

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2000

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roaming	None Specified
TOTAL	1,190	4	23	28	1,139	926	28	28	47,729	251	53	715	109	35	27	-
FEDERAL	479	-	-	7	472	354	30	29	20,680	62	24	320	54	12	7	-
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	6	-	-	-	6	6	30	30	299	2	-	-	4	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	-	-	-	12	8	30	30	552	3	-	6	3	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
FRESNO	5	-	-	-	5	-	26	-	84	3	-	1	-	1	-	-
LOS ANGELES	78	-	-	-	78	35	30	30	3,041	7	-	47	21	3	-	-
MARIPOSA	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	23	70	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
RIVERSIDE	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	120	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO																
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	7	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RIO GRANDE)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GRAND)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	18	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
CONNECTICUT																
NEW BRITAIN	1	-	-	-	1	-	15	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	3	-	-	-	3	-	15	-	35	2	-	-	1	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	22	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	9	-	-	1	8	4	30	30	236	4	1	4	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	16	-	-	-	16	6	30	30	510	5	1	10	-	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	180	1	-	4	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	11	-	-	-	11	2	23	24	279	6	-	5	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEE)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	45	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA																
FLOYD	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	19	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAPOOSA	2	-	-	-	2	2	20	20	66	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO																
LATAH	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
ILLINOIS																
BROWN	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	10	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
COOK	9	-	-	-	9	1	30	30	227	2	-	6	-	1	-	-
EDGAR	3	-	-	1	2	-	10	-	14	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
FAYETTE	6	-	-	2	4	-	10	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
JERSEY	1	-	1	-	-	-	10	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	6	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
LEE	1	-	-	-	1	1	10	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
MCHENRY	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOULTRIE	1	-	-	-	1	1	10	10	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	5	-	1	-	4	5	8	10	78	3	1	-	-	1	-	-
WASHINGTON	7	-	-	-	7	-	10	-	70	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	51	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2000 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
KANSAS																
JOHNSON	1	-	-	-	1	-	28	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
LOUISIANA																
BATON ROUGE	1	-	-	-	1	-	9	-	9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	11	-	-	-	11	5	30	20	332	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	20	-	-	-	20	8	23	20	526	1	-	19	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
NORFOLK	2	-	-	-	2	1	15	15	40	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	-	-	-	3	16	15	15	248	1	-	-	-	-	2	-
NEVADA																
CARSON CITY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	22	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
CLARK	8	-	-	1	7	-	30	-	137	3	-	5	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
CAPE MAY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
ESSEX	2	-	-	-	2	-	20	-	27	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
HUDSON	6	-	4	-	2	4	30	30	95	-	1	5	-	-	-	-
MERCER	6	-	3	-	3	4	20	10	76	-	-	4	2	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	18	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	5	-	-	-	5	1	24	10	120	1	-	4	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4	-	-	-	4	1	25	30	59	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
SALEM	1	-	-	-	1	1	20	10	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	6	-	-	-	6	2	20	10	73	-	-	5	-	1	-	-
UNION	13	-	-	-	13	11	19	22	343	5	2	5	-	1	-	-
NEW MEXICO																
BERNALILLO	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	120	3	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK																
BRONX	13	-	-	1	12	37	30	30	1,165	5	-	8	-	-	-	-
KINGS	16	-	-	-	16	21	30	28	967	11	-	5	-	-	-	-
MONROE	18	-	7	-	11	6	30	30	435	12	-	6	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	93	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
NEW YORK	33	-	-	1	32	63	30	30	2,335	7	8	12	2	2	2	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	21	1	5	-	16	33	29	29	1,178	5	3	10	3	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	108	-	-	6	102	66	30	30	3,445	8	1	99	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	85	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	9	1	-	-	9	11	30	30	396	1	-	2	6	-	-	-
QUEENS	93	2	-	5	88	146	27	30	5,575	20	8	63	-	-	2	-
ROCKLAND	5	-	-	-	5	16	30	30	562	-	-	2	3	-	-	-
SAINT LAWRENCE	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	21	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	47	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	58	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	11	-	-	-	11	3	30	30	251	10	-	1	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	10	-	1	1	8	11	30	30	390	3	-	5	1	1	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2000 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
OHIO																
DARKE	2	-	-	-	2	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
DEFIANCE	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	66	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	138	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	-	-	-	4	2	26	30	119	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
OREGON																
LINCOLN	1	-	-	-	1	1	29	10	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BERKS	3	-	-	-	3	-	23	-	8	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	5	-	-	-	5	2	28	30	164	-	1	4	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	5	-	-	-	5	6	30	30	301	1	-	4	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	-	-	2	26	6	30	22	635	5	-	23	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	18	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE																
DAVIDSON	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH																
SALT LAKE	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	41	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
WASHINGTON																
MASON	1	-	-	-	1	-	6	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
STEVENS	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN																
MILWAUKEE	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	60	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

* Based on the actual number of intercept devices installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.