



U.S. Immigration  
and Customs  
Enforcement

September 10, 2021

Jeramie Scott  
EPIC  
1519 New Hampshire Ave NW  
Washington, DC 20536

**RE: Electronic Privacy Information Center v. ICE; 1:20-cv-03071-TNM**  
**ICE FOIA Case Number 2021-ICLI-00005**  
**Eighth Interim Release**

Dear Mr. Scott:

This letter is the eighth interim response to your client's Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), dated October 26, 2020. Your FOIA request seeking records related to ICE's use of Clearview AI technology and ICE use of facial recognition software.

ICE has considered your request under the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552.

A total of 520 pages of records were reviewed for this interim production. After review of these documents, ICE has determined that 343 pages were deemed non-responsive, 57 pages were deemed Duplicative, and 43 pages were sent to outside organizations for Submitter's Notices. Once we have received a response from them, we will release those pages at a separate time. The remaining 77 pages of records were located pursuant to a search of the Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) and Office of Information Governance and Privacy. The responsive 77 pages of records are attached and have been marked 2021-ICLI-00005 1109 to 2021-ICLI-00005 1185. Upon review, ICE has determined that portions of the 11 pages will be withheld pursuant to Exemptions (b)(5), (b)(6), and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA.

**FOIA Exemption (b)(5)** protects from disclosure those inter- or intra-agency documents that are normally privileged in the civil discovery context. The three most frequently invoked privileges are the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and the attorney-client privilege. After carefully reviewing the responsive documents, I have determined that portions of the responsive documents qualify for protection under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney-client privilege, or the attorney work-product privilege. The deliberative process privilege protects the integrity of the deliberative or decision-making processes within the agency by exempting from mandatory disclosure opinions, conclusions, and recommendations included

within inter-agency or intra-agency memoranda or letters. The release of this internal information would discourage the expression of candid opinions and inhibit the free and frank exchange of information among agency personnel. The attorney work-product privilege protects documents and other memoranda prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation. The attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between an attorney and his client relating to a legal matter for which the client has sought professional advice. It applies to facts divulged by a client to his attorney, and encompasses any opinions given by an attorney to his client based upon, and thus reflecting, those facts, as well as communications between attorneys that reflect client-supplied information. The attorney-client privilege is not limited to the context of litigation.

**FOIA Exemption 6** exempts from disclosure information in personnel or medical files and similar files the release of which would cause a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This requires a balancing of the public's right to disclosure against the individual's right to privacy. The privacy interests of the individuals in the records you have requested outweigh any minimal public interest in disclosure of the information. Any private interest you may have in that information does not factor into the aforementioned balancing test.

**FOIA Exemption 7(C)** protects records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes when production of such could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This exemption takes particular note of the strong interests of individuals, whether they are suspects, witnesses, or investigators, in not being unwarrantably associated with alleged criminal activity. That interest extends to persons who are not only the subjects of the investigation, but those who may have their privacy invaded by having their identities and information about them revealed in connection with an investigation. Based upon the traditional recognition of strong privacy interests in law enforcement records, categorical withholding of information that identifies third parties in law enforcement records is ordinarily appropriate.

If you have any questions about this letter, please contact Assistant United States Attorney Michael A. Tilghman II.

Sincerely,

Lynnea Schurkamp  
Deputy FOIA Officer for -  
Fernando Pineiro Jr.  
(A)FOIA Officer

Enclosure(s): 77 page(s)

cc: Michael A. Tilghman II  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office

for the District of Columbia  
555 Fourth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
[michael.tilghman@usdoj.gov](mailto:michael.tilghman@usdoj.gov)