminal A, which is home to AMR Corp.'s American Airlines, was closed Monday morning while a bomb squad examined the device.

Computer monitors normally emit small amounts of radiation, and that triggered an alert as the package was screened by a machine behind the ticket counter, American Airlines spokesman Tim Smith said.

There was never any danger, Federal Bureau of Investigation agent Bryan Travers said. The monitor was being shipped to the same destination as its owner, who was already in flight, Mr. Travers said.

Article link:

[http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703886904576031370343619498.html?mod=WSJ\\_NewsReel](http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703886904576031370343619498.html?mod=WSJ_NewsReel)

\*\*\*

### **Auditors Question TSA's Use Of And Spending On Technology**

By Dana Hedgpeth  
The Washington Post

Before there were full-body scanners, there were puffers.

The Transportation Security Administration spent about \$30 million on devices that puffed air on travelers to "sniff" them out for explosives residue. Those machines ended up in warehouses, removed from airports, abandoned as impractical.

The massive push to fix airport security in the United States after the attacks of Sept. 11, 2001, led to a gold rush in technology contracts for an industry that mushroomed almost overnight. Since it was founded in 2001, the TSA has spent roughly \$14 billion in more than 20,900 transactions with dozens of contractors.

In addition to beefing up the fleets of X-ray machines and traditional security systems at airports nationwide, about \$8 billion also paid for ambitious new technologies. The agency has spent about \$800 million on devices to screen bags and passenger items, including shoes, bottled liquids, casts and prostheses. For next year, it wants more than \$1.3 billion for airport screening technologies.

But lawmakers, auditors and national security experts question whether the government is too quick to embrace technology as a solution for basic security problems and whether the TSA has been too eager to write checks for unproven products.

"We always want the best, the latest and greatest technology against terrorists, but that's not necessarily the smartest way to spend your money and your efforts," said Kip Hawley, who served as the head of the TSA from 2005 until last year. "We see a technology that looks promising, and the temptation is to run to deploy it before we fully understand how it integrates with the multiple layers we already have in place like using a watch list, training officers at every checkpoint to look for suspicious behavior and using some pat-downs."

Article link: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/12/20/AR2010122005599.html?hpid=topnews>

\*\*\*

### **Hands off Tomorrow's Internet**

By Meredith Attwell Baker  
The Washington Post

On Tuesday, in a party-line vote, the three Democratic commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) will adopt "net neutrality" rules.

The rules will give government, for the first time, a substantive role in how the Internet will be operated and managed, how broadband services will be priced and structured, and potentially how broadband networks will be financed. By replacing market forces and technological solutions with bureaucratic oversight, we may see an Internet future not quite as bright as we need, with less investment, less innovation and more congestion.

Discouragingly, the FCC is intervening to regulate the Internet because it wants to, not because it needs to. Preserving the openness and freedom of the Internet is non-negotiable; it is a bedrock principle shared by all in the Internet economy. No government action is necessary to preserve it. Acting only on speculative concerns about network operators and contrary to a decade of industry practice, the FCC is moving forward aggressively without real evidence of systemic competitive harms to cure, markets to fix or consumers to help.

Given these conditions, I do not believe it is appropriate for unelected FCC officials to make a decision with such potential long-term consequences for the Internet economy, for high-paying jobs and for the nation's global competitiveness without explicit direction from Congress.

One of my principal misgivings about the FCC's approach is that it fails to confront in a forthright manner the substantial risk that this action may distort the future of the Internet. The FCC is focused on how broadband networks are managed and operated today. I am worried about the Internet of tomorrow.

Article link: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/12/20/AR2010122003901.html?sub=AR>

\*\*\*

## 12 Men Arrested In Britain In Suspected Terror Plot

By Paisley Dodds  
The Washington Times

In the biggest anti-terrorist sweep in nearly two years, British police on Monday netted a dozen men accused of plotting a large-scale terror attack on targets inside the United Kingdom.

The raid was thought to be linked to U.K. targets only and not part of a larger threat to other European countries, security officials said.

Police who swooped in on the men's houses early in the morning were unarmed, suggesting any planned attack was not imminent and the suspects were not believed armed. Police were criticized heavily in 2006 when they shot an unarmed suspect in a similar counterterrorism raid. Only a fraction of Britain's police officers are armed.

The men were arrested in London, the Welsh capital of Cardiff, and the English cities of Birmingham and Stoke-on-Trent. The raid was the largest since April 2009, when 12 men were detained over an alleged al Qaeda bomb plot in the northern city of Manchester.

Counterterrorism officials declined to give more details of the alleged plot, saying only that the men had been under surveillance for several weeks — an indication that the plot could have been in its planning stages. No details were given as to whether explosives or arms were found.

"The operation is in its early stages, so we are unable to go into detail at this time," said John Yates, assistant commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Service, popularly known as Scotland Yard.

Officers said the men range in age from 17 to 28. Police have up to 28 days to question them before they must be charged or released.

Article link: <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/dec/20/12-men-arrested-britain-suspected-terrorism-plot/>

\*\*\*

## FCC Set to OK Rules On Internet

*GOP opposes 'neutrality' plan*

By David Eldridge  
The Washington Times

With the Obama administration on the verge of embracing new "network neutrality" rules increasing government oversight of the Internet, it's difficult to tell who objects more: Republicans who denounce the move as a federal power grab or Democrats who dismiss the reforms as too weak to do the job.

The Federal Communications Commission on Tuesday holds a highly anticipated hearing on the hot-button topic, with FCC Chairman Julius Genachowski's compromise proposal generating passionate commentary - pro and con - in cyberspace.

Republican opposition - including the two GOP commissioners on the five-member FCC board - has intensified in recent weeks, but the Associated Press and private analysts predicted Monday that the regulations designed to prohibit phone and cable companies from discriminating against or favoring Internet traffic moving over their broadband networks will be approved.

The vote could provide one last end-of-the-year policy victory for President Obama, who campaigned in favor of net neutrality regulations in the 2008 presidential race. Despite sharp criticism from congressional Republicans, the White House on Monday urged approval of the FCC plan.

Article link: <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/dec/20/fcc-set-to-ok-rules-on-internet/>

\*\*\*

### **Hackers Hit New York Tour Firm, Access 110,000 Bank Cards**

By Robert McMillan (IDG News Service)  
Computerworld

Hackers have broken into the website of the New York tour company CitySights NY and stolen about 110,000 bank card numbers.

They broke in using a SQL Injection attack on the company's Web server, CitySights NY said in a Dec. 9 breach notification letter published by New Hampshire's attorney general. The company learned of the problem in late October, when, "a web programmer discovered [an] unauthorized script that appears to have been uploaded to the company's web server, which is believed to have compromised the security of the database on that server," the letter said.

CitySights NY believes that the SQL injection compromise occurred about a month earlier, on Sept. 26. In a SQL injection attack, hackers find ways to sneak real database commands into the server using the Web. They do this by adding specially crafted text into Web-based forms or search boxes that are used to query the back-end database.

This was one of the techniques used by Albert Gonzalez, who in March received the longest-ever U.S. federal sentence related to hacking the systems of Heartland Payment Systems, TJX and other companies.

Article link:

[http://www.computerworld.com/s/article/9201822/Hackers\\_hit\\_New\\_York\\_tour\\_firm\\_access\\_110\\_00\\_bank\\_cards](http://www.computerworld.com/s/article/9201822/Hackers_hit_New_York_tour_firm_access_110_00_bank_cards)

\*\*\*

### **Seeing, Saying and Securing**

*With mass transit in terrorists' sights more than ever, can new technologies provide the traveling public with better protection?*

By Philip Leggiere  
Homeland Security Today

For Washington, DC-area residents a commute on the city's Metro is as routine a daily ritual as brushing teeth or buying a paper. On the afternoon of Oct. 27, however, when federal authorities announced the arrest of Farooque Ahmed, a 34-year-old Pakistani-American national, Washington citizens, along with the rest of the nation, were shaken by the realization that the subways they take for granted remain a prime—and highly vulnerable—terrorist target.

For months Ahmed stalked the most heavily trafficked stations of the Metro system, plotting, in collaboration with a group he thought were Al Qaeda operatives, to launch multiple simultaneous bombing attacks during



rush hour.

Fortunately, the Al Qaeda operatives Ahmed thought he was working with turned out to be federal undercover agents posing as Islamist radicals.

Ahmed's arrest nonetheless is a compelling reminder that, although air travel has been the focal point of both media attention and government funds for security, subways and buses provide in many ways a far richer "soft" target for terror attacks, which make them in many ways the soft underbelly of critical infrastructure.

Statistics from the National Counter Terrorism Center show that between January 2004 and July 2008 there were 530 terrorist attacks worldwide against passenger rail targets, resulting in more than 2,000 deaths and more than 9,000 injuries. These attacks ranged from the 2004 attack on commuter rail trains in Madrid, Spain, to the 2005 attack on London's underground rail and bus systems, which caused 52 fatalities and more than 700 injuries, to the 2007 attack on a passenger train in India resulting in 68 fatalities and at least 13 injuries.

Even more recently, on March 29, suicide bombers struck two stations within an hour in Moscow's crowded Metro, killing at least 37 people and injuring 73.

In the wake of these events, the challenge of securing mass ground transit, particularly urban subway systems, has finally emerged from the shadows as a critical priority for government, transit security agencies and first response organizations.

Article link: <http://www.hstoday.us/industry-news/general/single-article/seeing-saving-and-securing/6eddd84773020d4f80339f7389f43bb8.html>

\*\*\*

### **Social Media Presence Tests Agency Records Management**

By Brian Kalish  
NextGov.com

The federal government's ever-growing social media presence is presenting new challenges for agencies, such as a lack of standards for records management. Those challenges cannot be met at the agency level alone, according to a new report, so it is time for the federal government to lead a move toward uniformity.

"New media brings with it new challenges -- especially for records managers struggling to apply existing records management laws and regulations . . . in a social media world," author Patricia C. Franks, associate professor in the School of Library and Information Science at San Jose State University in California, said in the report. "These new challenges cannot be met at the agency level alone. It is time for the federal government to dramatically transform records management."

Nearly every agency has some social media presence. As of June, 22 of 24 major agencies were actively maintaining a presence on sites such as Facebook, Twitter and YouTube, according to the report, published by IBM's Center for the Business of Government.

The report concluded that records management authority and responsibility are fragmented and ineffective. "Federal agencies must look to several sources for records management guidance, including [the National Archives and Record Administration], [and] agencies [that] set information policy like the [Office of Management and Budget] and [General Services Administration]," the report said. "Gathering information from disparate sources and incorporating them into a coherent records management program is further complicated

by numerous directives being released in relation to the Open Government Initiative and the use of social media."

Article link: [http://www.nextgov.com/nextgov/ng\\_20101220\\_1267.php](http://www.nextgov.com/nextgov/ng_20101220_1267.php)

\*\*\*

### **Official: Alarm Software Installed, Not Activated Pre-Wikileaks**

By Ben Iannotta  
Federal Times

The Pentagon started upgrading thousands of computers with data loss-prevention software in 2008 but chose not to turn on the software, which would be able to sound alarms in cases like the WikiLeaks incident, an industry official said.

The software was installed as part of a security upgrade whose main goal was to stop viruses from being introduced into networks via thumb drives. The Defense Information Systems Agency activated a portion of the software package that gives security officials the ability to remotely disable the USB ports on classified computers, but it did not activate the data loss-prevention portion.

Because of the WikiLeaks incident, discussions are underway with Defense officials over turning on the software, which is made by McAfee, the official said.

"The deployment of the software is complete, it's there. They just have to license it," the official said.

Army Pfc. Bradley Manning is suspected of downloading thousands of classified documents from the DISA-run Secure Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNet) and providing them to WikiLeaks. The industry official said he did not know whether the computer Manning allegedly used was equipped with the software. Analysts have been mystified about why such a large download was not stopped.

"There's not one screwdriver that's going to fix this problem," the official said.

Article link: <http://www.federaltimes.com/article/20101220/DEPARTMENTS01/12200303/1001>

\*\*\*

### **House Passes Defense Policy Bill Minus Cybersecurity Measures**

*A number of cybersecurity provisions were dropped from the House version of the FY 2011 defense authorization bill in an effort to get the bill passed by both houses of Congress before the end of the session.*

By InfoSecurity.com

The House passed the FY 2011 defense authorization bill Dec. 17 minus cybersecurity riders that had been attached to the bill earlier in the session. The bill does include provisions requiring the Department of Defense to submit to Congress strategy documents and reports about how it plans to improve cybersecurity, including software assurance, development and acquisition of cyber warfare capabilities, and protective measures to defend the defense industrial base, according to a House Armed Services Committee summary.

The bill also would require DoD to develop a process for continually monitoring its information systems for cybersecurity and managing major automated information systems. It also would require DoD to initiate pilot projects to demonstrate how to integrate commercial capabilities into the DoD's Global Information Grid.

According to GovInfoSecurity, a number of provisions contained in stand-alone bills were added to the original House defense authorization bill as riders. These provisions included setting up a National Office of Cybersecurity in the White House and a Federal Cybersecurity Practices Board within the office to monitor federal agencies adherence to the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA), as well as creating a Chief Technology Officer in the White House to work with the private sector in improving the government's use of information technology.

These provisions were not included in the stripped down version of the bill that passed the House on Friday. The Senate plans to consider the House version of the bill this week, according to Sen. Carl Levin (D-MI), chairman of the armed services committee, and Sen. John McCain (R-AZ), ranking Republican on the committee, although the Senate has a lot on its plate this week.

Article link: <http://www.infosecurity-us.com/view/14791/house-passes-defense-policy-bill-minus-cybersecurity-measures/>

\*\*\*

### **Gene Healy: Homeland Security Is Getting Stuck On Stupid**

By Gene Healy  
The Washington Examiner

More fun for area commuters: Last Thursday, DC Metro officials announced that "anti-terrorism teams" will immediately begin conducting random bag searches.

Metro released plans for a similar scheme in October 2008, but never implemented it. That month, in an online chat with Washington Post readers, Transit Police Chief Michael Taborn was woefully short on straight answers.

"Can I carry my pocketknife?" an Alexandria resident asked, "and what if I'm returning from the supermarket with lighter fluid for my grill?" Owners of "contraband" will be "subject to prosecution," Taborn replied: "If you are unsure of whether a particular item is contraband, you should seek legal advice."

So budget that into your travel time.

A D.C.-based reader cheered the policy, showing the sort of public spiritedness that built this company town: "The U.S. is becoming so dangerous we need to take precautions whenever possible. If you have nothing to hide then the search should not offend you." Taborn: "Thanks very much for your support."

Metro officials now say that about one of every three riders at designated stops will be pulled aside. Their bags won't be opened unless a residue-swab or a police dog indicates a potential threat. Even then, the rider can decline the search and leave.

Article link: <http://washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/columnists/2010/12/gene-healy-homeland-security-getting-stuck-stupid>

\*\*\*

Meredith Isola  
Public Affairs Specialist  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
National Protection and Programs Directorate

00435

Office: [REDACTED] (b) (6)

BlackBerry: [REDACTED] (b) (6)

E-Mail: [REDACTED] (b) (6)

For information about the National Protection and Programs Directorate, please visit the [NPPD Web page](#).


(b) (6)

---

**From:** Goode, Brendan  
**Sent:** Monday, December 05, 2011 9:52 AM  
**To:** Delaney, Laura; (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Dean, Nicole M; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** MSS items

Laura (b) (6)

(b) (5)



Thanks,

00437

Brendan