

PROPOSED DYRS RISK RE-ASSESSMENT (see notes p. 2)

Youth's Name: _____ DOB: ____/____/____ ID#: _____

Gender: 1. M 2. F PO: _____ Other: _____

RR1. Age at Time of Arrest for First Adjudication

16 + 0
14 or 15 1
13 or younger 2

RR2. Total Number of Prior Adjudications

None 0
One 1
Two or more 2

RR3. Total Number of Prior Adjudications for Violent/Assaultive Offenses

None 0
One or more (misdemeanor or felony) 2

RR4. Number of Prior Out-of-Home Placements for Delinquency (post-adjudication)

None 0
One 1
Two or more 2

RR5. Parent/Sibling Incarceration (in last three years)

No 0
Yes 1

RR6. Number of Prior Out-of Home Placements by CFSA

None 0
One or More 1

Score the following based only on circumstances since the last (re)assessment

RR7. School Discipline/Attendance

Enrolled, attending regularly; no suspensions; or graduated/GED 0
Current truancy, suspensions, expulsions or disruptive behavior 1

RR8. Substance Abuse

No problem 0
Some problem or major problem 1

RR9. Peer Relationships

Friends provide positive influence 0
Some delinquent friends with negative influence 1
Gang member 2

RR10. Youth's Response to Supervision (excluding new arrests)

Consistently adheres to requirements and actively pursuing case plan goals..... -1
Inconsistent adherence to requirements and/or erratic pursuit of plan goals 1
Consistently violates requirements and/or refuses involvement in case plan or
technical violation filed or pending 2

RR10. New Arrests

No new arrests or status offense only..... -1
One or more new misdemeanor arrests, but no new felony arrests 1
One or more new felony arrests 2

Scored Risk Level: ____ -3 to 3 Low Risk ____ 4 to 8 Moderate Risk ____ 9+ High Risk

Discretionary Override ____ No ____ Yes, to (level): _____

Rationale: _____

Final Risk Level: ____ Low Risk ____ Moderate Risk ____ High Risk

Assumptions/Notes/Thoughts

1. Risk re-assessment would be used to determine level of supervision for youth in the community, not placement restrictiveness, so no use of the matrix at routine reassessments.
2. Institutional reassessment (e.g., can we step this kid down to a group home or do we need to step him up to secure?") is a different tool b/c the issues are different (i.e. in a controlled environment).
3. Risk re-assessment would be used for routine reassessments. If new adjudication, another initial risk would be completed.
4. They might consider a schedule of graduated sanctions for responding to technical violations, rather than trying to do re-risk all the time.
5. This version of the tool should be tested against some actual cases to check the cut off points.