# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION

CENTER,

:

Plaintiff, :

:

v. : Civil Action No. 13-345 (GK)

:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,

:

Defendant. :

# ORDER SETTING AN INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

The above-captioned case has been assigned to this Judge for resolution. The Initial Scheduling Conference is set for **July 8, 2013, at 10:30 a.m.** in Courtroom 26A (Fourth Floor of Annex). Counsel who attend must be sufficiently familiar with the case to answer any questions which arise; parties are welcome and are encouraged to attend.

Pursuant to Rule 16.3 of the Local Rules and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and 1, counsel shall submit their Joint Meet and Confer Statement addressing all topics listed in Rule 16.3(c) no later than 14 days following their meeting AND NO LATER THAN 72 HOURS PRIOR TO THE INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE. Counsel are also directed to either include in their Joint Meet and Confer Statement or in a supplemental pleading TO BE FILED NO LATER THAN 72 HOURS PRIOR TO THE INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE, a brief statement of the case and the statutory basis for all causes of action and defenses.

In considering, pursuant to Rule 16.3(c)(1) the case tracking category in which the case should be placed, counsel should be guided by the schedules contained in Appendix I to this Order.

Case 1:13-cv-00345-GK Document 9 Filed 06/24/13 Page 2 of 4

Counsel are required to comply with Local Rule 16.3 and, in particular, Rule 16.3(c), as

amended August 1, 1999, and attached hereto as Appendix II. In considering what form of

alternative dispute resolution the parties think the case most suited to, counsel are reminded that

amongst their options are mediation, arbitration, early neutral evaluation, summary jury trial, or

any other form of alternative dispute resolution which can be tailored to the needs of the case.

Extensions or enlargements of time will not be granted at any time solely upon stipulation

by the parties. Any requests for a continuance or other scheduling change must include

alternative dates that have been agreed to by all parties. Requests that do not include an

alternative date acceptable to all parties will be denied.

Parties are to communicate with the Court by motion, opposition, and reply, not by letter.

Inquiries concerning the status of any pending matter shall be directed to the Courtroom Deputy

Clerk, Tonya Hightower (202-354-3147), or if she is unavailable, to the staff person in the

Clerk's Office designated as her substitute, and not to Chambers. Chambers personnel will not

handle questions relating to the status or scheduling of pending matters.

In an emergency, however, Chambers can be reached at (202) 354-3440.

June 24, 2013

\_\_/ 3/ \_\_\_\_\_

Gladys Kessler

United States District Judge

2

### APPENDIX I

### **Case Tracking Schedules**

The following are the presumptive schedules to be followed by the Court. Variations may be appropriate in light of individual case differences. All time-frames are calculated from the date of the Initial Scheduling Conference unless otherwise indicated.

	Track One (Fast)	Track Two (Standard)	Track Three (Complex)
Exchange Witness Lists	15 days	30 days	40 days
Deadline for Post-R. 26(a) Discovery Requests	30 days	45 days	90 days
Proponent's R. 26(a)(2) Statements	N/A	60 days	120 days
Opponent's R. 26(a)(2) Statements	N/A	90 days	150 days
All discovery closed	60 days	120 days	210 days
Deadline for filing motions	75 days	150 days	240 days
Pretrial Conference	4 months	6-7 months	9-12 months

If alternative dispute resolution is ordered, the Court anticipates a 30-60 day interruption in the schedules set forth above, depending on which particular procedure is used and at what point it is used.

#### APPENDIX II

# **Duty to Meet and Confer**

(c) MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED BY THE PARTIES.

At the meeting required by this Rule, the parties shall discuss the following matters:

\*\*\*

- (5) Whether the case could benefit from the Court's alternative dispute resolution (ADR) procedures or some other form of ADA, what related steps should be taken to facilitate such ADR and their response to this provision with their clients. In assessing the above, counsel shall consider:
  - (I) the client's goals in brining or defending the litigation;
  - (II) whether settlement talks have already occurred and, if so, why they did not produce an agreement;
  - (III) the point during the litigation when ADA would be most appropriate, with special consideration given to:
    - (aa) whether ADR should take place after the informal exchange or production through discovery of specific information; and
    - (bb) whether ADR should take place after the Judicial resolution of key legal issues.
  - (IV) Whether the parties would benefit from a neutral evaluation of their cases, which could include suggestions regarding the focus of discovery, the legal merits of the claim, an assessment of damages and/or the potential settlement value of the case; and
  - (V) Whether cost saving or any other practical advantage would flow from a stay of discovery or of other pre-trial proceedings while an ADR process is pending.