**Dear Mr. Verdi:**

The enclosed documents were reviewed under the Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOI/PA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552/552a. Deletions have been made to protect information which is exempt from disclosure, with the appropriate exemptions noted on the page next to the excision. In addition, a deleted page information sheet was inserted in the file to indicate where pages were withheld entirely. The exemptions used to withhold information are marked below and explained on the enclosed Form OPCA-16a:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 552</th>
<th>Section 552a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐(b)(1)</td>
<td>☐(b)(7)(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑(b)(2)</td>
<td>☐(b)(7)(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐(b)(3)</td>
<td>☐(b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐(b)(4)</td>
<td>☐(b)(7)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐(b)(5)</td>
<td>☐(b)(7)(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐(b)(6)</td>
<td>☐(b)(7)(F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

166 page(s) were reviewed and 136 page(s) are being released.

☐ Document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning other Government agency(ies) [OGA]. This information has been:

☐ referred to the OGA for review and direct response to you.

☐ referred to the OGA for consultation. The FBI will correspond with you regarding this information when the consultation is finished.

☒ You have the right to appeal any denials in this release. Appeals should be directed in writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy, U.S. Department of Justice, 1425 New York Ave., NW., Suite 11050, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001. Your appeal must be received by OIP within sixty (60) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. The envelope and the letter should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.
The enclosed material is from the main investigative file(s) in which the subject(s) of your request was the focus of the investigation. Our search located additional references, in files relating to other individuals, or matters, which may or may not be about your subject(s). Our experience has shown, when ident, references usually contain information similar to the information processed in the main file(s). Because of our significant backlog, we have given priority to processing only the main investigative file(s). If you want the references, you must submit a separate request for them in writing, and they will be reviewed at a later date, as time and resources permit.

See additional information which follows.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

David M. Hardy
Section Chief
Record/Information
Dissemination Section
Records Management Division

Enclosures (2)
EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

(b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;

(b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute(A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;

(b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could be reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could be reasonably expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;

(b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

(d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;

(j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;

(k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;

(k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;

(k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;

(k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;

(k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.
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From: (CJIS) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 8:49 AM
To: (DO) (FBI)
Cc: (CJIS) (FBI); OCA (FBI); DO (FBI);
Subject: S 436
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

The CJIS Division has reviewed Senate Bill 436, the Internet Stopping Adults Facilitating the Exploitation of Today's Youth Act of 2009, per email request dated 02/27/09, and has no comment.

Thanks,

Paralegal Specialist
Access Integrity Unit
Office of the General Counsel

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-1
In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient
to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

**DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon**

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HOs

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-3
S. 436

To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 13, 2009

Mr. CORNYN introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Internet Stopping Adults Facilitating the Exploitation of Today's Youth Act of 2009" or the "SAFETY Act".

SEC. 2. FINANCIAL FACILITATION OF ACCESS TO CHILD PORNOGRAPHY.

(a) OFFENSE.—Chapter 95 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

FBI-4
§ 1960A. Financial facilitation of access to child pornography

"Whoever knowingly conducts, or attempts or conspires to conduct, a financial transaction (as defined in section 1956(c)) in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, knowing that such transaction will facilitate access to, or the possession of, child pornography (as defined in section 2256) shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 95 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1960A. Financial facilitation of access to child pornography."

SEC. 3. INTERNET FACILITATION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY AND EXPLOITATION OF CHILDREN.

(a) OFFENSE.—Chapter 95 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 1960B. Internet facilitation of child pornography and exploitation of children

"(a) OFFENSE.—Whoever, being an Internet content hosting provider or email service provider, knowingly engages in any conduct the provider knows or has reason to believe facilitates access to, or the possession of, child pornography (as defined in section 2256) shall be fined
under this title or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both.

"(b) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

"(1) the term 'Internet content hosting provider' means a service that—

"(A) stores, through electromagnetic or other means, electronic data, including the content of web pages, electronic mail, documents, images, audio and video files, online discussion boards, and weblogs; and

"(B) makes such data available via the Internet; and

"(2) the term 'email service provider' means a person that—

"(A) provides a service, using the Internet, for the transmission, receipt, storage, and retrieval, by registered users, of electronic mail messages; and

"(B) receives the content of, and recipient list for, electronic mail messages that it transmits, receives, or stores for the person or entity procuring such services.'".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 95 of title 18, United States
Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1960B. Internet facilitation of child pornography and exploitation of children."

SEC. 4. MONEY LAUNDERING PREDICATE.

Section 1956(c)(7)(D) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "1466A (relating to obscene visual representation of the abuse of children)," before "section 1708;"

(2) by inserting "1960A (relating to financial facilitation of access to child pornography), 1960B (relating to Internet facilitation of child pornography and exploitation of children)," before "section 2113"; and

(3) by inserting "2260A (relating to increased penalties for registered sex offenders)," before "section 2280".

SEC. 5. RETENTION OF RECORDS BY ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION SERVICE PROVIDERS.

Section 2703 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(h) RETENTION OF CERTAIN RECORDS AND INFORMATION.—A provider of an electronic communication service or remote computing service shall retain for a period of at least two years all records or other information per-
taining to the identity of a user of a temporarily assigned
network address the service assigns to that user.”.

SEC. 6. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR SEXUAL EXPLO-
TATION OF CHILDREN.

Section 2251(e) of title 18, United States Code, is
amended—

(1) by striking “15 years nor more than 30
years” and inserting “20 years or for life”; and

(2) by striking “not less than 25 years nor
more than 50 years,” and all that follows through
“not less than 30 years nor more than life.” and in-
serting “life.”.

SEC. 7. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR ACTIVITIES RELATING
TO MATERIAL INVOLVING THE SEXUAL EX-
PLOITATION OF CHILDREN.

Section 2252(b) of title 18, United States Code, is
amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “5 years and not more
than 20 years” and inserting “15 years or for
life”; and

(B) by striking “not less than 15 years nor
more than 40 years.” and inserting “not less
than 30 years or for life.”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—
(A) by striking "or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both" and inserting "and imprisoned for not less than 3 years nor more than 20 years"; and

(B) by striking "10 years nor more than 20 years." and inserting "20 years or for life.".

SEC. 8. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR ACTIVITIES RELATING TO MATERIAL CONSTITUTING OR CONTAINING CHILD PORNOGRAPHY.

Section 2252A(b) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking "5 years and not more than 20 years" and inserting "15 years or for life"; and

(B) by striking "not less than 15 years nor more than 40 years" and inserting "not less than 30 years or for life"; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking "or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both" and inserting "and imprisoned for not less than 3 years nor more than 20 years"; and

(B) by striking "10 years nor more than 20 years" and inserting "20 years or for life".
SEC. 9. ADDITIONAL RICO PREDICATES.

Section 1961(1) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "section 641 (relating to embezzlement or theft of public money, property, or records," after "473 (relating to counterfeiting),"; and

(2) by inserting "section 666 (relating to theft or bribery concerning programs receiving Federal funds)," after "section 664 (relating to embezzlement from pension and welfare funds),".

SEC. 10. ADDITIONAL RESOURCES FOR THE INNOCENT IMAGES NATIONAL INITIATIVE.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to carry out the Innocent Images National Initiative, $30,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2010 through 2014.

(b) AVAILABILITY.—Any amounts appropriated pursuant to subsection (a) shall remain available until expended.
From: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 9:11 AM
To: BEERS, ELIZABETH RAE (OCA) (FBI); POWERS, RICHARD C. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

John Carlin
Deputy Chief of Staff
and Counselor to the Director

From: MONTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:46 PM
To: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

John,

FYI re Data Retention.

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27968A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
Tel. 
Tel. FBI-11
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UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Observations:

S 436 Comyn
Internet.pdf (169...)

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27955A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135

From: DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 1:27 PM
To: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI); DICLEMENTE, ANTHONY P. (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: THOMAS, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI); PRICE, J CLAY (OTD) (FBI); BESSETTE, GERALD R. (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FBI-12
All,

Please see the below request from OCA. Please forward any comments or suggestions to me by COB 3/5/09.

Thanks, Pat

From: [Redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 11:11 AM
To: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI)
Subject: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

<< File: S 436 Comyn Internet.pdf >>

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 9:11 AM
To: BEERS, ELIZABETH RAE (OCA) (FBI); POWERS, RICHARD C. (HO) (FBI)
CC: S 436 Comyn Internet.pdf
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

John Carlin
Deputy Chief of Staff
and Counselor to the Director

From: MOTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:46 PM
To: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

John,
FYI re Data Retention.

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135

From: MOTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:44 PM
To: DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI); PRICE, J CLAY (OTD) (FBI); BESSETTE, GERALD R. (OTD) (FBI); DICLEMENTE, ANTHONY P. (OTD) (FBI); THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (OGA); (OTD) (CON); FBI-1 (OTD) (CON); VOSS, R K (OTD) (FBI)
CC: S 436 Comyn Internet.pdf
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR
Thanks, Peter,

Please see the below request from OIA. Please forward any comments or suggestions to me by COB 3/6/09.

All,

Subject: migration

From: Deval Patrick

To: Internal

Cc: [Redacted]

RE: [Redacted]

FYI: [Redacted]

Michael's office 02/09 1:17 PM

Deval Patrick N (012) (Redacted)

Fax: (012) (Redacted)

Tel: (Redacted)

Quantico VA 22135

Building 27956A Room A-207

Engineering Research Facility

Operational Technology Division (OTD)

Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DE3)

Theo. Gregory Morton

Internet arg (169)

5 46 96

[Redacted]
In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [ ] in OCA, with a cc to [ ] and [ ].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.
- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).
- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an email indicating “No Comment”.

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 3:49 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

In lieu of your last message, here is what I have done today on this....

In meantime, as this stuff mulls around, I will cc you. Thanks for letting me know.

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 2:03 PM
To: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Cc: BEERS, ELIZABETH RAE (OCA) (FBI); POWERS, RICHARD C. (DO) (FBI); [Redacted] (DO) (FBI); [Redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

John

[Redacted]

If you'd like to see our collected commentary, please let me know.

I have yet to hear back from the folks at DOJ, but I expect those calls today and will get back to you all as soon as I hear anything.

[Redacted]

From: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 9:11 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: BEERS, ELIZABETH RAE (OCA) (FBI); POWERS, RICHARD C. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]
John Carlin
Deputy Chief of Staff
and Counselor to the Director

From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:46 PM
To: Carlin, John (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

John,

FYI re Data Retention.

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27955A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135

Tel. 
Tel. 
Fax. 

From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:44 PM
To: Devall, Patrick N. (OTD) (FBI); Price, J Clay (OTD) (FBI); Bessette, Gerald R. (OTD) (FBI); Diclemente, Anthony P. (OTD) (FBI) (FBI); Thomas, Marcus C. (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (FBI) (FBI); (OTD) (CON); (OTD) (CON); (OTD) (CON); (OTD) (CON); VoSS, R K (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD
All,

Please see the below request from OCA. Please forward any comments or suggestions to me by COB 3/5/09.

Thanks, Pat

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.
RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [REDACTED] in OCA, with a cc to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[REDACTED]
Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HOs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-21
If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to

and

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to

in OCA, with a cc to

using the Internet.

RE: To amend the 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults

Having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

Should your division make this advance referral, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing.

Incorporated into FBI formal response on this matter.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are

Providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is
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them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating “No Comment”.

**DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon**

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

---

*UNCLASSIFIED*

*UNCLASSIFIED*
CD has reviewed the S436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet and poses no objection.

For information on CD, the S436, amends Title 18, USC, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet. It is also called the "Internet Exploitation of Children Act," or the "Safeguarding Youth Act." Please take a moment to visit the CD Administrative Section's Customer Service Satisfaction Survey. We appreciate you letting us know how we are doing.

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.
Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division’s position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating “No Comment”.

**DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon**

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted]
Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**
Hey there guys - never heard back from you on this one, so just wanted to double check. DOJ has now formally routed, and FBI has had direct congressional contact on this bill, asking for our support.

Formal deadline is tomorrow, 12 noon.

Many thanks!

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

FBI-26

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing,
having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

**DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon**

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted]

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
John

If you'd like to see our collected commentary, please let me know.

I have yet to hear back from the folks at DOJ, but I expect those calls today and will get back to you all as soon as I hear anything.

---

John Carlin
Deputy Chief of Staff
and Counselor to the Director

---

From: MORTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:45 PM
To: CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

John,
FYI re Data Retention.

Thos. Gregory Motta  
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)  
Operational Technology Division (OTD)  
Engineering Research Facility  
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207  
Quantico, VA 22135

From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)  
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:44 PM  
To: Devall, Patrick N. (OTD) (FBI); Dicelemente, Anthony P. (OTD) (FBI); Thomas, Marcus C. (OTD) (FBI);.styles.OA151.000 (OTD) (CON); Price, J Clay (OTD) (FBI); Bessette, Gerald R. (OTD) (FBI); Vooss, R. K. (OTD) (FBI)

Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet // Data Retention DR

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

Observations:

<< File: S 436 Cornyn Internet.pdf >>

Thos. Gregory Motta  
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)  
Operational Technology Division (OTD)  
Engineering Research Facility  
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207  
Quantico, VA 22135

From: Devall, Patrick N. (OTD) (FBI)
All,

Please see the below request from OCA. Please forward any comments or suggestions to me by COB 3/5/09.

Thanks,
Pat

<< File: S 436 Cornyn Internet.pdf >>

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to in OCA, with a cc to

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.
- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what
should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit 1
FBI OCA HQs
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

We will try and put something together before noon tomorrow. :)

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD
Hey there guys - never heard back from you on this one, so just wanted to double check. DOJ has now formally routed, and FBI has had direct congressional contact on this bill, asking for our support.

Formal deadline is tomorrow, 12noon.

Many thanks!

<< File: S 436 Cornyn Internet.pdf >>

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

FBI-33
Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division’s position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating “No Comment”.

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted]
Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-34
From: (OCA) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 2:26 PM
To: (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

Just a reminder, comments are due on this bill tomorrow.
Thank you.

UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

<< File: S 436 Cornyn Internet.pdf >>

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter. FBI-35

1
Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [blank] in OCA, with a cc to [blank] and [blank].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

**DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon**

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Name]
Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI/LOCA HOs

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**
In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [insert email] in OCA, with a cc to [insert email] and [insert email]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

FBI-37

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for
your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

**DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon**

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED
From: (FD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 5:07 PM
To: (DO) (FBI); (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 4:50 PM
To: (FD) (FBI); (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: (FD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 4:47 PM
To: (DO) (FBI); (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks!

Hey there guys - never heard back from you on this one, so just wanted to double check. DOJ has now formally routed, and FBI has had direct congressional contact on this bill, asking for our support.

Formal deadline is tomorrow, 12noon.

FBI-39
In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter. FBI-40
Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating “No Comment”.

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted]
Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
(RMD)(FBI)

From: ___________ CyD (FBI)  
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 4:30 PM  
To: ___________ (DO) (FBI); ___________ (OCA) (FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF  
Cc: ___________ (DO) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon  
Attachments: CyDResponse to S 436(2).doc

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

Please find the Cyber Divisions Comments in the attached word document.

Thanks,

SSA Cyber Exec. Staff  
(O)  
(M)

CyDResponse to S 436(2).doc (2...
In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted] Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-43
Thursday, March 5, 2009 2:27 PM

From: (NV/FBI)
To: (DOJ/FBI)

RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE S-439 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 noon

Subject: UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

Cc:

[Redacted]

Hi,

Thanks K. We have input from IIN but need to get it into a good format for you.

Just a reminder, comments are due on this bill tomorrow. Thank you.

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ, we will ensure that your comments are

File: S-439 Corrns Internet.pdf

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.

Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to [Redacted] OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division's position.
- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).
- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating "No Comment".

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted]
Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
From: [Redacted] (FD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2009 9:26 AM
To: [Redacted] (DO) (FBI); [Redacted] (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

Attachments: Comments on S.436.doc

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Our comments...sorry they are very rough!

Comments on S.436.doc (26 KB)

From: [Redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 5:09 PM
To: [Redacted] (FD) (FBI); [Redacted] (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: [Redacted] (FD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 5:07 PM
To: [Redacted] (DO) (FBI); [Redacted] (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: MACK, KRISTAN E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 4:50 PM
To: [Redacted] (FD) (FBI); [Redacted] (FD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI INTERNAL ROUTE: S 436 Youth Exploitation & the Internet; Deadline Friday 3/6 12 noon

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FBI-47
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks!

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Hey there guys - never heard back from you on this one, so just wanted to double check. DOJ has now formally routed, and FBI has had direct congressional contact on this bill, asking for our support.

Formal deadline is tomorrow, 12noon.

Many thanks!

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

In response to your requests and to minimize the impact of unreasonable external deadlines, OCA is providing the attached bill for comment in advance of possible DOJ routing.

Should this legislation later be formally routed by DOJ we will ensure that your comments are incorporated into FBI formal responses on this matter.  

FBI-48
Should your division, upon this advance review, request that FBI take action prior to formal routing, having your commentary in advance will assist us in our pursuit of this result.

RE: To amend title 18, United States Code, to protect youth from exploitation by adults using the Internet.

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only, to blank in OCA, with a cc to blank and blank.

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the legislation, as well as the reasons for your division’s position.

- If your division believes that changes in the verbiage are necessary, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- Finally, if your division is not taking a position, please send an Email indicating “No Comment”.

DEADLINE: Friday March 6, 2009; 12 noon

As always, I sincerely appreciate your attention to this matter.

Congressional Affairs Liaison Unit I
FBI OCA HQs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-49
Comments on S. 436 (the SAFETY Act)
Per FBI request to DOJ OLA after an internal route on 2/27/09, DOJ formally routed S 436 for comment on 3/9/09.

Comments previously collected by FBI OCA on the bill were sent over at that time. Comments were from CYBER and OTD.
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: S 436 was internally routed by OCA on 2/27/09 and collected commentary was sent to DOJ; a first draft of a views letter was routed by OCA on 4/1/09.

Please let me know if you believe this letter does a better job of addressing your areas of concern. Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.
DEADLINE: Friday June 5, 12 noon (FIRM - No extensions will be granted)

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
FYI again.

Gregg, Marcus, Louis

Per a voice message this morning from Gregg, I wanted to let you each know that in accordance with the time extensions which were granted to OTD, all of your commentary was passed over to DOJ in its entirety on the 7th of April, including the concerns listed below, and all of it was accepted and acknowledged.

DOJ OLA is working on the final draft views letter, but they do not have a time frame for when we will see a final product. We have asked for it to move quickly, and as soon as that letter is drafted, we will get a copy and immediately route it out for your review, etc. I will keep you updated.

Thank you.

FBI OCA

Louis,
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: Draft DOJ views letter on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:54 AM
To: (DO) (FBI)
Cc: (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC
Attachments: s436 views letter 06042009 with CyD blue line comments.doc

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FYI - this just came in from Cyber Division - it is their commentary on the REVISED letter....

From: (CYD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:52 AM
To: HQ-DIV01-OCA-SME
Cc: OGC (FBI); CAMPBELL, TERRI J. (CYD) (FBI); (FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF
(CYD) (FBI); (FBI)
Subject: RE: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Attached please find CyD comment on the official communication regarding S 436. CyD comment appears on the last page of the draft letter.

s436 views letter 06042009 wit...

Cyber Div. Exec. Staff
Desk BBry

From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:35 PM
To: HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF; DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: (DO) (FBI); OCA (FBI); (FBI)
Subject: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

<< File: s436 views letter 06042009.doc >>  << File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: S 436 was internally routed by OCA on 2/27/09 and collected commentary was sent to DOJ; a first draft of a views letter was routed by OCA on 4/1/09.

Please let me know if you believe this letter does a better job of addressing your areas of concern. Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Name] in OCA, with a cc to [Name] and [Name].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.
- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).
- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.
- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: Friday June 5, 12 noon (FIRM - No extensions will be granted)

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

CLU I
FBI OCA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-64
From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 5:47 PM
To: MOTTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OCG) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (OGA); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC
Importance: High
Attachments: s436 views letter 06042009.doc; s436 views letter 03312009.doc

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Making sure you all have seen this may call you.

From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:35 PM
To: HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF; DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OCA) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OCA) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OCA) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OCA) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OCA) (FBI)
Subject: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

s436 views letter 06042009.doc... s436 views letter 03312009.doc...

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment. RE: S 436 was internally routed by OCA on 2/27/09 and collected commentary was sent to DOJ; a first draft of a views letter was routed by OCA on 4/1/09.

Please let me know if you believe this letter does a better job of addressing your areas of concern. Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [REDACTED] in OCA, with a cc to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to this list for future distribution.
• Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

• If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

• If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

• If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: Friday June 5, 12 noon (FIRM - No extensions will be granted)

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

CLU I
FBI OCA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 2:26 PM
To: (DO) (FBI)
Cc: (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: SHORT DEADLINE: FW: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

Thanks,

From: (CYD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 1:50 PM
To: (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: SHORT DEADLINE: FW: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC

UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

Thanks,

SSA
Cyber Div. Exec. Staff Desk
BBry

From: CAMPBELL, TERRI J. (CYD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 11:07 AM
To: (CYD) (FBI); (CyD) (FBI); (O.G.C) (FBI);
Cc: (CYD)(FBI)
Subject: FW: SHORT DEADLINE: FW: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC

UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

FBI-77
Hi

Thx,
T

From: [Name] (CyD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2009 10:32 AM
To: [Name] (CyD) (FBI); CAMPBELL, TERRI J. (CyD) (FBI); [Name] (CyD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: SHORT DEADLINE: FW: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD
Please review the attached and provide any comments back to me by 10 AM tomorrow.

Thanks!

SSA
Cyber Div. Exec. Staff
Desk
BBry

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: S 436 was internally routed by OCA on 2/27/09 and collected commentary was sent to DOJ; a first draft of a views letter was routed by OCA on 4/1/09.

Please let me know if you believe this letter does a better job of addressing your areas of concern.
Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Name] in OCA, with a cc to [Name] and [Name].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

**DEADLINE:** Friday June 5, 12 noon (FIRM - No extensions will be granted)

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Name]
CLU I
FBI OCA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-80
Sorry for the delay.

My recommended edits, based upon my conversation with [insert name] are visible in track changes mode.

Thanks,
Gregg

[Image of a document titled "s436 views letter 200906051000"]

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bidg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
All,

Please review the revised letter below dated 06/04/2009 to ensure it addresses the concerns identified below on 4/3/09. Please provide any comments back to me by 10:00am tomorrow, 06/05/2009.

Thanks, Pat

04/03/2009 - OTD offers the following comments on this issue:

Observations:

---

From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 1:35 PM
To: HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF; DENVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (FBI) (FBI) (OCA)
Subject: REVISED DOJ Views Letter S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 6/5 12 noon FIRM - RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FBI-82
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: S 436 was internally routed by OCA on 2/27/09 and collected commentary was sent to DOJ; a first
draft of a views letter was routed by OCA on 4/1/09.

Please let me know if you believe this letter does a better job of addressing your areas of concern. Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Name] in OCA, with a cc to [Name] and [Name].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE:  Friday June 5, 12 noon (FIRM - No extensions will be granted)

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

CLU I
FBI OCA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-83
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Sorry. Just noticed this email. Don't bother sending these comments. They missed the deadline. Thanks.

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks,

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks,

FBI-89
Attached please find CyD comment on the official communication regarding S 436. CyD comment appears on the last page of the draft letter.

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: S 436 was internally routed by OCA on 2/27/09 and collected commentary was sent to DOJ; a first draft of a views letter was routed by OCA on 4/1/09.

Please let me know if you believe this letter does a better job of addressing your areas of concern. Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.
Please provide primary responses with comments to [redacted] in OCA, with a cc to Theresa Spinola, [redacted] and [redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

**DEADLINE:** Friday June 5, 12 noon (FIRM - No extensions will be granted)

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
The CJIS Division has reviewed the DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436, the Internet SAFETY Act, per email request dated 04/01/09, and has no comment.

Thanks,

Paralegal Specialist
Access Integrity Unit
Office of the General Counsel
From: RAGAN, SEAN (AT) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 1:20 PM
To:  
Cc:  
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High
Attachments: s436 views letter 03312009.doc

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

CID has no comment on the captioned matter.

Thanks,
Sean

From: RAGAN, SEAN (CID) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 2:29 PM
To:  
Cc:  
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Please see the attached draft DOJ views letter concerning the captioned matter.
Please let me know one way or the other whether you will have a response, and provide any official comments by the deadline.

Thanks,
Sean

From:  
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:50 AM
To:  
Cc:  
Subject: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FBI-96
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment. RE: Draft DOJ views lettr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Name] in OCA, with a cc to [Name] and [Name].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.
- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).
- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.
- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 3:05 PM
To: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: ___________________________________(DO) (FBI); CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Louis,

<< File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: Draft DOJ views letter on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to ___________ in OCA, with a cc to ___________ and ___________.

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE:  12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

CLU I

FBI-98

34
From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2009 6:42 PM
To: Anthony P. (OTD) (FBI); Price, J. Clay (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (FBI); Diclemente, Domenick (OTD) (FBI); RYAN, Timothy K. (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (FBI); THOMAS, Marcus C. (OTD) (FBI); CARLIN, John (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); CAPRONI, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI); GREVER, Louis E. (DO) (FBI); (FBI); Lammert, Elaine N. (OGC) (FBI);
Cc: RYAN, Timothy K. (OTD) (FBI); (OTD) (FBI); CARLIN, John (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); CAPRONI, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI); GREVER, Louis E. (DO) (FBI); Lammert, Elaine N. (OGC) (FBI);
Subject: RE: DATA RETENTION - DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline COB 4/2/09- REMINDER!
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Due By: Thursday, April 02, 2009 6:30 PM
Flag Status: Flagged

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Observations:

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207

FBI-100
From: OTD (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2009 3:02 PM
To: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI); Diclemente, Anthony P. (OTD) (FBI); Price, J
Clay (OTD) (FBI); Ryan, Timothy K. (OTD) (FBI); Thomas, Marcus C. (OTD) (FBI); OTD (FBI)
Cc: Ryan, Timothy K. (OTD) (FBI); Thomas, Marcus C. (OTD) (FBI); OTD (FBI)
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline COB 4/2/09 - REMINDER!

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Please review the below and respond to me with your comments by COB Thursday 4/2/09.

Thank you!

Supervisory Management and Program Analyst
Operational Support Team
Strategic Resources Unit
OTD - Quantico

From: DEVALL, Patrick N. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 11:47 AM
To: OTD (FBI)
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:50 AM
To: (CID) (FBI); Ragan, Sean (CID) (FBI); HQ-Div16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF; CIIS (FBI); OGC (FBI); CIIS (FBI); Devall, Patrick N. (OTD) (FBI); Caproni, Valerie E. (OCG) (FBI); CIO (FBI); OCG (FBI); OGC (FBI); Lammert, Elaine N. (OGC) (FBI);
Cc: (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI)
Subject: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

<< File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

FBI-101
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: Draft DOJ views lettr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [Redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [Redacted] and [Redacted]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

[Redacted]
CLU I
FBI OCA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-102
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

OTD offers the following comments on this issue:

Observations:
The attached letter/official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.

RE: Draft DOJ views letter on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [redacted] and [redacted]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

**DEADLINE:** 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: (CyD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 9:54 AM
To: (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF
Cc: (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF
Subject: RE: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Attachments: CyDResponse to S436Comments.doc

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Please find attached the Cyber Divisions comments to the above letter with recommended changes. If you have any questions, don't hesitate to contact us.

Thanks,

SSA Cyber Exec. Staff

CyDResponse to S436Comments.doc.

From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:59 AM
To: RAGAN, SEAN (CID) (FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF; (CIIS) (FBI); (CIIS) (FBI); DEVAL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI); CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI)
Cc: (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI)
Subject: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

<< File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: Draft DOJ views letter on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to __________ in OCA, with a cc to __________ and __________

FBI-105

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it
to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-106
Neither GLU nor STLU have any comments.

v/r,

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment. RE: Draft DOJ views lettr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [redacted] OCA, with a cc to [redacted] and [redacted].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.
• If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

**DEADLINE:** 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 3:14 PM
To: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: DO (FBI); CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 3:05 PM
To: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: DO (FBI); CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Louis,

<< File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: Draft DOJ views lettr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to in OCA, with a cc to and

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the

FBI-110
body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

**DEADLINE:** 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 4:50 PM
To: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI); CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: RE: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Marcus

Marcus C. Thomas
Assistant Director,
Operational Technology Division

From: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 3:14 PM
To: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: RE: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 3:05 PM
To: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: 
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Louis,

<< File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

FBI-112
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.
RE: Draft DOJ views lettr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [redacted] OCA, with a cc to [redacted] and [redacted]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 12:47 PM
To: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI); GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI); MOTTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: RAE (OCA) (FBI); BEERS, ELIZABETH (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); BEERS, ELIZABETH (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC
Importance: High

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

DOJ CLA is working on the final draft views letter, but they do not have a time frame for when we will see a final product. We have asked for it to move quickly, and as soon as that letter is drafted, we will get a copy and immediately route it out for your review, etc. I will keep you updated.

Thank you.

FBI OCA

From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 3:05 PM
To: GREVER, LOUIS E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: (DO) (FBI); CARLIN, JOHN (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Louis,

<< File: s436 views letter 03312009.doc >>

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment. RE: Draft DOJ views lettr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only. FBI-114
Please provide primary responses with comments to [ ] in OCA, with a cc to [ ] and [ ].

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

• Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

• If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

• If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

• If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment. RE: Draft DOJ views letter on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to [redacted] in OCA, with a cc to [redacted] and [redacted]

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the
If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

**DEADLINE:** 12 noon Friday 4/3

As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: (CID) (FB); RAGAN, SEAN (AT)(FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF (CJS) (FBI); (CJS) (FBI); DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI); CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); ELAINE N. (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:50 AM

To: (CID) (FB); RAGAN, SEAN (AT)(FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF (CJS) (FBI); (CJS) (FBI); DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI); CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); ELAINE N. (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI)

Cc: (CID) (FB); RAGAN, SEAN (AT)(FBI); HQ-DIV16-EXECUTIVE-STAFF (CJS) (FBI); (CJS) (FBI); DEVALL, PATRICK N. (OTD) (FBI); CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); ELAINE N. (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI); (DO) (FBI); (OCA) (FBI)

Subject: DOJ Draft Views Letter on S 436 Internet SAFETY Act; Deadline 4/3 12 noon; RFC

Importance: High

Attachments: s436 views letter 03312009.doc

The attached letter / official communication is being routed by DOJ for official comment.

RE: Draft DOJ views letrr on S. 436 the Internet SAFETY Act

Please provide comments in a WORD DOCUMENT only.

Please provide primary responses with comments to __________ in OCA, with a cc to __________ and __________

If you feel there is someone else who should see this and who has not been included, PLEASE forward it to them and then let me know that I should add them on to the lists for future distribution.

- Please indicate if your division is in favor or opposed to the letter, as well as the reasons for your division's position.

- If your division opposes the letter fully or in part, but believes that it can be remedied by changes in the verbiage, please describe in detail what should be added, deleted, or changed, including recommendations for substitute language sufficient to correct the objectionable section(s).

- If you have additional comments which are not suitable for dissemination, please include them in the body of your E-mail separate and apart from the attachment.

- If your division is not taking a position and has no comments, please send an E-mail stating such.

DEADLINE: 12 noon Friday 4/3
As always, I appreciate your attention to this matter.
From: (OGC) (FBI)  
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 7:27 AM  
To: (OGC) (FBI)  
Subject: FW: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)  
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 6:24 PM  
To: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)  
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OTD)  
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

Thx  
Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta  
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)  
Operational Technology Division (OTD)  
Engineering Research Facility  
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207  
Quantico, VA 22135

Tel:  
Tel:  
Fax:  

From: (OGC) (FBI)  
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 3:21 PM  
To: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (FBI)  
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations
Gregg,

From: MOTTA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 12:11 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tailing the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks for getting back to me.

Just my thoughts.
Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
Tel. 
Tel. 
Fax

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: [Redacted], [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Toiling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I'll try.
Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.

Your thoughts.

Gregg
Thos. Gregory Motta  
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)  
Operational Technology Division (OTD)  
Engineering Research Facility  
Bldg 27988A, Room A-207  
Quantico, VA 22135

To: Thx
Gregg

FBI-130
Thanks for getting back to me.

Just my thoughts.

Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
From: OGC (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: MOTTI, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI); OGC (FBI); OGC (FBI); OGC (FBI)
Cc: OGC (FBI); OGC (FBI); OGC (FBI); OGC (FBI)
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD
From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 1:26 PM
To: (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: (OGC) (FBI), (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.

Your thoughts.

Gregg

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-133
From: (OGC) (FBI)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 12:53 PM  
To: (OGC) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

Please call me about this so I can make sure that we are on the same page (whatever that is).

From: (OGC) (FBI)  
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM  
To: (OGC) (FBI)  
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

FBI-134
Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.

Your thoughts.

Gregg
Just my thoughts.

Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DE8)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bidg 27956A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD
Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.
Your thoughts.

Gregg

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
Thanks for getting back to me.

Just my thoughts.

Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta  
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)  
Operational Technology Division (OTD)  
Engineering Research Facility

FBI-140
From: [OGC (FBI)]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: [OGC (FBI)]
Cc: [OGC (FBI)]
Subject: RE: Legislative proposal - Encryption - Tolling the Statute of Limitations

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

---

FBI-141
Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.

Your thoughts.

Gregg

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FBI-142
A data-retention bill called the Internet SAFETY Act has been introduced in both houses of Congress. It is directed at child pornography, and there are some child-porn-specific provisions, but its data-retention provision would help all sorts of investigations:

18 USC 2703(h) Retention of Certain Records and Information- A provider of an electronic communication service or remote computing service shall retain for a period of at least two years all records or other information pertaining to the identity of a user of a temporarily assigned network address the service assigns to that user.

The bills have been referred to the Judiciary committees. Each is sponsored by the committee's ranking Republican

(H.R. 1076 is identical)
From:
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 11:23 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: Encryption / tolling SOL

Any thoughts on the idea from yourself or from Cyber?
(RMD)(FBI)

From: 
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 4:00 PM 
To: 
Subject: RE: Data-retention legislation

I haven't seen it circulated by OCA. I did include__ of OCA on my e-mail, so that should let her know that we're interested. I'm not sure what it takes for a bill to be circulated for comment -- if it has to be scheduled for a committee hearing, if the committee seeks the administration's input, or if it can be something else.

From: 
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 2:57 PM 
To: 
Subject: RE: Data-retention legislation

Have we seen this from OCA for formal comment yet?

From: 
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 11:17 AM 
To: 
Subject: Data-retention legislation

A data-retention bill called the Internet SAFETY Act has been introduced in both houses of Congress. It is directed at child pornography, and there are some child-porn-specific provisions, but its data-retention provision would help all sorts of investigations:

18 USC 2703(h) Retention of Certain Records and Information- A provider of an electronic communication service or remote computing service shall retain for a period of at least two years all records or other information pertaining to the identity of a user of a temporarily assigned network address the service assigns to that user.

The bills have been referred to the Judiciary committees. Each is sponsored by the committee's ranking Republican

Those especially interested in child porn should know that the bills also criminalize "any conduct [by an Internet content or hosting provider or e-mail service provider that] the provider knows or has reason to believe facilitates access to, or the possession of," CP. And it authorizes an additional $30 million for the IIIN.

Declan McCullagh's CNET article: http://news.cnet.com/8301-13578_3-10168114-38.html
Link to S. 438: http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c111:S.438:
(H.R. 1079 is identical)

FBI-145

9/24/2010
From: ____________________________
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 1:58 PM
To: ____________________________
Subject: RE: Data-retention legislation

Thanks

--- Original Message ---
From: ____________________________
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 11:17 AM
To: ____________________________
Subject: Data-retention legislation

A data-retention bill called the Internet SAFETY Act has been introduced in both houses of Congress. It is directed at child pornography, and there are some child-porn-specific provisions, but its data-retention provision would help all sorts of investigations:

18 USC 2703(h) Retention of Certain Records and Information- A provider of an electronic communication service or remote computing service shall retain for a period of at least two years all records or other information pertaining to the identity of a user of a temporarily assigned network address the service assigns to that user.

The bills have been referred to the Judiciary committees. Each is sponsored by the committee's ranking Republican ____________________________

Those especially interested in child porn should know that the bills also criminalize "any conduct [by an Internet content or hosting provider or e-mail service provider that the provider knows or has reason to believe facilitates access to, or the possession of," CP.

And it authorizes an additional $30 million for the IINi.

Declan McCullagh's CNET article: http://news.cnet.com/8301-13578_3-10188114-38.html
Link to S. 436: http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c111:S.436;
(H.R. 1076 is identical)

__________________________________________________________
FBI Science & Technology Law Unit (OGC)

FBI-147

9/24/2010
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:01 AM
To: [Redacted] Henry, Shawn; [Redacted] Campbell, Terri J.;
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Encryption / tolling SOL

Thanks,

Acting Unit Chief
STLU/OGC/ FBI

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 11:23 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Encryption / tolling SOL

Any thoughts on the idea from yourself or from Cyber?

FBI-148

9/24/2010
(RMD)(FBI)

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 6:53 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Re: Encryption / tolling SOL

Let's talk about this during a break at training today.

To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Encryption / tolling SOL

Any thoughts on the idea from yourself or from Cyber?

FBI-149

//

9/24/2010
Thos. Gregory Motta  
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)  
Operational Technology Division (OTD)  
Engineering Research Facility  
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207  
Quantico, VA 22135
Gregg,

Thanks for getting back to me.

Just my thoughts.
Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
Tel.
Tel.
Fax

From: (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: (OGC) (FBI) (OGC) (FBI) (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Gregg, thanks for that language and your thoughts.

I'll try:
Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.

Your thoughts.

Gregg
From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 6:24 PM
To:
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

Thx
Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
Tel.
Fax

From: (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 3:21 PM
To: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI);
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

Gregg,

FBI-154
(RMD)(FBI)

From: (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 12:53 PM
To: (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Please call me about this so I can make sure that we are on the same page (whatever that is).

From: (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: MOTTIA, THOMAS GREGORY (OTD) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FBI-155
Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.

Your thoughts.

Gregg
Gregg - Thanks.

let's discuss where we go from here.

Thanks,
Just my thoughts.

Gregg

Thos. Gregory Motta
Section Chief, Digital Evidence Section (DES)
Operational Technology Division (OTD)
Engineering Research Facility
Bldg 27958A, Room A-207
Quantico, VA 22135
Tel:
Tel:
Fax:

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:47 AM
To: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: [Redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Gregg, thanks for that language and your thoughts.
From: Motta, Thomas Gregory (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 1:26 PM
To: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI);
Subject:

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Just to follow up on our discussion yesterday.
Your thoughts.

-Gregg
(RMD)(FBI)

From: 
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 11:23 PM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: 

Any thoughts on the idea from yourself or from Cyber?
From: [Redacted]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 4:00 PM  
To: [Redacted]  
Subject: RE: Data-retention legislation

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 2:57 PM  
To: [Redacted]  
Subject: RE: Data-retention legislation

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 11:17 AM  
To: [Redacted]  
Subject: Data-retention legislation

A data-retention bill called the Internet SAFETY Act has been introduced in both houses of Congress. It is directed at child pornography, and there are some child-porn-specific provisions, but its data-retention provision would help all sorts of investigations:

18 USC 2703(h) Retention of Certain Records and Information - A provider of an electronic communication service or remote computing service shall retain for a period of at least two years all records or other information pertaining to the identity of a user of a temporarily assigned network address the service assigns to that user.

The bills have been referred to the Judiciary committees. Each is sponsored by the committee's ranking Republican


(H.R. 1079 is identical)  

FBI-162

10/20/2010
(RMD)(FBI)

From:  
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 1:58 PM  
To:  
Subject: RE: Data-retention legislation

---Original Message-----
From:  
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 11:17 AM  
To: Motta, Thomas G.; (CRM); (CRM);  
Subject: Data-retention legislation

A data-retention bill called the Internet SAFETY Act has been introduced in both houses of Congress. It is directed at child pornography, and there are some child-porn-specific provisions, but its data-retention provision would help all sorts of investigations:

18 USC 2703(h) Retention of Certain Records and Information- A provider of an electronic communication service or remote computing service shall retain for a period of at least two years all records or other information pertaining to the identity of a user of a temporarily assigned network address the service assigns to that user.

The bills have been referred to the Judiciary committees. Each is sponsored by the committee’s ranking Republican (each of whom happens to be from Texas).

Declan McCullagh’s CNET article: http://news.cnet.com/8301-13578_3-10168114-38.html
Link to S. 436: http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c111:S.436:
(H.R. 1076 is identical)

PRIVILEGED INTERNAL DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT - ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT
FBI Science & Technology Law Unit (OGC)

FBI-164

10/20/2010
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 9:01 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Henry, Shawn; Campbell, Terri J.
Subject: RE: 

- thanks for forwarding this, I sent it on to Cyber.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

Acting Unit Chief
STLU/OGC/FBI

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 11:23 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc:
Subject:

Any thoughts on the idea from yourself or from Cyber?

FBI-165
From: [Name]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 6:53 AM
To: [Name]
Cc: [Name]
Subject: Re: Encryption / tolling SOL

Let's talk about this during a break at training today.

From: [Name]
To: [Name]
Cc: [Name]
Subject: Encryption / tolling SOL

Any thoughts on the idea from yourself or from Cyber?