

State	Citation	Criminal Liability?	Civil liability?	Does the statute provide for an injunction to take down the nonconsensual pornography?	Is the statute overly restrictive or vague?
Alabama	Ala. Code §13A-6-240	✓	—	No	No
Alaska	Alaska Stat. §1.61.120(a)(6)	✓	—	No	It is unclear if this applies to situations in which the victim previously gave someone access to the images.
Arizona	Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13-1425	✓	—	No	No
Arkansas	Ark. Code Ann. §5-26- 314	✓	—	No	Overly restrictive, only applies to family/household members or current or former romantic partners.
California	Cal. Penal Code § 647(j)(4)(A)	✓	—	No	No
Colorado	Colo. Rev. Stat. § 18-7-107 to 108	✓	✓	Yes	No
Connecticut	Conn. Gen. Stat. § 53a-189c	✓	—	No	No
Delaware	Del. Code Ann. Tit. 11, § 1335(a)(9)(b)	✓	—	No	No
District of Columbia	D.C. Code § 22-3052, -3054	✓	—	No	Too vague, does not clarify the exception for constitutionally protected activity in § 22-3055.
Florida	Fla. Stat. § 784.049	✓	✓	Yes	No
Georgia	Ga. Code Ann. § 16- 11-90	✓	—	No	No
Hawaii	Haw. Rev. Stat. § 711-1110.9	✓	—	No, but the court may order the destruction of any recording	No

				which violates this law. § 711-1110.9(2).	
Idaho	Idaho Code § 18- 6609(2)(b)	✓	—	No	Overly restrictive, this law is limited to situations in which the images were obtained with the intent to be sexually arousing or to degrade or abuse another person, and the person who published/disseminated the images knew of that intent.
Illinois	720 Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. 5/11-23.5	✓	—	No	No
Iowa	Iowa Code § 708.7(1)(a)(5)	✓	—	No	No
Kansas	Kan. Stat. Ann. § 21-6101(a)(8)	✓	—	No	Too vague; criminalizes disseminating sexual images when the depicted person had a reasonable expectation of privacy without defining reasonable expectation of privacy.
Louisiana	La. Stat. Ann. 14:283.2	✓	—	No	No
Maine	Me. Stat. tit. 17-a, § 511-A	✓	—	No	No
Maryland	Md. Code Ann. Crim. Law §3-809	✓	—	No	No
Michigan	Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. §145(e)	✓	—	Yes	Too vague, the law does not apply to a “person who disseminates sexually explicit visual material that is part of a news report or commentary or an artistic or expressive work...” § 145e(1)(b).
Minnesota	Minn. Stat. § 617.261	✓	✓	Yes	No
Nevada	Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 200.780	✓	—	No	No
New Hampshire	N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 644:9(a)	✓	—	No	No

New Jersey	N.J. Rev. Stat. § 2C:14-9(c). (2013)	✓	—	No	No
New Mexico	N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30- 37A-1	✓	—	No	Too vague, the law does not create liability for anyone who “disseminates content in furtherance of a legitimate public purpose, including the compilation or dissemination of news by newspapers and licensed broadcasters.”
North Carolina	N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14- 190.5A	✓	✓	Yes	No
North Dakota	N.D. Cent. Code Ann. §12.1-17-.07.2	✓	✓	Yes	No
Oklahoma	Okla. Stat. Ann. Tit. 21, §1040.13b	✓	—	Yes	No
Oregon	Or. Rev. Stat. § 161.005	✓	—	No	No
Pennsylvania	18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 3131	✓	✓	The statute does not explicitly provide for injunctive relief, but it provides for civil damages, and additional relief as necessary.	Overly restrictive, limited to situations in which the victim and perpetrator are current or former intimate partners.
South Dakota	S.D. Codified Laws § 22-21-4	✓	—	No	Overly restrictive, limited to situations in which the photographs or video recordings were taken without consent, only providing redress to victims of voyeurism.
Tennessee	Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-17-318	✓	—	No	No
Texas	Texas Penal Code Ann. § 21.16	✓	✓	Yes	No

Utah	Utah Code Ann. § 76- 5b-203	✓	—	No	No
Vermont	Vt. Stat. Ann. Tit. 13, § 2606	✓	✓	Yes	No
Virginia	Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-386.2	✓	—	Yes	No
Washington	Wash. Rev. Code § 4.24.795	—	✓	Yes	No
West Virginia	W. Va. Code § 61-8-28a	✓	—	No	No
Wisconsin	Wis. Stat. § 942.09	✓	—	No	No