

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

_____)	
ELECTRONIC PRIVACY)	
INFORMATION CENTER,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil No. 13-cv-1961 (KBJ)
)	
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

ORDER

This Court has considered the parties’ renewed motions for summary judgment (*see* ECF No. 26, 37), and the associated materials that the parties have filed.¹ The Court has reached the following conclusion regarding the disposition of the pending motions; its reasoning will be set forth in a Memorandum Opinion to be issued shortly, absent unforeseen circumstances.

It is hereby

ORDERED that DOJ’s [26] Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**, and EPIC’s [37] Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED** without prejudice. In a separate order that the Court will issue contemporaneously with its Memorandum Opinion, the Court will require DOJ to reprocess the disputed portions of the SARs one final time in order to address certain

¹ *See* Revised Vaughn Index, ECF No. 35; Not. of Lodging Documents for *In Camera* Review with the Classified Info. Sec. Officer, ECF No. 34; *In Camera, Ex Parte* Classified Fourth Decl. of David M. Hardy; *In Camera, Ex Parte* Decl. of David J. Sherman; Def.’s Mem. of Law in Opp’n to Pl.’s Mot., ECF No. 38; Pl.’s Mem. in Opp’n to Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 39; Def.’s Reply in Supp. of Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 42; Pl.’s Reply in Supp. of Pl.’s Mot., ECF No. 43.

outstanding issues that the Court will identify and discuss in its forthcoming Memorandum Opinion. The anticipated order of the Court will also set a deadline for the parties to submit a joint proposed schedule for further proceedings in this matter.

DATE: September 30, 2017

Ketanji Brown Jackson
KETANJI BROWN JACKSON
United States District Judge