

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 1997\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,186</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>2,081</b>	<b>418</b>
<b>FEDERAL</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>2,508</b>	<b>394</b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>					
MARICOPA	6	6	85	4,125	768
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>					
AMADOR	1	1	1	1	1
FRESNO	1	1	1	262	-
LOS ANGELES	24	24	15	1,140	176
MONTEREY	1	1	25	58	34
SONOMA	1	1	138	2,111	13
<b>COLORADO</b>					
EAGLE	1	1	1	50	24
JEFFERSON	1	NR	NR	NR	NR
MESA	2	2	45	430	130
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>					
HARTFORD	4	4	50	251	157
LITCHFIELD	4	3	10	71	20
<b>FLORIDA</b>					
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)	5	5	9	764	54
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	15	15	44	1,162	184
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	5	4	21	462	28
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	1	1	2,848	2,341	435
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	14	14	113	1,907	380
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	4	4	33	2,638	676
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	2	2	37	827	402
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	1	107	2,636	1,348
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	5	5	313	622	38
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	4	2	26	234	24
<b>GEORGIA</b>					
BIBB	5	5	30	992	172
CHATHAM	1	1	25	502	422
FLOYD	2	2	26	1,245	2
GWINNETT	3	3	7	1,511	157
ROCKDALE	6	6	1,553	1,124	37
TROUP	1	1	1,586	793	10
<b>ILLINOIS</b>					
KENDALL	1	1	1	19	19
MOULTRIE	4	3	2	1	1

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 1997 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>ILLINOIS (Continued)</b>					
ROCK ISLAND	7	3	1	3	3
WASHINGTON	5	4	2	2	2
<b>LOUISIANA</b>					
JEFFERSON	1	1	45	2,686	200
ORLEANS	1	1	43	1,385	198
<b>MARYLAND</b>					
BALTIMORE	19	18	105	1,251	87
BALTIMORE CITY	4	4	639	335	45
HARFORD	4	4	261	1,354	141
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>					
SUFFOLK	2	2	7	253	128
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>					
HARRISON	3	3	111	899	119
PONTOTOC	1	1	31	1,504	483
<b>NEBRASKA</b>					
DOUGLAS	2	2	45	3,846	114
LANCASTER	2	2	51	475	10
<b>NEVADA</b>					
CLARK	10	10	62	413	2
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	2	259	498	29
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>					
BERGEN	12	NR	NR	NR	NR
BURLINGTON	1	NR	NR	NR	NR
CAMDEN	14	NR	NR	NR	NR
ESSEX	1	1	690	345	65
HUDSON	9	NR	NR	NR	NR
HUNTERDON	1	1	690	340	118
MERCER	5	NR	NR	NR	NR
MIDDLESEX	8	8	36	1,258	435
MORRIS	2	2	41	885	305
PASSAIC	7	7	29	424	19
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	1	43	1,551	231
UNION	1	1	25	565	-
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>					
OTERO	1	1	70	384	106
<b>NEW YORK</b>					
BRONX	1	1	29	7,000	4,000
KINGS	27	22	96	2,384	1,659
MONROE	5	5	26	1,964	90
NASSAU	14	14	54	1,296	593
NEW YORK	10	10	38	8,458	163
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	19	18	139	5,619	3,176
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	162	155	17	777	178
ONONDAGA	4	4	11	951	1

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 1997 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>NEW YORK (Continued)</b>					
QUEENS	14	14	525	10,214	2,812
RENSSELAER	2	2	2	306	192
ROCKLAND	5	5	5,437	3,101	456
SUFFOLK	29	29	310	1,095	243
WESTCHESTER	12	10	14	1,122	721
<b>OHIO</b>					
LUCAS	2	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>OREGON</b>					
MULTNOMAH	1	1	169	1,000	125
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>					
CHESTER	1	NR	NR	NR	NR
CUMBERLAND	3	2	28	66	-
PHILADELPHIA	18	18	68	1,671	164
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	19	18	40	777	208
WESTMORELAND	1	1	2,313	1,156	1,117
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>					
PROVIDENCE	2	2	5	406	232
<b>VIRGINIA</b>					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	185	899	-
<b>WISCONSIN</b>					
OCONTO	2	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	1	1	50	100	100

\*NR = Not Reported.

\*\*Excludes those reports for which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, and the number of incriminating intercepts were not reported.