March 24, 2010

		(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)	
Mr. Dann Brittenham	d Second	a ea eea-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-e-	
Family Policy Compliance C	)ffice	1	
400 Maryland Ave. S.W.		1 a	
Washington, DC 20202			
Dear Mr. Brittenham;	<u> </u>	1 * ::- 4	

Reply to your March 15 2010 letter:

Page 1, number 1. in addition to the June 5, 2008 letter, the district also enclosed a packet of information and carbon copied a non employee  $^{(b)(6), (b)(7(C))}$  coach. I will send you that same packet again in case it is missing.

- 1. the date was June 5, 2008 and I learned the records were disclosed when receiving our mail a few days later.
- 2. The school official is <sup>(b)(6); (b)(7(C)</sup>
- 3. The nature of the records were complaints about coaches and the administration relative to poor conduct by adults towards children, poor fundamentals, poor handling of situations by the administration, dishonesty by adults. These records included my 4 children's names, <sup>(b)(6)</sup>: <sup>(b)(7(C)</sup> little guys.
- 4. The records were disclosed to (b)(6); (b)(7(C)) who is a non-employee but is a (b) (b)(6); (b) coach.

The Door County Sheriff's department was called onto the ((b)(6); (b)(7) District property by Superintendent Steve Seyfer, (b)(6): (b)(7(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7 (b)(6); (b)(7 The Sheriff's report per the investigation states that not only was (b)(6); (b)(7(C) in possession of the (b)(6); (b)(7 records, but also (b)(6); (b)(7) was in possession of our records. Further an additional man was seen at (b)(6); (b)(7(C) School District in a room with (b)(6): (b)(7(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7 these three men are presumed to be studying our records under the direction of (b)(6) (b)(7)(b)(6); (b)(7(C) was sent via certified mail a FERPA request to provide the names and copies of our records of whom he disclosed to without our permission or knowledge. 45 days far exceeded and he refused to disclose to us this information.

Please note that when we received the letter of June 5 2008 from (b)(6); (b)(7(C)with his note that he sent out our records to (b)(6); (b)(7(C) I immediately called the School Board President to let him know I was very upset about our records being disclosed. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7) assured me that the fact these records were disclosed was perfectly legal under the Wisconsin Open Records Law. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7) is a veteran board member exceeding 13 years on the School Board. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7) and the board realize FERPA as it is their responsibility to ensure that annual notices of FERPA are available and should be understood by Families. Had Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7) told me the truth, the FERPA Violations would had been filed immediately. Page 1, number 2.

- 1. March 17, 2009
- **2.** (b)(6); (b) (b)(6) (b)(6); (b)
- 3. grade point average
- 4. (b)(6); (b)(7(C) of who was not (b)(6); (b) Coach, in fact (b)(6); had not coach at that time as he did not sign up for track or any sport during the sign up period.

Page 2.

- 1. records of the same as described, our families complaints regarding our children's school experience and education and how it is influenced via poor behavior by coaches and administration. Because of the Sheriff investigation onto me regarding harassment, they Investigation said that (0)(6):(b)(7(C)) and (b)(6):(b) had records of mine that were 3 inches thick. Because of the investigators statement and we believe there is another individual at minimum that has our records, is the reason why we made the request of whom did you give our records to and what records did you give. As you will notice, the enclosed records that came with the June 5 2008 letter does not represent 3 inch thick as the investigator stated. Investigation report included.
- 2. December 21, 2008
- **3.** (b)(6); (b)(7(C) (b)(6),(b)
- 4. Response was received by Superintendent Steve Seyfer May 25, 2009 (enclosed)

Note regarding Mr. Seyfer's response, He ask me for copies of the records that were attached to the June 5, 2008 letter that he also received via carbon copy? Further, he ask me for the records they sent me, does not make sense. And if he needed copies of these records that his  $\frac{(b)(6)}{(C)}$  sent out on June 5, 2008, then he could of walked out his office across the hallway and into  $\frac{(b)(6)}{(C)}$   $\frac{(b)(6)}{(C)}$   $\frac{(b)(6)}{(C)}$   $\frac{(b)(6)}{(C)}$  office for the copies of the records that were sent. Much of his May 25, 2009 late response seem wrong, actually I think insulting.

Thank You for your consideration

Ps. I am sorry that I have exceeded three pages as you had asked for the districts response of which put us over.