

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY
INFORMATION CENTER

Plaintiff,
v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01961(KBJ)

~~CLASSIFIED THIRD DECLARATION OF DAVID M. HARDY~~

I, David M. Hardy, declare as follows:

(1) (U) I am currently the Section Chief of the Record/Information Dissemination Section ("RIDS"), Records Management Division ("RMD"), in Winchester, Virginia. I have held this position since August 1, 2002. Prior to joining the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), from May 1, 2001 to July 21, 2002, I was the Assistant Judge Advocate General of the Navy for Civil Law. In that capacity, I had direct oversight of Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") policy, procedures, appeals, and litigation for the Navy. From October 1, 1980 to April 30, 2001, I served as a Navy Judge Advocate at various commands and routinely worked with FOIA matters. I am also an attorney who has been licensed to practice law in the state of Texas since 1980.

(2) (U) In my official capacity as Section Chief of RIDS, I supervise approximately 219 employees who staff a total of ten (10) Federal Bureau of Investigation Headquarters ("FBIHQ") units and two (2) field operational service center units whose collective mission is to effectively plan, develop, direct, and manage responses to requests for access to FBI records and

~~ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE~~

~~Date: 12/10/2014
Classified By: J23J98T32
Derived From: NSICG
Reason: 1.4 C
Declassify on: 12/10/2039~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

information pursuant to the FOIA, amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007; the Open FOIA Act of 2009; the Privacy Act of 1974; Executive Order 13526; Presidential, Attorney General, and FBI policies and procedures; judicial decisions; and other Presidential and Congressional directives. I have been designated by the Attorney General of the United States as an original classification authority and a declassification authority pursuant to E.O. 13526, §§ 1.3 and 3.1. The statements contained in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, upon information provided to me in my official capacity, and upon conclusions and determinations reached and made in accordance therewith.

(3) (U) Due to the nature of my official duties, I am familiar with the procedures followed by the FBI in responding to requests for information from its files pursuant to the provisions of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. Specifically, I am aware of the FBI's handling of the Department of Justice referrals to the FBI from the National Security Division ("NSD"), pertaining to a Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request made by plaintiff, Electronic Privacy Information Center. The plaintiff requested records used to draft the semiannual reports on the use of pen registers and trap and trace ("PR/TT") devices for national security purposes.¹

(4) (U) NSD located FBI documents while processing plaintiff's FOIA request. The FBI received NSD's consult referral on and assigned it FOIA Number 1280972. All referred documents are FISA-related and concern the use of electronic surveillance by the FBI in national security investigations through the use of PR/TT devices. Accordingly, the FBI carefully reviewed and processed the referred documents under the FOIA and provided its

¹ The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act ("FISA") of 1978, P.L. 95-511, 50 U.S.C. § 1801 et seq, as amended, provides a statutory framework for the U.S. government to engage in electronic surveillance through the installation and use of pen registers and trap and trace devices for the purpose of obtaining foreign intelligence information. See Title IV of FISA, 50 U.S.C. §§ 1841-1846.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

response to NSD on August 4, 2014.

(5) (U) On October 21, 2014 the Defendant submitted its Motion for Summary Judgment. In accordance with *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), the defendant's filed a *Vaughn* declaration dated October 30, 2014 addressing its withholdings, a Supplemental Declaration was filed on November 7, 2014. The declarations justified the FBI's withholding of information in full and in part pursuant to FOIA Exemptions 1, 3, and 7(E).² 5 U.S.C. §§ 552 (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(7)(E). This declaration incorporates my declarations dated October 30, 2014 and November 7, 2014.

(6) (U) In accordance with *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), this declaration is submitted to supplement the declarations dated October 30, 2014 and November 7, 2014 and to support defendant's reply. The declaration will justify the FBI's withholding of information in full pursuant to FOIA exemptions 1, 3, and 7(e). 5 U.S.C. §§ 552 (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(7)(E).

PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

(7)



² The *Vaughn* Declaration only covers those FOIA Exemptions specifically challenged in plaintiff's 9/18/2014 email. Those exemptions are (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(7)(E).

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

BACKGROUND ON

(8)



(9)



(10)



~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(11)

[REDACTED]

(12)

[REDACTED]

(13)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(14)

[REDACTED]

(15)

[REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(16)

[REDACTED]

(17)

[REDACTED]

(18)

[REDACTED]

(19)

[REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

(20)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] NATIONAL SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

(21)

[REDACTED]

(22)

[REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]


(23)

[REDACTED]

(24)

[REDACTED]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~



**EXPLANATION OF THE CODED FORMAT USED FOR THE
JUSTIFICATION OF DELETED MATERIAL**

(25) (U) All documents have been thoroughly reviewed to achieve maximum disclosure consistent with the access provisions of the FOIA. Every effort has been made to provide plaintiff with all material in the public domain and with all reasonably segregable portions of releasable material. All material withheld by the FBI is exempt from disclosure pursuant to a FOIA exemption. Each page has been consecutively numbered - "EPIC-241 through EPIC-511." The withheld in full pages have been replaced by a Deleted Page Information Sheet, which identifies the reason and/or applicable FOIA exemptions relied upon to withhold the page in full as well as the corresponding Bates number. The Deleted Page Information Sheets have been provided to plaintiff and will be made available to the Court upon request.

(26) (U) The withheld in full pages contain coded categories of exemptions which detail the nature of the withheld information. The coded categories are provided to aid the Court and plaintiff in reviewing the FBI's explanation for invoking various FOIA exemptions to protect exempt material. A review of this information will reveal that the withheld material is

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

exempt from disclosure pursuant to a FOIA exemption, or is not reasonably segregable from exempt information.

**MECHANICS OF USING THE CODED FORMAT
WITH THE EXEMPTION CATEGORIES**

(27) (U) Each withholding has a marking next to it denoting the applicable FOIA exemption as well as a numerical designation identifying the specific nature of the withheld information. For example, on Bates page EPIC-241, Exemption (b)(7)(E)-1 is cited to protect the FBI's investigative techniques and procedures. The "(b)(7)(E)" designation refers to FOIA Exemption 7(E) concerning Investigative Techniques and Procedures. The numerical designation "1" following the (b)(7)(E) narrows the category of protected information from the main category to a more specific subcategory, "Investigative Techniques and Procedures." The coded categories are used to assist the Court and plaintiff in their review of the FBI's withholdings among the challenged documents.

SUMMARY OF JUSTIFICATION CATEGORIES

(b)(1)-1	1.4(c) Intelligence Activities Sources and Methods [cited, at times, in conjunction with (b)(3) and/or (b)(7)(E)]
(b)(3)-1	Information Specifically Exempted by 50 U.S.C. § 3024 (i)(1) (National Security Act of 1947) [cited, at times, in conjunction with (b)(1) and/or (b)(7)(E)]
(b)(7)(E)-1	Investigative Techniques and Procedures [cited, at times, in conjunction with (b)(1) and (b)(3)]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(7)(E)-5

Specific Law Enforcement Technique Utilized to Conduct National Security Investigations [cited, at times, in conjunction with (b)(1) and (b)(3)]

JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-DISCLOSURE UNDER THE FOIA

(28) (U) Below is a list of the withheld in full documents, the asserted FOIA exemptions, and the nature of the withheld information.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DOCUMENTS

(29) (U) The documents I address in this declaration are as follows:

- a. PR-BR Order Authorizing Installation and Use of Pen Register(s) and Trap and Trace Device(s) and Requiring the Production of Certain Tangible Things – Document #68
- b. Semi-annual reports submitted to the Senate and House Judiciary Committees, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to sections 108(2) and 306 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (“FISA”) – Documents #125 and 126.

(30) (U) All documents listed above involve descriptions of specific methods utilized by the FBI in collecting foreign intelligence and the techniques used in gathering such information under a FISA PR/BR Order or a Pen Register and Trap and Trace (“PR/TT”) order. The FBI employs these methods and techniques in its national security investigations with the purpose of collecting intelligence and the goal of protecting the United States from, among other

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

things, international terrorism.

(31)



~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(32)

EXEMPTION (b)(1)
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

(33) (U) 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(1) exempts from disclosure records⁶ that are:

- (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy; and
- (B) are in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive Order.

(34) (U) Before I consider an Exemption 1 claim for withholding agency records, I determine whether the information in those records is information that satisfies the requirements of E.O. 13526, the Executive Order that governs the classification and protection of information that affects the national security,⁷ and whether the information complies with the various substantive and procedural criteria of the Executive Order. E.O. 13526, signed by President Barack Obama on December 29, 2009, is the Executive Order that currently applies to the protection of national security information. I am bound by the requirements of E.O. 13526, when making classification determinations.

(35) (U) For information to be properly classified, and thus, properly withheld from

⁶ See First Hardy Decl., fn. 18.

⁷ Section 6.1 (cc) of E.O. 13526, defines "National Security" as "the national defense of foreign relations of the United States."

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

disclosure pursuant to Exemption (b)(1), the information must meet the requirements set forth in E.O. 13526, §1.1 (a):

- (1) an original classification authority is classifying the information;
- (2) the information is owned by, produced by or for, or is under the control of the United States Government;
- (3) the information falls within one or more of the categories of information listed in §1.4 of this order; and
- (4) the original classification authority determines that the unauthorized disclosure of the information reasonably could be expected to result in damage to the national security, which includes defense against transnational terrorism, and the original classification authority is able to identify or describe the damage.

(36) (U) As I will explain in further detail below, in my role as an original classification authority, I have determined that the information withheld pursuant to Exemption (b)(1) is under the control of the United States Government, is classified and requires a classification marking at the "Secret" level because "the unauthorized disclosure of which reasonably could be expected to cause serious damage to the national security" and at the "Top Secret" because "the unauthorized disclosure of which reasonably could be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security." See E.O. 13526, §1.2(a)(1)and(2). In addition to these substantive requirements, certain procedural and administrative requirements of E.O. 13526 must be followed before information can be considered to be properly classified, such as proper identification and marking of documents. I made certain that all procedural requirements of E.O. 13526 were followed in order to ensure the information was properly classified. I made certain that:

- (1) each document was marked as required and stamped with the proper classification designation;
- (2) each document was marked to indicate clearly which portions are

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

classified, which portions are exempt from declassification as set forth in E.O. 13526, §1.5 (b), and which portions are unclassified;

- (3) the prohibitions and limitations on classification specified in E.O. 13526, §1.7, were adhered to;
- (4) the declassification policies set forth in E.O. 13526, §§3.1 and 3.3 were followed; and
- (5) any reasonably segregable portions of these classified documents that did not meet the standards for classification under E.O. 13526 were declassified and marked for release, unless withholding was otherwise warranted under applicable law.

Findings of Declarant

(37) (U) With the above requirements in mind, I personally and independently examined the information withheld from plaintiff pursuant to FOIA Exemption 1. I determined that this classified information is owned by, was produced by or for, and/or is under the control of the U.S. Government. I further determined that the classified information continues to warrant classification at the "Secret" and "Top Secret" levels and is exempt from disclosure pursuant to E.O. 13526, §1.4, categories (c) intelligence activities (including covert action), intelligence sources and methods, or cryptology.

(b)(1)-1: E.O. 13526, § 1.4(c) – Intelligence Activities, Sources and Methods

(38) (U) E.O. 13526, § 1.4(c), exempts intelligence activities (including covert action), intelligence sources or methods, or cryptology from disclosure. An intelligence activity or method includes any intelligence action or technique utilized by the FBI against a targeted individual or organization who has been determined to be of national security interest. An intelligence method is used to indicate any procedure (human or non-human) utilized to obtain information concerning such individual or organization. An intelligence activity or method has two characteristics. First, the intelligence activity or method -- and information generated by it --

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

is needed by U. S. Intelligence Community to carry out its foreign intelligence and counterintelligence missions. Second, confidentiality must be maintained with respect to the activity or method if the viability, productivity and usefulness of its information is to be preserved. As described in detail below, the withheld information is protected by Exemption 1 because it describes intelligence activities, sources, and methods utilized by the FBI in gathering intelligence information. The information falls squarely within the meaning of §1.4(c). Additionally, the FBI is also asserting FOIA Exemption (b)(3) [50 U.S.C. § 3024 (i)(1)] (National Security Act of 1947) in conjunction with (b)(1) and is, at times, claiming (b)(7)(E).

(39) (U) The FBI has protected information under FOIA Exemption (b)(1) because it is classified and the release of such information would reveal actual intelligence activities and methods used by the FBI against specific targets who are the subject of foreign counterintelligence investigations or operations; identify a target of a foreign counterintelligence investigation; or disclose the intelligence gathering capabilities of the activities or methods directed at specific targets. The information obtained from the intelligence activities or methods is very specific in nature, provided during a specific time period, and known to very few individuals.

(40) (U) It is my determination that disclosure of specific information describing the intelligence activities or methods that have been or are being used within these documents, and are still used by the FBI in gathering intelligence information in other cases, could reasonably be expected to cause serious damage and exceptionally grave damage to the national security for the following reasons: (1) disclosure would allow hostile entities to discover the evolution of the FBI's intelligence gathering methods; (2) disclosure would reveal still-current, specific targets of the FBI's national security investigations; and (3) disclosure would reveal the determination of


~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

the criteria used and priorities assigned to past and current intelligence or counterintelligence investigations. With the aid of this detailed information, hostile entities and individuals could develop countermeasures which would, in turn, severely disrupt the FBI's intelligence gathering capabilities. This major disruption could result in severe damage to the FBI's efforts to detect and apprehend violators of the United States' national security and criminal laws.

(41)



~~SECRET//NOFORN~~



(42) (U) It is my determination that the release of this information could permit hostile non-U.S. persons, entities, and foreign governments to appraise the scope, focus, location, target and capabilities of the FBI's intelligence-gathering methods and activities, and allow hostile agents to devise countermeasures to circumvent these intelligence activities or methods and render them useless in providing intelligence information. This revelation of intelligence activities and methods would severely disrupt the FBI's intelligence-gathering capabilities and could cause serious or exceptionally grave damage to our national security. This information is properly classified at the "Secret" level and withheld pursuant to E.O. 13526, § 1.4(c).⁸ Thus, the information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 1.⁹

EXEMPTION (b)(3)
INFORMATION PROTECTED BY STATUTE

(43) (U) 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(3) exempts from disclosure information that is specifically protected by statute...provided that such statute (A)(i) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on the issue, or (ii) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld; and (B) if enacted after the date of enactment of the OPEN FOIA Act of 2009, specifically cites to this paragraph.

⁸ As noted in the Government's Vaughn index, Document 68 is classified "SECRET" and Documents 125 and 126 are classified "TOP SECRET" pursuant to Executive Order 13,526.

⁹ Exemption (b)(1)-1 has been cited in conjunction with (b)(3) and, at times, in conjunction with (b)(7)(E) on the following pages: EPIC-241-357 and EPIC-368-476.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

The FBI asserted Exemption (b)(3) in conjunction with Exemption (b)(1) and, at times, in connection with (b)(7)(E) to protect the intelligence sources and methods described above. The basis for the FBI's invocation of Exemption (b)(3) is detailed below.

(b)(3)-1: Information Specifically Exempted by 50 U.S.C. § 3024 (i)(1) (National Security Act of 1947)

(44) (U) The FBI has asserted Exemption (b)(3)-1 in conjunction with Exemption (b)(1) and at times, with (b)(7)(E) to protect information pursuant to Section 102A(i)(1) of the National Security Act of 1947 ("NSA"), as amended by the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 ("IRTPA"), 50 U.S.C. § 3024 (i)(1), which provides that the Director of National Intelligence ("DNI") "shall protect from unauthorized disclosure intelligence sources and methods."¹⁰ As relevant to U.S.C. § 552 (b)(3)(B), the National Security Act of 1947 was enacted before the date of enactment of the OPEN FOIA Act of 2009. On its face, this federal statute leaves no discretion to the DNI about withholding from the public information about intelligence sources and methods. Thus, the protection afforded to intelligence sources and methods by 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)(1) is absolute. See CIA v. Sims, 471 U.S. 159 (1985).

(45) (U) To fulfill its obligation of protecting intelligence sources and methods, the DNI is authorized to establish and implement guidelines for the Intelligence Community ("IC") for the classification of information under applicable laws, Executive Orders, or other Presidential Directives, and for access to and dissemination of intelligence. 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)(1). The FBI is one of 17 member agencies comprising the IC, and as such must protect intelligence sources and methods.

(46) (U) As described above, Congress enacted the NSA, as amended by the IRTPA,

¹⁰ Section 1024(i)(1) of the National Security Act was previously codified at 50 U.S.C. § 403(i)(1). As a result of the reorganization of Title 50 of the U.S. Code, Section 102A(i)(1) is now codified at 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)(1).

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

to protect the IC's sources and methods of gathering intelligence. Disclosure of such information presents the potential for individuals to develop and implement countermeasures, which would result in the loss of significant intelligence information, relied upon by national policymakers and the IC. Given that Congress specifically prohibited the disclosure of information pertaining to intelligence sources and methods used by the IC as a whole, I have determined that the FBI's intelligence sources and methods would be revealed if any of the withheld information, which pertains to particular sources and methods as described above, is disclosed to plaintiff. Thus, the FBI is prohibited from disclosing information falling under 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)(1). Accordingly, this information was properly withheld pursuant to Exemption 3, based on 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)(1).¹¹

EXEMPTION (b)(7) THRESHOLD

(47) (U) Exemption (b)(7) of the FOIA protects from mandatory disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause one of the six sets of harms enumerated in the subpart of the exemption. See 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(7). The enumerated harm that could reasonably be expected to result is - the disclosed information could reasonably be expected to interfere with and reveal law enforcement techniques and procedures which, if made public, could be used to circumvent the law.

(48) (U) Before an agency can invoke any of the harms enumerated in Exemption (b)(7), it must first demonstrate that the records or information at issue were compiled for law enforcement purposes. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 533, 534, and Executive Order 12,333 as implemented by the Attorney General's Guidelines for Domestic FBI Operations (AGG-DOM)

¹¹ Exemption (b)(3)-1 has been cited with (b)(1) and, at times, in conjunction with (b)(E) on the following pages: EPIC-241-357 and EPIC-368-476.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

and CFR § 0.85, the FBI is the primary investigative agency of the federal government with authority and responsibility to investigate all violations of federal law not exclusively assigned to another agency, to conduct investigations and activities to protect the United States and its people from terrorism and threats to its national security, and further the foreign intelligence objectives of the United States. Under this investigative authority, the responsive records herein were compiled for the purposes of investigating and gathering intelligence information, and apprehending and prosecuting subjects who have committed acts of terrorism against the United States, and such records relate to the enforcement of federal laws and such activity is within the law enforcement duty of the FBI. Accordingly, the responsive records were generated pursuant to the law enforcement duties of the FBI as articulated above. Indeed, the data and investigative summaries in all of the reports to Congress listed on the Government's Vaughn index are drawn from FBI investigative files that were generated and compiled pursuant to the law enforcement duties of the FBI. The FBI is responsible for detecting and investigating violations of Federal criminal laws, international terrorism, and threats to national security. All records responsive to plaintiff's request and referred to the FBI pertain to national security investigations and specify the methods and techniques used in gathering intelligence information through FISA-related documents submitted to the FISC and Congress. The documents at issue relate to matters before the FISC and detail the FBI's request for PR/BR and PR/TT orders to be used in collecting intelligence information in connection with individuals who are the subject of national security investigations. These records were compiled for law enforcement purposes; squarely within the law enforcement duties of the FBI; and therefore, readily meet the threshold requirement of Exemption (b)(7). The remaining inquiry concerns whether the disclosure of such records could reasonably be expected to unveil investigative techniques and procedures utilized by the FBI.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

EXEMPTION (b)(7)(E)
INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUES AND PROCEDURES

(49) (U) 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(7)(E) provides protection for:

law enforcement records which would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.

(50) (U) Exemption (b)(7)(E) has been asserted to protect information which contains internal and highly sensitive investigatory techniques and procedures that are authorized for use by the FBI. This exemption affords categorical protection to these techniques and procedures used in such investigations; it protects techniques and procedures not well-known to the public as well as non-public details about the use of well-known techniques and procedures. While these FISA documents could easily be characterized as completely consisting of information that would disclose investigative techniques and procedures, and thus, would be eligible for protection under (b)(7)(E) in its entirety, the FBI endeavored to release as much segregable information as possible to the plaintiff. The release of additional information would disclose techniques and/or procedures used in law enforcement and national security investigations or prosecutions, as discussed in greater detail in the classified paragraphs above that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. To publicly describe the protected information in any further detail would identify and highlight the sensitive information the FBI seeks to protect. The revelation of such details could enable the targets of these techniques to develop countermeasures or avoid detection in order to circumvent the FBI's law enforcement efforts.

(51) (U) The FBI has provided a public description of the information protected under Exemption (b)(7)(E) and its corresponding coded category below, as well as the more detailed,

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

non-public description above. The FBI will provide as much information on the public record as possible without risking the release of sensitive FBI techniques or procedures.

(b)(7)(E)-1: Investigative Techniques and Procedures

(52) (U) Exemption (b)(7)(E) has been asserted, at times, in conjunction with Exemption 1 and 3 to protect information regarding the techniques and procedures utilized by the FBI in conducting national security investigations including information that would reveal the types of techniques and procedures that are routinely used in such investigations, and are not publicly known as well as non-public details about the use of well-known techniques and procedures. The government's use of PR/BR orders to obtain information is a known public fact; however, the specific techniques and the FBI's ability to covertly utilize these techniques under a PR/BR order are not well-known. All documents, at issue, contain sensitive information about specific investigative methods and techniques¹² used by the FBI in conducting national security investigations. The investigative techniques are detailed throughout the response to orders for additional briefing (document #68) that was listed above. For example, document 68 contains detailed information on those specific investigative techniques which the FBI seeks to protect. Documents 124 and 125 highlight specific FBI investigations of individuals, foreign terrorist organizations, and individuals acting as agents of a foreign government, in which a confidential law enforcement technique was utilized. More specifically, the orders provide information on the underlying FISA applications highlighting what information is important to the FBI as well as detailing the types of information the FBI seeks to obtain from such order.

(53) (U) Details about how, when, and under what circumstances these techniques are relied upon in the context of national security investigations are not disclosed nor are these details otherwise known to the public. Disclosure of such information could enable individuals

¹² See *supra* ¶¶ 10-14 and First Hardy Decl. at ¶ 31.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

and organizations to circumvent the use of these techniques, and the relative benefit of it could be diminished if details were revealed. Additionally, it could allow individuals who are the subject of on-going investigations to uncover information that reveals the circumstances under which these techniques are used, the usefulness of the techniques in particular types of investigations, and the value of the information obtained. Release of this type of information could enable subjects of investigations to educate themselves about the use of these techniques and develop countermeasures to circumvent or negate the effectiveness of these techniques. To describe the investigative techniques in further detail would highlight the very information the FBI seeks to protect pursuant to this exemption. Thus, the FBI properly withheld this information pursuant to FOIA Exemption 7(E).¹³

(b)(7)(E)-5: Sensitive Law Enforcement Techniques Utilized to Conduct National Security Investigations

(54) (U) The FBI has transformed into an intelligence-driven agency that uses the information it gathers to aid its mission of detecting and preventing harm to the national security. The two essential components of this effort can be found in the techniques used to collect and analyze intelligence information. The FBI has described this new mandate in the IRTPA; however, the FBI has not disclosed details about the sensitive intelligence gathering/analysis methods, techniques, and procedures by which it intends to accomplish its mission. These sensitive techniques are primarily used in conducting national security investigations. All documents, at issue in this litigation, are FISC orders and contain information involving sensitive law enforcement techniques utilized by the FBI in collecting intelligence on individuals who are the subject of national security investigations. More specifically, the PR/BR FISC orders contain specific information about important investigative methods used in national security

¹³ Exemption (b)(7)(E)-1 has been asserted, at times, in conjunction with (b)(1) and (b)(3) on the following pages: EPIC-241-511.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

investigations.¹⁴ The release of such information would reveal sensitive techniques used by the FBI in collecting intelligence information in national security investigations, and would afford individuals and terrorist groups the opportunity to develop countermeasures aimed at diminishing the effectiveness of these techniques. The information protected under this exemption contains details about sensitive law enforcement techniques used by the FBI in collecting information under a PR/BR order in relation to current and prospective criminal, counterintelligence, and national security investigations. Revealing specific details on how these techniques are employed, potential targets of the techniques, and the nature of the information collected would enable individuals and terrorist groups to avoid detection by developing countermeasures and rendering the technique useless. Thus, the FBI properly withheld this information pursuant to FOIA Exemption 7(E).¹⁵

SEGREGABILITY

(55) (U) All responsive, non-exempt records or portions of records referred to the FBI by NSD have been provided to the plaintiff. During the processing of these records, each page was individually examined to identify all non-exempt information and to determine whether it could be reasonably segregated from the exempt information. Even though some information, if examined in isolation, would appear benign or not sensitive, when read in conjunction with other responsive documents, it reveals sensitive information about FBI techniques and procedures used in national security investigations. For example, the otherwise innocuous portions of information contained in document 68, see ¶ 26 supra, could, when read or viewed within the context of other available documents and information, reveal highly sensitive information to

¹⁴ See supra ¶ 35.

¹⁵ Exemption (b)(7)(E)-5 has been asserted, at times, in conjunction with (b)(1) and (b)(3) on the following pages: EPIC-241-511.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

sophisticated adversaries, for the same reasons I discussed in my prior declaration concerning an otherwise public Westlaw printout, see First Hardy Decl. ¶¶ 13, 16, 21. Even something as seemingly innocuous as a case citation or statutory provision, when read in connection with a document containing significant legal analysis of an FISA PR/BR application, could reveal the particular issue being examined and could risk disclosure of the existence, nature, or scope of an underlying FISA application and/or intelligence method and technique. *See* First Hardy Decl. at ¶ 53. Thus, I have determined that the Westlaw printouts attached to, and other case citations or legal analysis included in, Document 68 are properly classified due to their compilation with the balance of Document 68.

(56) (U) All segregable information has been provided to the plaintiff. The FBI only withheld information that was classified, protected by statute, or information, if released, would trigger reasonably foreseeable harm to one or more interests protected by the cited FOIA Exemptions.


CONCLUSION

(57) (U) The FBI has reviewed, processed, and released all non-exempt information from records provided by NSD. Accordingly, all reasonably segregable, non-exempt information has been released to plaintiff and the FBI's justification for withholding such information has been detailed in the First Hardy Declaration and in this supplemental declaration.

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 11th day of December, 2014.



David M. Hardy
Section Chief
Record/Information Dissemination Section
Records Management Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Winchester, Virginia