September 4, 2018

Senator Chuck Grassley, Chairman
Senator Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510-6050

Dear Chairman Grassley, Ranking Member Feinstein, and Members of the Judiciary Committee:

We write on behalf of the Electronic Privacy Information Center. EPIC was established in 1994 to focus public attention on emerging privacy and civil liberties issues. EPIC participates in a wide range of activities, including research and education, litigation, and advocacy. The EPIC Advisory Board includes leading experts in law, technology, and public policy. EPIC regularly files amicus briefs in the U.S. Supreme Court, and EPIC routinely shares its views with the Senate Judiciary Committee regarding nominees to the Supreme Court.

We write to you now regarding the nomination of Judge Brett M. Kavanaugh to the United States Supreme Court. Although we take no position for or against the nominee, EPIC

has strong concerns about Judge Kavanaugh’s views regarding the privacy rights of Americans. In *Klayman v. Obama*, Judge Kavanaugh went out of his way to set out theories to defend the suspicionless surveillance of the American public that surprised even conservative legal scholars.⁵

We are also very troubled by the ongoing secrecy concerning documents from Judge Kavanaugh’s years in the White House. That was a period that witnessed a dramatic increase in government surveillance programs in the United States, some of which were revised or scrapped after their true scope became known.⁶ There is strong evidence that Brett Kavanaugh was a central figure in these activities, including specifically the renewal of the unlawful warrantless wiretapping program and the secret expansion of the PATRIOT Act.⁷ We urge the Committee members, and the Senate, to review these documents before conducting the hearing.

We have prepared a detailed memo⁸ that reviews Judge Kavanaugh’s view on several key issues. In all of his Fourth Amendment opinions, Judge Kavanaugh has sided with government surveillance and police searches over both Constitutional and statutory privacy rights. This bias poses a threat to our Constitutional freedoms and possibly our democracy. Judge Kavanaugh’s views are also out of step with a series of recent Supreme Court opinions that carry forward Fourth Amendment protections to the digital age on such issues as GPS tracking,⁹ cell phone searches,¹⁰ and cell site location data.¹¹

Americans are rightly concerned about the scope of government surveillance, the impact of new technologies, and the protection of Constitutional freedoms.¹² Judicial independence is critical to the effective protection of Constitutional liberties and the Acts of Congress that safeguard the rights of the people. Judge Kavanaugh’s opinions on the bench and the memos from his White House years raise substantial concerns that this nominee is out of step with the views of the American people and the Court.

---

⁷ Judge Kavanaugh worked directly for Attorney General Gonzalez at the time the warrantless surveillance program was launched. Released documents of Judge Kavanaugh’s time in the White House also reveal that he helped draft the Presidential signing statement for the Patriot Act. See E-mail from Brett Kavanaugh, Associate Counsel, White House to Edmund A. Walsh, Speechwriter, White House 688-90 (Oct. 24, 2001), https://www.judiciary.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/08-02-18%20GWB%20Document%20Production%20-%20Pages%201%20-%205,735.pdf.
The Senate Judiciary Committee should pursue questions with the nominee about these issues, particularly whether Judge Kavanaugh still believes that his opinion in *Klayman* was correct.

Thank you for your consideration of EPIC’s views. We would be pleased to provide you and your staff any additional information you may need.

Sincerely,

Marc Rotenberg  
*President, EPIC*

James Bamford  
*Author*

Ann Bartow  
*Professor, Pace Law School*

Colin Bennett  
*Professor, University of Victoria*

Christine L. Borgman  
*Distinguished Professor and Presidential Chair in Information Studies, UCLA; Director, UCLA Center for Knowledge Infrastructure*

David Chaum  
*Chaum, LLC*

Danielle Keats Citron  
*Morton & Sophia Mact Professor of Law, University of Maryland School of Law*

Whitfield Diffie  
*Vice President and Fellow, Chief Security Officer (retired), Sun Microsystems*

David J. Farber  
*Distinguished Career Professor of Computer Science and Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University*

Ian Kerr  
*Professor, University of Ottawa Faculty of Law*

Len Kennedy  
*Senior Advisor, Neustar, Inc.*

Lorraine Kisselburgh  
*Lecturer and Faculty Fellow, Purdue University*
Harry Lewis  
*Gordon McKay Professor of Computer Science, Harvard University*

Gary T. Marx  
*Professor, MIT*

Mary Minow  
*Library Law Consultant*

Dr. Pablo G. Molina  
*Adjunct Professor, Georgetown University*

Erin Murphy  
*Professor of Law, New York University*

Dr. Peter G. Neumann  
*Computer Science Laboratory, SRI International*

Helen Nissenbaum  
*Professor, Information Science; Director, Digital Life Initiative, Cornell Tech*

Frank Pasquale  
*Professor of Law, University of Maryland School of Law*

Dr. Deborah C. Peel, M.D.  
*Founder and Chair, Patient Privacy Rights*

Bilyana Petkova  
*Assistant Professor, Maastrict University*

Pamela Samuelson  
*Richard M. Sherman Distinguished Professor of Law, Berkeley Law School*

Bruce Schneier  
*Fellow and Lecturer, Harvard Kennedy School*

Nadine Strossen  
*John Marshall Harlan II Professor of Law, New York Law School*

Sherry Turkle  
*Abby Rockefeller Mauzé Professor of the Social Studies of Science and Technology, MIT*

James Waldo  
*Gordon McKay Professor of the Practice of Computer Science, Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences*
Christopher Wolf  
*Board Chair, Future of Privacy Forum*  

Shoshana Zuboff  
*Charles Edward Wilson Professor of Business Administration (emeritus), Harvard Business School*  

(Affiliations are for identification only.)