June 12, 2019

The Honorable Roger Wicker, Chairman
The Honorable Maria Cantwell, Ranking Member
U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
512 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Wicker and Ranking Member Cantwell:

We write to you regarding the oversight hearing for the Federal Communications Commission and the critical issue of consumer privacy protection. In EPIC’s view, the FCC needs to do far more to protect consumers from location tracking and the unnecessary collection of their call records.

EPIC is a public interest research center established in 1994 to focus public attention on emerging privacy and civil liberties issues. For over twenty years, EPIC has worked to ensure that the FCC protects the privacy of American consumers.

The Commission Is Proposing to Extend the Unnecessary and Invasive Data Retention Regulation

The Commission has also failed to take simple steps to protect consumer data by failing to withdraw an outdated rule that requires carriers to retain customer call records. The retention of this personal data creates an ongoing risk to American consumers from criminal hackers and foreign adversaries.

---

In 2015, EPIC and a coalition of consumer privacy organizations, technical experts, and legal scholars petitioned the FCC to repeal the bulk collection of American consumers telephone data. EPIC’s petition urged the FCC to repeal an outdated rule that requires that telephone records be collected and saved for 18 months. Law enforcement agencies have conceded that the need for the retention of such data is no longer necessary. Further, the bulk collection of telephone records places consumer privacy at risk by revealing intimate details about their daily lives and subjecting consumers to an increased potential for identity theft. And the European Union has recently determined that the bulk retention of telephone records violates fundamental rights, raising the real possibility that an inconsistent policy in the United States could lead to disruption in digital trade, similar to the recent “Safe Harbor” dispute.

The EPIC Petition seeks an end to this FCC regulation that places at risk the privacy of users of network services. The Commission docketed EPIC’s petition for public comment in 2017. Support for repeal of the data retention regulation is strong. Every comment submitted to the FCC expressed support for repealing this outdated and unnecessary regulation. Yet the Commission has taken no action on EPIC’s petition over the last two years. Instead, the Commission recently issued a Notice that it plans to extend the regulation for another three years. This week, EPIC sent comments to the FCC in response to the proposal to extend the rule, again urging the repeal of the data retention regulation. EPIC explained that “the regulation is unduly burdensome, ineffectual, and threatens privacy and security.”

Chairman Pai and the Commissioners should be asked why the FCC is continuing to require telephone companies to store detailed records of all their customers’ telephone calls and has also ignored a petition to end the regulation.

---
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The Commission Has Not Protected Consumers’ Location Data

In 2018 the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that cell phone location data is protected under the Fourth Amendment and that the government cannot obtain that data from telephone companies without a warrant.12 Meanwhile multiple reports over the last two years have revealed that telephone companies have made their customers’ location data available to third parties in bulk and without oversight.13 As Commissioner Geoffrey Starks recently explained, “Wireless companies sell your location data. Federal regulators should stop them.”14 The FCC is responsible for protecting the privacy of “customer proprietary network information,”15 yet the Commission has done absolutely nothing to protect cell phone location data. This data falls within the scope of the FCC’s privacy authority, and Congress should demand that the agency protect consumers.

EPIC has long advocated for strong consumer protections under the Communications Act. After Congress modernized the law in 1996, EPIC successfully petitioned the FCC to adopt pro-consumer rules regarding the authorization for marking disclosures.16 EPIC successfully petitioned the agency in 2005 to update its rules to protect access to customer information by “pretexters” and to improve carriers’ data security practices.17 In the 2016 CPNI Rulemaking, EPIC urged the Commission to adopt comprehensive privacy rules that would apply to both Internet Service Providers (“ISPs”) and so-called “edge” providers, such as Google and Facebook, that dominate much of the Internet economy.18 However, the FCC adopted a modest rule that only applied to ISPs.19 The 2016 CPNI rules were subsequently repealed by Congress.20

The Commission has never conducted a rulemaking or otherwise established a comprehensive framework for protecting the privacy of customers’ cell phone location data. The

---

14 Geoffrey Starks, Why It’s So Easy for a Bounty Hunter to Find You,
FCC has never even addressed whether all types of cell phone location data are protected under the CPNI statute. Instead of moving forward to safeguard consumers, the FCC has been moving backwards, leaving users of new communications services exposed to unprecedented levels of identity theft, financial fraud, and security breaches.\(^{21}\)

*Chairman Pai and the Commissioners should be asked what the FCC will do to ensure that consumers’ location data is protected, including whether the Commission plans to issue updated rules under 47 U.S.C. § 222.*

We are now concerned that the Commission has abdicated one of its most important responsibilities to the American public. The FCC must do more to safeguard American consumers from the unnecessary and invasive requirement to maintain detailed call records and from the rampant mishandling of sensitive cell phone location data.

We ask that this letter be submitted into the hearing record. EPIC looks forward to working with the Committee on this issue.

Sincerely,

/s/ **Marc Rotenberg**                     /s/ **Alan Butler**
Marc Rotenberg                          Alan Butler
EPIC President                          EPIC Senior Counsel

/s/ **Caitriona Fitzgerald**
Caitriona Fitzgerald
EPIC Policy Director