

1 PAUL HOFFMAN #71244
2 JOHN WASHINGTON #315991
3 Schonbrun, Seplow, Harris,
4 Hoffman & Zeldes LLP
5 200 Pier Avenue, Suite 226
6 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
7 T: (424) 297-0114
8 F: (310) 399-7040
9 hoffpaul@aol.com

*Counsel for all Plaintiffs**

**See Signature Page for Complete List of
Plaintiffs*

CARRIE DECELL, *Pro Hac Vice*
JAMEEL JAFFER, *Pro Hac Vice*
ALEX ABDO, *Pro Hac Vice*
STEPHANIE KRENT, *Pro Hac Vice*
EVAN WELBER FALCÓN, *Pro Hac Vice*
Knight First Amendment Institute
at Columbia University
475 Riverside Drive, Suite 302
New York, NY 10115
T: (646) 745-8500
F: (646) 661-3361
carrie.decell@knightcolumbia.org

*Counsel for all Plaintiffs**

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

13 CARLOS DADA, SERGIO ARAUZ,
14 GABRIELA CÁCERES GUTIÉRREZ, JULIA
15 GAVARRETE, ROMAN GRESSIER,
16 GABRIEL LABRADOR, ANA BEATRIZ
17 LAZO ESCOBAR, EFREN LEMUS, DANIEL
18 LIZÁRRAGA, CARLOS LÓPEZ
19 SALAMANCA, CARLOS MARTÍNEZ,
20 ÓSCAR MARTÍNEZ, MARÍA LUZ
21 NÓCHEZ, VÍCTOR PEÑA, NELSON
22 RAUDA ZABLAH, DANIEL REYES
23 MARTÍNEZ, MAURICIO SANDOVAL
24 SORIANO, and JOSÉ LUIS SANZ,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED
and Q CYBER TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-07513-WHA

AMENDED COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

INTRODUCTION

1
2 1. Defendants NSO Group Technologies Limited and Q Cyber Technologies Limited
3 develop spyware—malicious surveillance software—and sell it to rights-abusing governments.
4 With Defendants’ technology and assistance, these governments surveil journalists, human rights
5 advocates, and political opponents, often in the service of broader campaigns of political
6 intimidation and persecution. As the U.S. Department of Commerce observed last year when it
7 added NSO Group to its “Entity List,” Defendants’ spyware has enabled authoritarian governments
8 to “conduct transnational repression”—to reach across borders and stifle dissent. In recent years,
9 the supply of spyware to authoritarian and other rights-abusing governments, by Defendants and
10 other mercenary spyware companies, has become a grave and urgent threat to human rights and
11 press freedom around the world.

12 2. Defendants’ signature product, usually sold under the name “Pegasus,” is a
13 particularly sophisticated and insidious type of spyware. Defendants and their clients can install
14 Pegasus on a target’s smartphone remotely and surreptitiously, without any action by the target.
15 Once installed, Pegasus gives its operators essentially full control of the device. They can covertly
16 extract contact lists, calendar entries, text and instant messages, notes, emails, search histories, and
17 GPS locations. They can turn on the smartphone’s microphone to record surrounding sounds. They
18 can activate the smartphone’s camera to take photographs. They can also copy authentication keys
19 to gain access to cloud-based accounts. Defendants highlight these and other capabilities in their
20 marketing materials.

21 3. Defendants developed Pegasus, and deploy it, by repeatedly accessing computer
22 servers owned by U.S. technology companies, including Apple Inc., a company based in
23 Cupertino, California. As relevant to this case, Defendants accessed Apple servers to identify and
24 exploit vulnerabilities in Apple software and services, to enable the delivery of Pegasus to targets’
25 iPhones, and to allow Pegasus operators to extract data from their targets’ iPhones and their targets’
26 cloud-based accounts. On information and belief, some of the Apple servers that Defendants
27 abused to facilitate the delivery and operation of Pegasus in this case are located in California. In
28 November 2021, Apple sued Defendants in this district, asserting that, through their development

1 and deployment of spyware, they had exploited Apple’s software and services, damaged its
2 business and goodwill, and injured its users.

3 4. Plaintiffs in this case include journalists and others who write, produce, and publish
4 El Faro, a digital newspaper based in El Salvador that has become one of the foremost sources of
5 independent news in Central America—in the words of the International Press Institute, a “paragon
6 of investigative journalism . . . with its fearless coverage of violence, corruption, inequality, and
7 human rights violations.” El Faro has a broad readership not only in Central America, but also in
8 the United States, and particularly here in California. Plaintiffs include Carlos Dada, El Faro’s co-
9 founder and director; Roman Gressier, an El Faro reporter who is a U.S. citizen; Nelson Rauda
10 Zablach, a former El Faro reporter who currently lives in the United States; José Luis Sanz, the
11 Washington correspondent for El Faro, who also currently lives in the United States; and fourteen
12 other El Faro employees.

13 5. Between June 2020 and November 2021, at least twenty-two people associated with
14 El Faro, including Plaintiffs, were the victims of Pegasus attacks. Their devices were accessed
15 remotely and surreptitiously, their communications and activities monitored, and their personal
16 data accessed and stolen. Many of these attacks occurred when they were communicating with
17 confidential sources, including U.S. Embassy officials, and reporting on abuses by the Salvadoran
18 government. The journalists and others who were the victims of these Pegasus attacks learned of
19 them only much later. When they came to light, the attacks were condemned by human rights and
20 press freedom groups around the world. For example, a coalition of civil society groups from
21 Central America and the United States issued a joint statement in January 2022 denouncing the
22 attacks and decrying “[t]he lack of accountability for such egregious conduct by public authorities
23 and private companies.”

24 6. The Pegasus attacks have profoundly disrupted Plaintiffs’ lives and work. The
25 attacks have compromised Plaintiffs’ safety as well as the safety of their colleagues, sources, and
26 family members. The attacks have deterred some sources from sharing information with Plaintiffs.
27 Some Plaintiffs have been diverted from pressing investigative projects by the necessity of
28 assessing which data was stolen, and of taking precautions against the possibility that the stolen

1 data will be exploited. Plaintiffs have also had to expend substantial resources to protect their
2 devices against possible future attacks, to ensure their personal safety, and to address serious
3 physical and mental health issues resulting from the attacks. The attacks have undermined the
4 security that is a precondition for the independent journalism that El Faro strives to provide its
5 readers, as well as the ability of El Faro's readers, including those in the United States, to obtain
6 independent analysis of events in Central America.

7 7. Defendants' development and deployment of Pegasus against Plaintiffs was
8 unlawful. It violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030, and the California
9 Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, Cal. Penal Code § 502, and it constituted
10 trespass to chattels and intrusion upon seclusion. This is a suit for injunctive and declaratory relief,
11 as well as compensatory and punitive damages.

12 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13 8. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal causes of action pursuant to 28
14 U.S.C. § 1331.

15 9. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law causes of action pursuant to 28
16 U.S.C. § 1367, because these claims arise out of the same nucleus of operative fact as Plaintiffs'
17 federal statutory claims.

18 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have
19 purposefully availed themselves of California as a forum and have purposefully directed their
20 tortious activities at California. A court in this district exercised personal jurisdiction over
21 Defendants based on substantially similar facts in *WhatsApp Inc. v. NSO Group Technologies*
22 *Limited*, 472 F. Supp. 3d 649 (N.D. Cal. 2020).

23 11. Alternatively, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to
24 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2), because Plaintiffs' claims arise under federal law; if
25 Defendants are not subject to jurisdiction in California, then they are not subject to jurisdiction in
26 any state's courts of general jurisdiction; and exercising jurisdiction over Defendants is consistent
27 with U.S. law and the U.S. Constitution.

28

1 environmental issues. She currently lives in Berlin, Germany while on a four-month fellowship
2 with Reporters Sans Frontières.

3 18. Plaintiff Roman Gressier is a reporter for El Faro, where he has worked since
4 November 2019. He writes El Faro's English-language newsletter and has reported extensively on
5 Central American politics, human rights, and press freedom. He is a dual citizen of the United
6 States and France.

7 19. Plaintiff Gabriel Labrador is a reporter for El Faro, where he has worked since
8 2011. He has been a reporter for more than eighteen years, and he has reported extensively on
9 criminal justice and public corruption, including on a Salvadoran Supreme Court magistrate's ties
10 to the MS-13 gang, on the political and policymaking roles of President Bukele's brothers, and on
11 detentions during El Salvador's recent "state of exception." He lives in San Salvador.

12 20. Plaintiff Ana Beatriz Lazo Escobar is a marketing manager for El Faro, where she
13 has worked since 2015. She lives in Tamanique, El Salvador.

14 21. Plaintiff Efren Lemus is a reporter for El Faro, where he has worked since 2011.
15 He has written about gang violence and El Salvador's attempts to curtail it, about the treatment of
16 detainees during El Salvador's state of exception, and about accusations of wrongdoing and
17 corruption within the governing Nuevas Ideas party. He also co-wrote an in-depth profile of the
18 MS-13 gang for The New York Times. He lives in San Salvador.

19 22. Plaintiff Daniel Lizárraga currently works for the Institute for War and Peace
20 Reporting as the coordinator for investigative journalism projects in Latin America and Cuba. Mr.
21 Lizárraga previously worked for El Faro, where he served as the investigations editor from January
22 to August 2021. His reporting focuses on corruption, and his work has been recognized with a
23 Gabriel García Márquez Award from the New Journalism Foundation and a Mexican National
24 Journalism Award. He lives in Mexico City, Mexico.

25 23. Plaintiff Carlos López Salamanca is the general manager of El Faro, where he has
26 worked for four years. He lives in San Salvador.

27 24. Plaintiff Carlos Martínez is a reporter for El Faro, where he has worked since 2004.
28 He is one of the founding members of Sala Negra, El Faro's investigative journalism team. His

1 reporting focuses on gang violence and official misconduct. He has worked on some of El Faro's
2 most important stories, including an investigation into the Bukele Administration's secret
3 negotiations with incarcerated gang members, and he co-wrote an in-depth profile of the MS-13
4 gang for The New York Times. He lives in La Libertad, El Salvador.

5 25. Plaintiff Óscar Martínez is the editor-in-chief of El Faro, where he has worked since
6 January 2007. A founding member of Sala Negra, he reports on issues of gang violence, migration,
7 and official misconduct. He has been awarded the Fernando Benítez National Journalism Award
8 in Mexico, the José Simeón Cañas Central American University in El Salvador Human Rights
9 Prize, and the Maria Moors Cabot Prize. He lives in San Salvador.

10 26. Plaintiff María Luz Nóchez is a reporter and the Opinion editor for El Faro, where
11 she has worked since 2011. She reports on arts and culture, violence against women and the
12 LGBTQ community, and the rights of Indigenous people. She lives in Santa Tecla, El Salvador.

13 27. Plaintiff Víctor Peña is a photojournalist for El Faro, where he has worked since
14 2016. He contributes photography and other audiovisual and graphic material to El Faro, focusing
15 on issues relating to women's rights, inequality, pollution, and migration. He lives in San Salvador.

16 28. Plaintiff Nelson Rauda Zablah worked as a reporter and hosted a twice-weekly
17 radio show for El Faro from 2015 to August 2022. He has a decade of experience covering
18 corruption, crime, the justice system, politics, migration, and human rights. His work has also been
19 published in The New York Times, The Washington Post, the Los Angeles Times, ProPublica, the
20 BBC, and El Diario. He previously served as secretary to the Board of Directors of the Asociación
21 de Periodistas de El Salvador, the Salvadoran journalists' association. He currently lives in New
22 York City while pursuing a master's degree at Columbia Journalism School.

23 29. Plaintiff Daniel Reyes Martínez is the chief technology officer of El Faro, where
24 he has worked for six years. He lives in Antigua Cuscatlán, El Salvador.

25 30. Plaintiff Mauricio Ernesto Sandoval Soriano is the general administrator of El Faro,
26 where he has worked since 2018. He lives in Antigua Cuscatlán.

27 31. Plaintiff José Luis Sanz is the Washington correspondent for El Faro, where he has
28 worked since 2001. He was the director of El Faro from 2014 to December 2020. A founding

1 member of Sala Negra, he previously reported on issues of violence, gangs, and organized crime
2 in Central America. He now reports on human rights, migration, and corruption. He currently lives
3 in Washington, D.C.

4 ***Defendants***

5 32. Defendant NSO Group Technologies Limited is a limited liability company that
6 was incorporated in Israel on January 25, 2010. NSO Group develops highly sophisticated
7 spyware; sells that spyware to government clients around the world, including to governments
8 associated with grave abuses of human rights; trains those clients in the use of the spyware; and
9 assists those clients in its deployment. NSO Group is a subsidiary of Q Cyber Technologies
10 Limited, and, on information and belief, it sometimes operates under that name.

11 33. Defendant Q Cyber Technologies Limited is a limited liability company. It was
12 originally incorporated in Israel on December 2, 2013 under the name L.E.G.D. Company Limited,
13 but changed its name to Q Cyber Technologies on May 29, 2016. Q Cyber is the parent company
14 of NSO Group and a subsidiary of OSY Technologies SARL.

15 34. As discussed further below, Defendants have purposefully directed their tortious
16 activities at the State of California. They have also purposefully availed themselves of the United
17 States, and the State of California in particular. For example, for most of the past decade, NSO
18 Group has been principally funded and controlled by California-based companies, including
19 Francisco Partners and Berkeley Research Group. In addition, Q Cyber established a U.S. sales
20 arm called Westbridge Technologies, Inc. to market Defendants' spyware to law enforcement
21 agencies across the United States. Omri Lavie, one of the three co-founders of NSO Group, co-
22 founded and served as the CEO of Westbridge. Defendants and Westbridge hired U.S.-based firms
23 to help market Defendants' spyware and oversee their public relations in the United States.
24 Defendants and Westbridge endeavored to sell Defendants' technology to U.S. government
25 agencies, including the Central Intelligence Agency, the Drug Enforcement Administration, and
26 the Secret Service, as well as to local law enforcement agencies, including the Los Angeles and
27 San Diego Police Departments. In 2019, Defendants sold a version of Pegasus to the Federal
28

1 Bureau of Investigation and trained FBI agents as they tested and evaluated the spyware. The FBI
2 ultimately paid Defendants roughly \$5 million in fees.

3 35. On information and belief, at all times material to this case, each Defendant was
4 the agent, partner, alter ego, subsidiary, parent, and/or co-conspirator of and with the other
5 Defendant, and the acts of each Defendant were within the scope of that relationship; each
6 Defendant knowingly and intentionally agreed with the other to carry out the acts alleged in this
7 Complaint; and in carrying out the acts alleged in this Complaint, each Defendant acted with the
8 knowledge, permission, and consent of the other, and each Defendant aided and abetted the other.

9 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10 *Pegasus*

11 36. Defendants develop highly sophisticated spyware; sell that spyware to government
12 clients around the world, including to governments associated with grave abuses of human rights;
13 train those clients in the use of the spyware; and assist those clients in its deployment.

14 37. Defendants' signature product is called Pegasus. Plaintiffs use the term "Pegasus"
15 throughout this Complaint to refer to any of the products that Defendants market that are identical
16 or substantially similar to Pegasus.

17 38. Pegasus enables its operators to take full control of a target's smartphone remotely
18 and surreptitiously. According to Defendants' marketing materials, Pegasus can be used to
19 remotely and covertly surveil and extract contact details, text messages, instant messages, notes,
20 emails, web-browsing activity, files, and passwords. It can be used to monitor phone calls and
21 VoIP calls, as well as user activity on different applications, including WhatsApp, Facebook, and
22 Skype. It can be used to track and log a device's GPS location. And it can be used to activate the
23 device's microphone to record surrounding sounds, and to activate the device's camera to take
24 photographs.

25 39. Pegasus can also give its operators access to data stored in the cloud. According to
26 news reports, Pegasus allows its operators to copy the authentication keys that smartphones use to
27 access U.S.-based cloud services such as iCloud, Google Drive, and Facebook Messenger. Pegasus
28

1 operators can use those keys to gain access to data stored on those cloud servers—including
2 documents and photographs—without the knowledge of the smartphone’s user.

3 40. It is practically impossible for individuals to protect themselves against Pegasus
4 attacks. Pegasus can be installed surreptitiously, without the smartphone user’s involvement or
5 awareness, through “zero-click” attacks. It can be installed remotely, eliminating the need for
6 physical proximity to a target’s smartphone as well as any reliance on local mobile network
7 operators. It can also circumvent ordinary security measures—such as the use of encryption—
8 because it allows its operators to access an infected device as though they were the device’s user.
9 In addition, it is designed to subvert safeguards that would otherwise alert the target to its presence.
10 On iPhones, for example, Pegasus disables crash reporting to Apple, and many of the malicious
11 processes that Pegasus runs on a device following an infection have been given names similar to
12 those of legitimate iOS system processes.

13 41. Independent security researchers at the Citizen Lab at the University of Toronto’s
14 Munk School of Global Affairs & Public Policy—an organization that has conducted in-depth
15 investigations of spyware attacks around the world—have concluded that Plaintiffs in this case
16 were targeted through zero-click Pegasus attacks directed at their iPhones. Amnesty International’s
17 Security Lab independently confirmed the Citizen Lab’s conclusion. Investigations by the Citizen
18 Lab’s researchers indicate that Defendants carried out these attacks in the stages described below.
19 On information and belief, the Pegasus attacks against Plaintiffs required Defendants to interact
20 extensively with Apple’s U.S.-based servers, many of which are in California.

21 42. First, Defendants identified vulnerabilities in Apple software and services that
22 could be used in the process of infecting targeted iPhones with Pegasus. Defendants created Apple
23 ID accounts specifically for the purpose of identifying these vulnerabilities. Ordinarily, Apple ID
24 accounts are used by Apple to authenticate its customers when they use Apple services. In contrast,
25 Defendants used their Apple ID accounts to discover vulnerabilities in Apple’s software, to probe
26 Apple’s servers and services, and to test the software that Defendants developed to infect iPhones
27 with Pegasus.

1 43. Second, Defendants and their clients exploited the vulnerabilities that they
2 identified to infect targeted iPhones with Pegasus. To initiate a zero-click attack, Defendants and
3 their clients used the target's Apple ID or other information to confirm that the target was in fact
4 using an iPhone, and then they used Defendants' own Apple ID accounts to send malicious data
5 to the device by leveraging the communications between Apple's services and the targeted iPhone.
6 The malicious data caused the device to retrieve Pegasus (and other malicious data precipitating
7 the Pegasus infection) through a network of servers operated and/or maintained by Defendants. In
8 this case, Plaintiffs' iPhones were infected using zero-click exploits known as KISMET and
9 FORCEDENTRY. Defendants and their clients appear to have executed both of these exploits by
10 using Apple ID accounts to send malicious data through Apple's iMessage service. In the case of
11 at least FORCEDENTRY, the Pegasus file was stored temporarily, in encrypted form, on one of
12 Apple's iCloud servers before delivery to a target's iPhone.

13 44. Third, Pegasus operators used command-and-control servers to exploit the Pegasus
14 infection, taking control of the infected iPhone. The operators could use these servers to issue
15 commands to each infected device—for example, to exfiltrate data, to enable location tracking, or
16 to record audio and take photographs using the device's microphone and camera. If a Pegasus
17 operator extracted authentication keys from an infected iPhone, the operator could use those keys
18 to access and extract data from the targeted individual's cloud-based accounts. Pegasus infections
19 were sometimes short-lived (allowing operators to hack their targets' iPhones, exfiltrate data of
20 potential interest, and then attempt to cover their tracks by deleting traces of the infection) and
21 sometimes prolonged or "active" (allowing operators to conduct ongoing surveillance, albeit at
22 greater risk of discovery). Even when Defendants' employees were not themselves the Pegasus
23 operators at this stage of the attacks, Defendants remained involved by configuring and
24 maintaining the operators' command-and-control servers, ensuring that infected devices were
25 running the latest version of the Pegasus software, and providing ongoing technical assistance to
26 the operators. Defendants also offered extensive customer support, including on-the-ground
27 support during the initial deployment and/or continued operation of Pegasus, technical support by
28

1 email and phone, and engineer support through remote desktop software and/or a virtual private
2 network.

3 45. In July 2021, Amnesty International’s Security Lab concluded that Defendants
4 were, at that time, able to remotely and covertly compromise all recent iPhone models and versions
5 of Apple’s mobile operating system using the process described above or one similar to it.

6 ***The Threat Pegasus Poses to Press Freedom and Human Rights***

7 46. Defendants have sold Pegasus to authoritarian and rights-abusing governments
8 around the world, and many of those governments have used the spyware to target journalists,
9 human rights activists, and political opponents.

10 47. According to the Pegasus Project, a collaboration of more than eighty journalists
11 from seventeen media organizations in ten countries, at least 180 journalists from twenty countries
12 have been selected as targets of Pegasus attacks by authoritarian or rights-abusing governments.
13 Saudi authorities used Pegasus to surveil family members and close associates of journalist Jamal
14 Khashoggi—whom Saudi agents brutally murdered in 2018—as well as other Saudi activists, an
15 Amnesty International staff member, and an American New York Times journalist who has
16 reported extensively on the country. Morocco used Pegasus to spy on journalist Omar Radi.
17 Mexican officials used Pegasus to surveil journalists and lawyers investigating corruption and
18 human rights abuses in the country. Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán also used Pegasus to
19 surveil journalists, lawyers, and social activists.

20 48. Prominent human rights activists, diplomats, and political opposition figures, too,
21 have been frequent victims of Pegasus attacks. For example, in 2021 alone, Defendants’ clients
22 used Pegasus to surveil U.S. diplomats working in Uganda; Carine Kanimba, a dual U.S.–Belgian
23 citizen who was targeted while she was campaigning for the release of her father, Hotel Rwanda
24 hero Paul Rusesabagina, from detention; Lama Fakih, a prominent Lebanese activist and Human
25 Rights Watch director; at least four members of the civic youth movement “Oyan, Qazaqstan”
26 (“Wake Up, Khazakhstan”); and at least thirty pro-democracy protesters and activists in Thailand.
27 In 2020, more than sixty pro-Catalonian independence activists were the victims of Pegasus
28 attacks. And in 2019, at least three human rights activists in India were surveilled with Pegasus

1 while they were advocating for the release of other imprisoned activists, and Polish senator
2 Krzysztof Brejza was surveilled with Pegasus while he was running a parliamentary election
3 campaign.

4 49. The supply of spyware to authoritarian and rights-abusing regimes, by Defendants
5 and other mercenary spyware manufacturers like them, is now widely understood to present an
6 urgent challenge to press freedom around the world.

7 50. In November 2021, the U.S. Department of Commerce added NSO Group to its
8 “Entity List” based on evidence that it had “supplied spyware to foreign governments that used”
9 the spyware “to maliciously target government officials, journalists, businesspeople, activists,
10 academics, and embassy workers,” as well as to target “dissidents, journalists and activists outside
11 of their sovereign borders to silence dissent.” The Commerce Department described the
12 designation of NSO Group as part of a broader effort to “stem the proliferation of digital tools used
13 for repression” and to “improv[e] citizens’ digital security, combat[] cyber threats, and mitigat[e]
14 unlawful surveillance.” In June 2022, the Biden Administration opposed U.S. government
15 contractor L3Harris Technologies’ bid to acquire NSO Group, observing that Pegasus had been
16 “misused around the world to enable human rights abuses, including to target journalists, human
17 rights activists, or others perceived as dissidents and critics.” And in its October 2022 National
18 Security Strategy, the Biden Administration pledged “to counter the exploitation of American’s
19 [sic] sensitive data and illegitimate use of technology, including commercial spyware and
20 surveillance technology,” and to “stand against digital authoritarianism.”

21 51. Congress has also begun to act against the threats posed by spyware. On July 27,
22 2022, the Chair of the U.S. House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence called the
23 widespread availability of spyware like Pegasus a “game-changer for autocratic regimes that are
24 looking for new means to surveil, intimidate, imprison, or even kill dissidents, journalists, and
25 others who they view as a threat.” The Committee subsequently approved legislation that would
26 empower the Director of National Intelligence to prohibit the U.S. intelligence community from
27 buying and using foreign spyware, and that would authorize the President to impose sanctions on
28 foreign firms and individuals that sell, purchase, or use spyware.

1 stories—damaged devices used by employees for both professional and personal purposes and
2 resulted in the exfiltration of sensitive data.

3 55. For example, beginning in or around June 2020, Defendants and their clients
4 hacked the device of Plaintiff Carlos Martínez, an El Faro reporter, at least twenty-eight times.
5 The Citizen Lab was able to detect an additional, unsuccessful attempted hack of Mr. Martínez’s
6 device using Defendants’ FORCEDENTRY exploit on November 15, 2021. During this time, Mr.
7 Martínez was the lead El Faro reporter investigating the secret negotiations between the
8 Salvadoran government and the MS-13 gang.

9 56. Between September and November 2020, as El Faro first reported on the MS-13
10 negotiations, Defendants and their clients hacked the devices of El Faro’s employees more than
11 two dozen times. Those whose devices were infected with Pegasus during this time include
12 Plaintiffs Carlos Dada, Sergio Arauz, Gabriel Labrador, Carlos López Salamanca, Carlos
13 Martínez, Óscar Martínez, Daniel Reyes Martínez, Mauricio Sandoval Soriano, and José Luis
14 Sanz.

15 57. Defendants and their clients continued to hack El Faro employees’ devices
16 throughout the end of 2020 and beginning of 2021, most frequently targeting Carlos Dada, Carlos
17 Martínez, Óscar Martínez, and José Luis Sanz.

18 58. The Pegasus attacks increased in intensity. In April and May 2021, Defendants and
19 their clients hacked the devices of El Faro employees fifty-two times. They installed Pegasus on
20 the device of Plaintiff Efren Lemus as he reported that El Salvador’s former Minister of Security
21 and Justice had been fired in part because he attempted to mount his own presidential candidacy
22 without President Bukele’s support. At the same time, Defendants and their clients hacked the
23 device of Gabriel Labrador while he was conducting interviews for a magazine profile of President
24 Bukele, and they hacked the device Plaintiff Nelson Rauda Zablah while he was covering the trial
25 of sixteen military officers accused of leading the December 1981 massacre of more than one
26 thousand civilians in the village of El Mozote.

27 59. Overall, the Pegasus attacks on El Faro employees extended for eighteen months.
28 A list of the known attacks against individuals in El Salvador, including Plaintiffs and other El

1 Faro employees, can be found in the Citizen Lab report summarizing the attacks, incorporated
2 herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3 60. Because Defendants intentionally designed Pegasus to avoid detection, El Faro and
4 its employees were unaware during most of the time they were under attack that their devices had
5 been compromised. El Faro's leadership learned of the first confirmed Pegasus attacks in October
6 2021, after the Citizen Lab, with the assistance of Access Now, detected evidence of Pegasus on
7 the personal device of Plaintiff Julia Gavarrete. Upon receiving confirmation that her device had
8 been infected with Pegasus, Ms. Gavarrete informed El Faro's leadership of the attack.

9 61. El Faro's leadership devoted considerable time and resources to identifying the full
10 extent of the attacks and remediating the harms caused by them. The team—including Carlos
11 Dada, Julia Gavarrete, Daniel Reyes Martínez, and Óscar Martínez—initially submitted forensic
12 data from eleven devices used by El Faro employees for further analysis by the Citizen Lab, with
13 the assistance of Access Now. After the Citizen Lab confirmed that all eleven devices had been
14 infected with Pegasus, the team reached out to additional employees at risk of infection and
15 submitted forensic data from thirty devices for analysis by December 2021. During that time and
16 the months that followed, El Faro employees devoted hundreds of hours to investigating the
17 attacks, identifying other employees who had been targeted, working with security researchers to
18 confirm the nature and duration of the attacks, developing and implementing new digital security
19 policies, and upgrading El Faro's information technology systems. As a result of the attacks, El
20 Faro incurred significant costs that far exceeded \$5,000 within the year after El Faro's leadership
21 learned of the attacks.

22 62. The Pegasus attacks undermined El Faro's ability to operate, to support its
23 employees, and to serve its readers. The attacks have diverted El Faro leadership and employees
24 from reporting, editing, and publishing. Despite El Faro's best efforts, the attacks have deterred
25 some sources from continuing to communicate with El Faro reporters, deterred some writers from
26 publishing their work with El Faro, and deterred some advertisers from doing business with El
27 Faro.

The Pegasus Attacks on Plaintiffs

63. The Pegasus attacks on devices used by Plaintiffs were part of a coordinated and sustained effort to undermine independent journalism in El Salvador. The attacks all unfolded in a similar manner, beginning with the deployment by Defendants and their clients of zero-click exploits to each targeted device. And the attacks caused similar damage to each device, compromising data stored on and accessible through it. The attacks disabled certain Apple iOS features on the devices, infected the devices with Pegasus, enabled Defendants and their clients to issue commands to the devices without Plaintiffs' knowledge or consent, and undermined the value of the devices for private communication and computing. Although Defendants designed Pegasus to leave no evidence of attempts to exfiltrate data from targeted devices, the Citizen Lab's analyses confirmed exfiltration of data from at least twelve of the devices targeted in the attacks against El Faro, including those used by Plaintiffs Sergio Arauz, Julia Gavarrete, Roman Gressier, Gabriel Labrador, Efren Lemus, Daniel Lizárraga, Carlos López Salamanca, Óscar Martínez, María Luz Nóchez, Mauricio Sandoval Soriano, and José Luis Sanz. On information and belief, Defendants and their clients exfiltrated data from all of Plaintiffs' targeted devices, including data stored on Plaintiffs' cloud-based accounts.

64. **Carlos Dada**: Carlos Dada is the co-founder and director of El Faro. His reporting focuses on corruption and violence.

65. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Dada's device, an iPhone 11 owned by El Faro, at least twelve times between July 2020 and June 2021.

66. During the relevant time period, Mr. Dada used his device, which was password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained social media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Instagram, Signal, Telegram, Twitter, and WhatsApp. He used the device for communicating with family, friends, sources, and colleagues; for conducting online banking, planning travel, arranging transportation through ride-sharing apps, and consulting maps; and for storing videos and photos. He also used his device to communicate with sources, to store confidential and leaked documents, and to edit work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was connected to an iCloud account.

1 67. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Dada substantial harms. He has had to significantly
2 alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications and
3 prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value of Mr.
4 Dada's device. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks.
5 For example, he spent approximately one hundred hours helping to lead El Faro's initial
6 investigation into the attacks.

7 68. **Sergio Arauz:** Sergio Arauz is the deputy editor-in-chief of El Faro and has worked
8 at the organization for twenty-two years. His reporting focuses on politics and human rights.

9 69. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Arauz's device, an iPhone 11 owned by El
10 Faro, at least fourteen times between August 2020 and October 2021. The Citizen Lab confirmed
11 that data was exfiltrated from Mr. Arauz's device in the course of these attacks, but it could not
12 identify which data was stolen.

13 70. During the relevant time period, Mr. Arauz used his device, which was password-
14 protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained social
15 media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Gmail, Instagram, Signal, Telegram,
16 Twitter, and WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with family and friends; to store
17 personal financial information; and to conduct his work as a journalist, including by
18 communicating with anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing
19 work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive.

20 71. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Arauz substantial harms. He has had to
21 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
22 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes greatly diminished the value of Mr.
23 Arauz's device, which he later replaced. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish
24 as a result of the attacks and the loss of his privacy. Finally, he incurred significant costs in
25 investigating and remediating the attacks. For example, as a leader of El Faro and a member of El
26 Faro's Board of Directors, he spent approximately two hundred hours investigating and
27 remediating the attacks against the organization, including by participating in discussions about
28 the impact of the attacks on El Faro and the safety of its employees. He also spent more than two

1 dozen hours investigating the scope of the attacks against his own device, including by reviewing
2 his notes, project timelines, and reporting topics over the course of the attacks, by attending
3 meetings regarding the forensic analysis of El Faro employees' devices, and by preparing a back-
4 up of his own device for forensic analysis.

5 72. **Gabriela Cáceres Gutiérrez**: Gabriela Cáceres Gutiérrez is a reporter for El Faro.
6 In 2021, she, along with Plaintiffs Carlos Martínez and Óscar Martínez, published one of El Faro's
7 most significant investigations, revealing secret negotiations held in maximum security prisons
8 between the Bukele Administration and incarcerated members of El Salvador's three main gangs:
9 MS-13, Barrio 18 Revolucionarios, and Barrio 18 Sureños.

10 73. Defendants and their clients hacked Ms. Cáceres Gutiérrez's device, an iPhone 11
11 owned by El Faro, at least thirteen times between April and September 2021. These dates coincided
12 with her investigation into the Bukele Administration's negotiations with Salvadoran gangs.

13 74. During the relevant time period, Ms. Cáceres Gutiérrez used her device, which was
14 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. Her device contained
15 social media and messaging applications, including Instagram, Signal, Twitter, and WhatsApp.
16 She used the device to communicate with family and friends; to store personal financial
17 information; and to conduct her work as a journalist, including by communicating with anonymous
18 sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing work-related documents and drafts
19 in Google Drive. Her device was connected to an iCloud account.

20 75. The Pegasus attacks caused Ms. Cáceres Gutiérrez substantial harms. She has had
21 to significantly alter how she uses her device, diminishing its value to her. She has suffered, and
22 continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the attacks. Finally, she incurred significant costs
23 in investigating and remediating the attacks. For example, she spent approximately three weeks
24 investigating the attacks and informing family, friends, and sources that their information had been
25 exposed to Defendants and their clients. She also purchased a new iPhone to protect her sources
26 following the attacks.

1 76. **Julia Gavarrete**: Julia Gavarrete joined El Faro’s newsroom in 2021. Her
2 reporting focuses on vulnerable communities in Central America, on women’s rights, and on
3 environmental issues.

4 77. Defendants and their clients hacked Ms. Gavarrete’s personal device, an iPhone 11,
5 as well as an El Faro–owned iPhone that she used for work, at least eighteen times between
6 February and September 2021. At one point in 2021, after Ms. Gavarrete scheduled a meeting with
7 a source using her device, military officers arrived at the meeting location at the scheduled time
8 and prevented Ms. Gavarrete and her source from entering a building. The Citizen Lab confirmed
9 that data was exfiltrated from Ms. Gavarrete’s personal device in the course of these attacks, but
10 it could not identify which data was stolen.

11 78. During the relevant time period, Ms. Gavarrete used her devices, both of which
12 were password-protected, extensively. Her personal device contained social media and messaging
13 applications, including Facebook, Instagram, Signal, Telegram, Twitter, and WhatsApp. She also
14 used her personal device for emailing, conducting personal banking, storing photos of family and
15 friends, sharing sensitive information about her father’s failing health with family members and
16 doctors, and monitoring footage from her home security camera. Her work device contained her
17 work email, draft articles that were stored in Google Drive, photos of leaked documents that were
18 stored on Google Photos, and work-related communications. She also used her work device to
19 draft interview notes from anonymous sources. Both of her devices were connected to iCloud
20 accounts.

21 79. The Pegasus attacks caused Ms. Gavarrete substantial harms. She has had to
22 significantly alter how she uses both her personal and work devices, including by minimizing
23 work-related communications and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have
24 greatly diminished the value of Ms. Gavarrete’s devices. She has also suffered, and continues to
25 suffer, mental anguish and physical symptoms as a result of the attacks, including back pain and
26 eye strain. Finally, she incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
27 example, she spent a month assisting in El Faro’s investigation into the attacks, including by
28 working with the Citizen Lab and Access Now, by meeting with El Faro’s leaders and other

1 journalists to ascertain whether their devices had been attacked, and by informing her sources that
2 their information had been exposed to Defendants and their clients. She also purchased an external
3 hard drive so she could prepare back-ups of her devices for forensic analysis by the Citizen Lab,
4 with the assistance of Access Now.

5 80. **Roman Gressier**: Roman Gressier is a reporter for El Faro. He writes El Faro's
6 English-language newsletter and has reported extensively on Central American politics, human
7 rights, and press freedom.

8 81. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Gressier's device, an iPhone 11 owned by
9 El Faro, at least four times between May and June 2021. The Citizen Lab confirmed that data was
10 exfiltrated from Mr. Gressier's device in the course of these attacks, but it could not identify which
11 data was stolen.

12 82. During the relevant time period, Mr. Gressier used his device, which was password-
13 protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained social
14 media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Facebook Messenger, Gmail, Instagram,
15 ProtonMail, Signal, and WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with family and friends;
16 to store personal financial information and passwords; and to conduct his work as a journalist,
17 including by communicating with anonymous sources and editing work-related documents and
18 drafts in Google Drive. His device was connected to an iCloud account.

19 83. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Gressier substantial harms. He has had to
20 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
21 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value
22 of Mr. Gressier's device. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of
23 the attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
24 example, he spent approximately sixty to seventy hours investigating the attacks, notifying
25 contacts that their information had been exposed to Defendants and their clients, and attempting
26 to remediate the attacks by improving his digital security.

27 84. **Gabriel Labrador**: Gabriel Labrador is a reporter for El Faro. He has reported
28 extensively on criminal justice and public corruption, including on a Salvadoran Supreme Court

1 magistrate's ties to the MS-13 gang, on the political and policymaking roles of President Bukele's
2 brothers, and on detentions during El Salvador's recent state of exception.

3 85. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Labrador's device, an iPhone 11 owned by
4 El Faro, at least twenty times between August 2020 and November 2021. The Citizen Lab
5 confirmed that data was exfiltrated from Mr. Labrador's device in the course of these attacks, but
6 it could not identify which data was stolen.

7 86. During the relevant time period, Mr. Labrador used his device, which was
8 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
9 social media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Facebook Messenger, Gmail,
10 Google Hangouts, Google Meet, Instagram, Jitsi Meet, Snapchat, Skype, Telegram, Twitter,
11 WhatsApp, and Zoom. He used the device to communicate with family and friends; to store
12 personal financial information; and to conduct his work as a journalist, including by
13 communicating with anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing
14 work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was connected to iCloud and
15 Dropbox accounts.

16 87. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Labrador substantial harms. He has had to
17 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing communications with his
18 sources. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value of Mr. Labrador's device. He
19 has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the attacks, and he has seen a
20 therapist to help him manage this stress. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and
21 remediating the attacks. For example, he spent approximately twenty-four hours describing what
22 he was working on when his device was infected with Pegasus. He spent approximately four hours
23 attending meetings at El Faro about digital security in the wake of the attacks. He also purchased
24 additional security software for his devices.

25 88. **Ana Beatriz Lazo Escobar**: Ana Beatriz Lazo Escobar is a marketing manager for
26 El Faro, where she has worked for seven years.

27 89. Defendants and their clients hacked Ms. Lazo Escobar's device, an iPhone 11
28 owned by El Faro, at least once, in April 2021.

1 90. During the relevant time period, Ms. Lazo Escobar used her device, which was
2 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. Her device contained
3 social media and messaging applications, including Gmail, Instagram, Signal, Telegram, Twitter,
4 and WhatsApp. She also stored personal financial information on the device. Her device was
5 connected to an iCloud account.

6 91. The Pegasus attack caused Ms. Lazo Escobar substantial harms. She has suffered,
7 and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the attacks, and she has seen a therapist to
8 help her manage this stress. Finally, she incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating
9 the attacks. For example, she spent approximately eight hours addressing the attacks, including by
10 preparing a back-up of her device for forensic analysis.

11 92. **Efren Lemus**: Efren Lemus is a reporter for El Faro. His reporting focuses on gang
12 violence and El Salvador's attempts to curtail it, as well as wrongdoing and corruption within the
13 governing Nuevas Ideas party.

14 93. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Lemus's device, an iPhone 11 owned by
15 El Faro, at least ten times between April and September 2021. The device was first infected with
16 Pegasus on April 23, 2021, the day Mr. Lemus first received it from El Faro. Defendants and their
17 clients hacked his device at least nine more times over the following five months. The Citizen Lab
18 confirmed that data was exfiltrated from Mr. Lemus's device in the course of these attacks, but it
19 could not identify which data was stolen.

20 94. During the relevant time period, Mr. Lemus used his device, which was password-
21 protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained social
22 media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Google Meet, Signal, Telegram, Twitter,
23 WhatsApp, and Zoom. He used the device to communicate with family and friends; to store
24 personal financial information; and to conduct his work as a journalist, including by
25 communicating with anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing
26 work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was connected to an iCloud
27 account.

28

1 95. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Lemus substantial harms. He has had to
2 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
3 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value
4 of Mr. Lemus's device. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, great stress and uncertainty as a
5 result of the attacks, leading him to avoid public places and to alter the route he takes when walking
6 his daughters to school. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the
7 attacks. For example, he spent approximately one hundred hours addressing the attacks, including
8 by assisting with El Faro's investigation into the attacks, suspending interviews on reporting
9 projects out of fear of continued surveillance, and notifying sources and contacts that their
10 information had been exposed to Defendants and their clients. He also purchased an external hard
11 drive to prepare a back-up of his device for forensic analysis.

12 96. **Daniel Lizárraga**: Daniel Lizárraga worked as the investigations editor for El Faro
13 from January to August 2021. His reporting focuses on corruption.

14 97. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Lizárraga's device, an iPhone 11 owned
15 by El Faro, at least eight times between April and July 2021. The Citizen Lab confirmed that data
16 was exfiltrated from Mr. Lizárraga's device in the course of these attacks, but it could not identify
17 which data was stolen.

18 98. During the relevant time period, Mr. Lizárraga used his device, which was
19 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
20 social media and messaging applications, including Signal, Telegram, Twitter, WhatsApp, and
21 Zoom. He used the device to communicate with family and friends and to conduct his work with
22 El Faro, including by communicating with anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked
23 documents, and editing work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was
24 connected to an iCloud account.

25 99. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Lizárraga substantial harms. He had to significantly
26 alter how he uses his device, including by no longer using it for personal conversations and by
27 minimizing work-related communications. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental
28 anguish as a result of the attacks and the loss of his privacy, and he has seen a therapist to help him

1 manage this stress. He has also incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the
2 attacks. For example, he spent several hours addressing the attacks, including by preparing a back-
3 up of his device for forensic analysis and by notifying contacts and sources that their information
4 had been exposed to Defendants and their clients.

5 100. **Carlos López Salamanca:** Carlos López Salamanca is the general manager of El
6 Faro.

7 101. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. López Salamanca's device, an iPhone 11
8 owned by El Faro, at least three times between September 2020 and May 2021. The Citizen Lab
9 confirmed that data was exfiltrated from Mr. López Salamanca's device in the course of these
10 attacks, but it could not identify which data was stolen.

11 102. During the relevant time period, Mr. López Salamanca used his device, which was
12 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
13 social media and messaging applications, including FaceTime, Facebook, Gmail, Instagram,
14 Signal, Telegram, Twitter, and WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with family and
15 friends; to store personal financial information and photographs; to order food using meal delivery
16 applications; to read the news and watch videos; and to conduct his work with El Faro, including
17 by storing confidential work-related documents in Google Drive. His device was connected to an
18 iCloud account.

19 103. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. López Salamanca substantial harms. He has had to
20 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
21 and removing his work-related email account from his device altogether. These necessary changes
22 greatly diminished the value of Mr. López Salamanca's device. He has suffered, and continues to
23 suffer, mental anguish as a result of the attacks and the loss of his privacy. Finally, he incurred
24 significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For example, he spent approximately
25 forty hours addressing the attacks, including by preparing a back-up of his device for forensic
26 analysis. He spent approximately five additional hours notifying contacts that their information
27 had been exposed to Defendants and their clients.

1 104. **Carlos Martínez**: Carlos Martínez is a reporter for El Faro. He is a founding
2 member of El Faro’s investigative journalism team, and his reporting focuses on gang violence
3 and official misconduct.

4 105. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Martínez’s device, an iPhone 11 owned by
5 El Faro, at least twenty-eight times between June 2020 and October 2021. During many of these
6 months, Mr. Martínez was in regular contact with U.S. Embassy officials as he investigated the
7 Bukele Administration’s negotiations with Salvadoran gangs. Although the Citizen Lab could not
8 confirm whether data was exfiltrated from Mr. Martínez’s device, one of El Faro’s sources played
9 Mr. Martínez’s colleague an audio recording of a private conversation Mr. Martínez had with
10 Óscar Martínez during this time.

11 106. During the relevant time period, Mr. Martínez used his device, which was
12 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
13 social media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Facebook Messenger, Gmail,
14 Instagram, Signal, Telegram, Twitter, and WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with
15 family and friends; to store personal financial information; and to conduct his work as a journalist,
16 including by communicating with anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked documents,
17 and editing work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was connected to an
18 iCloud account.

19 107. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Martínez substantial harms. He has had to
20 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
21 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value
22 of his device. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the attacks.
23 Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For example, he
24 spent approximately five days informing family, friends, and sources that their information had
25 been exposed to Defendants and their clients. He also purchased a new iPhone following the
26 attacks.

1 108. **Óscar Martínez**: Óscar Martínez is the editor-in-chief of El Faro. A founding
2 member El Faro’s investigative journalism team, he reports on issues of gang violence, migration,
3 and official misconduct.

4 109. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Martínez’s device, an iPhone 8 owned by
5 El Faro, at least forty-two times between July 2020 and October 2021. The Citizen Lab confirmed
6 that data was exfiltrated from Mr. Martínez’s device in the course of these attacks. Although the
7 Citizen Lab could not identify which data was stolen from Mr. Martínez’s device, one of El Faro’s
8 sources played Mr. Martínez’s colleague an audio recording of a private conversation Mr. Martínez
9 had with Carlos Martínez during this time.

10 110. During the relevant time period, Mr. Martínez used his device, which was
11 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
12 social media and messaging applications, including Gmail, Signal, Telegram, Twitter, and
13 WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with family and friends; to store personal financial
14 information; and to conduct his work as a journalist, including by communicating with anonymous
15 sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing work-related documents and
16 drafts.

17 111. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Martínez substantial harms. He has had to
18 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
19 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value
20 of Mr. Martínez’s device. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of
21 the attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
22 example, he spent hundreds of hours investigating the attacks, developing El Faro’s strategic
23 response to the attacks, establishing new security protocols for El Faro, notifying contacts and
24 sources that their information had been exposed to Defendants and their clients, and improving his
25 own digital security. After the attacks, he started meeting with sources in person more frequently,
26 increasing travel and booking costs. He also purchased at least ten different devices that he used
27 in the months after the attacks were confirmed.

1 112. **María Luz Nóchez**: María Luz Nóchez is a reporter and the Opinion editor for El
2 Faro. She reports on arts and culture, violence against women and the LGBTQ community, and
3 the rights of Indigenous people.

4 113. Defendants and their clients hacked Ms. Nóchez’s device, an iPhone 11 owned by
5 El Faro, at least three times between February and June 2021. The Citizen Lab confirmed that data
6 was exfiltrated from Ms. Nóchez’s device in the course of these attacks, but it could not identify
7 which data was stolen.

8 114. During the relevant time period, Ms. Nóchez used her device, which was password-
9 protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. Her device contained social
10 media and messaging applications, including FaceTime, Facebook Messenger, Gmail, Signal,
11 Telegram, WhatsApp, and Zoom. She used the device to communicate with family and friends; to
12 store personal financial information; and to conduct her work as a journalist, including by editing
13 work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. Her device was connected to an iCloud
14 account.

15 115. The Pegasus attacks caused Ms. Nóchez substantial harms. She has had to
16 significantly alter how she uses her device, including by minimizing work-related communications
17 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value
18 of her device. She has also suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish and physical
19 symptoms as a result of the attacks, including intense abdominal pain. She has seen a therapist to
20 help her manage the stress resulting from the attacks. Finally, she incurred significant costs in
21 investigating and remediating the attacks. For example, she spent several hours addressing the
22 attacks, including by attending meetings at El Faro regarding the investigation into the attacks,
23 preparing a back-up of her device for forensic analysis, and attending additional meetings about
24 digital security following the attacks.

25 116. **Víctor Peña**: Víctor Peña is a photojournalist for El Faro. He contributes
26 photography and audiovisual and graphic material to El Faro, focusing on issues relating to
27 women’s rights, inequality, pollution, and migration.

1 117. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Peña’s device, an iPhone 11 owned by El
2 Faro, at least once, on November 22, 2021. The attack on Mr. Peña’s device was the last known
3 Pegasus attack on El Faro.

4 118. During the relevant time period, Mr. Peña used his device, which was password-
5 protected, extensively for personal and professional purposes. His device contained social media
6 and messaging applications, including Facebook, Gmail, Instagram, Signal, Telegram, Twitter,
7 and WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with family and friends; to store personal
8 financial information; and to conduct his work as a journalist, including by communicating with
9 anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing work-related
10 documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was connected to an iCloud account.

11 119. The Pegasus attack caused Mr. Peña substantial harms. He has had to significantly
12 alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications and
13 prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value of Mr.
14 Peña’s device. He has also suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the
15 attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
16 example, he spent approximately one month addressing the attacks, including by assisting with El
17 Faro’s investigation into the attacks and by notifying sources and contacts that their information
18 had been exposed to Defendants and their clients.

19 120. **Nelson Rauda Zablah**: Nelson Rauda Zablah worked as a reporter and hosted a
20 twice-weekly radio show for El Faro from 2015 to August 2022. He has a decade of experience
21 covering corruption, crime, the justice system, politics, migration, and human rights.

22 121. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Rauda Zablah’s device, an iPhone 11
23 owned by El Faro, at least six times between April and September 2021. The attacks against Mr.
24 Rauda Zablah’s device coincided with three dates on which he visited the U.S. Embassy in San
25 Salvador.

26 122. During the relevant time period, Mr. Rauda Zablah used his device, which was
27 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
28 social media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Gmail, Google Meet, Instagram,

1 Microsoft Teams, Skype, Telegram, TikTok, Twitter, WhatsApp, and Zoom. He used the device
2 to communicate with family and friends, including receiving photos of his nieces and nephews; to
3 store personal financial information; and to conduct his work as a journalist, including by
4 communicating with anonymous sources, storing confidential and leaked documents, and editing
5 work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was also connected to an iCloud
6 account.

7 123. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Rauda Zablah substantial harms. He has had to
8 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by no longer using it for personal
9 communication or banking. Similarly, he began minimizing work-related communications and
10 prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value of Mr.
11 Rauda Zablah's device. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the
12 attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
13 example, he spent approximately seventy hours assisting El Faro's investigation into the attacks,
14 notifying contacts that their information had been exposed to Defendants and their clients, and
15 taking remedial digital security measures. He spent approximately ten additional hours preparing
16 a back-up of his device for forensic analysis, consulting with information technology experts,
17 deleting and re-downloading the applications he had previously used, and conducting additional
18 security analyses to check for any subsequent reinfection. After moving to the United States, he
19 purchased a new, more secure device with a new number and cellular plan as a result of the attacks.
20 Fearing that the new device may also be targeted, however, he does not use it for tasks that he
21 routinely carried out on his previous device before the attacks.

22 124. **Daniel Reyes Martínez**: Daniel Reyes Martínez is the chief technology officer of
23 El Faro.

24 125. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Reyes Martínez's device, an iPhone 11
25 owned by El Faro, at least twice between October 2020 and November 2021.

26 126. During the relevant time period, Mr. Reyes Martínez used his device, which was
27 password-protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained
28 social media and messaging applications, including Telegram and WhatsApp. He used the device

1 to communicate with family and friends; to store personal financial information; and to conduct
2 his work with El Faro, including by storing work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive.
3 His device was connected to an iCloud account.

4 127. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Reyes Martínez substantial harms. He has had to
5 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
6 and avoiding phone calls. These necessary changes greatly diminished the value of Mr. Reyes
7 Martínez's device. He has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the
8 attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
9 example, as chief technology officer of El Faro, he spent several months working full time to
10 investigate and assess the extent of the Pegasus attacks. He also spent several hours investigating
11 the scope of the attacks against his own device, including by purchasing a hard drive to prepare a
12 back-up of his device for forensic analysis and by analyzing his device himself using a mobile
13 verification toolkit created by Amnesty International. He also purchased a new device following
14 the attacks in an attempt to ensure better digital security. Experiencing significant stress and
15 uncertainty about the surveillance of his family as a result of the attacks, he also purchased security
16 cameras and a smart doorbell for his home.

17 128. **Mauricio Ernesto Sandoval Soriano**: Mauricio Ernesto Sandoval Soriano is the
18 general administrator of El Faro.

19 129. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Sandoval Soriano's device, an iPhone 11
20 owned by El Faro, at least four times between August 2020 and October 2021. The Citizen Lab
21 confirmed that data was exfiltrated from Mr. Sandoval Soriano's device in the course of these
22 attacks, but it could not identify which data was stolen.

23 130. During the relevant time period, Mr. Sandoval Soriano used his device, which was
24 password-protected, extensively for work and occasionally for personal purposes. His device
25 contained social media and messaging applications, including Gmail, Signal, Telegram, Twitter,
26 and WhatsApp. He used his device to conduct his work, including by editing and signing
27 documents in DocuSign and Google Drive and storing documents relating to El Faro's
28

1 administrative, financial, and strategic decisions; he also occasionally used his device for personal
2 purposes, including to communicate with his wife and to share photographs.

3 131. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Sandoval Soriano substantial harms. He has had to
4 significantly alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications
5 and prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value
6 of Mr. Sandoval Soriano's device. He has also suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish
7 as a result of the attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the
8 attacks. For example, he spent approximately fifty hours addressing the attacks, including by
9 assisting with El Faro's investigation into the attacks. Experiencing significant stress and
10 uncertainty about the surveillance of his family as a result of the attacks, he also purchased security
11 cameras for his home.

12 132. **José Luis Sanz**: José Luis Sanz is the Washington correspondent for El Faro. Mr.
13 Sanz reports on human rights, migration, and corruption. A founding member of El Faro's
14 investigative journalism team, he previously reported on issues of violence, gangs, and organized
15 crime in Central America.

16 133. Defendants and their clients hacked Mr. Sanz's device, an iPhone 8, at least thirteen
17 times between July and December 2020. During these months, Mr. Sanz communicated and
18 attended meetings with U.S. Embassy officials, as well as diplomatic representatives from the
19 European Union, France, Spain, and the United Kingdom. The Citizen Lab confirmed that data
20 was exfiltrated from Mr. Sanz's device in the course of these attacks, but it could not identify
21 which data was stolen.

22 134. During the relevant time period, Mr. Sanz used his device, which was password-
23 protected, extensively for both personal and professional purposes. His device contained social
24 media and messaging applications, including Facebook, Gmail, Instagram, Signal, Skype,
25 Telegram, Twitter, and WhatsApp. He used the device to communicate with family and friends;
26 to store photographs; to store personal financial information; and to conduct his work as a
27 journalist, including by maintaining the contact information of anonymous sources and editing
28

1 work-related documents and drafts in Google Drive. His device was also connected to an iCloud
2 account.

3 135. The Pegasus attacks caused Mr. Sanz substantial harms. He has had to significantly
4 alter how he uses his device, including by minimizing work-related communications and
5 prioritizing in-person meetings. These necessary changes have greatly diminished the value of Mr.
6 Sanz's device. He has also suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish as a result of the
7 attacks. Finally, he incurred significant costs in investigating and remediating the attacks. For
8 example, he spent approximately eighty hours assisting El Faro's investigation into the attacks and
9 taking remedial digital security measures. He spent approximately four to five additional hours
10 notifying contacts and sources that their information had been exposed to Defendants and their
11 clients.

12 136. Overall, the Pegasus attacks caused Plaintiffs serious economic, reputational,
13 professional, psychological, and personal harms, and caused Plaintiffs and El Faro significant
14 losses aggregating over \$5,000 within the year after they learned of the attacks. The attacks have
15 also undermined Plaintiffs' ability to serve as sources of independent journalism in El Salvador
16 and Central America.

17 CAUSES OF ACTION

18 Count I 19 Violations of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act 20 18 U.S.C. § 1030

21 137. As explained above, between June 2020 and November 2021, Defendants
22 repeatedly accessed Plaintiffs' devices, including their cloud-based accounts, without
23 authorization. Each Plaintiff either owned a device targeted in the Pegasus attacks or had a
24 possessory interest in and exclusive right to use a targeted device in connection with their
25 employment with El Faro. These devices also contained Plaintiffs' private information, including
26 private communications, photographs, and writings. The devices are "protected computers" within
27 the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2)(B) because they are "used in or affecting interstate or
28 foreign commerce or communication."

18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)

145. Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A) because they knowingly caused the transmission of a program, information, code, or command to Plaintiffs' devices and, as a result, intentionally damaged those devices without authorization.

146. Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(B) because they intentionally accessed Plaintiffs' devices without authorization and, as a result, recklessly caused damage.

147. Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(C) because they intentionally accessed Plaintiffs' devices without authorization and, as a result, caused damage and loss.

18 U.S.C. § 1030(b)

148. Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1030(b) by conspiring and attempting to commit the violations alleged in the preceding paragraphs.

149. In the alternative, Defendants knowingly and intentionally aided and abetted their clients in the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1030 alleged in the preceding paragraphs.

Count II
Violations of the California Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act
California Penal Code § 502

150. Each Plaintiff either owned a device targeted in the Pegasus attacks or had a possessory interest in and exclusive right to use a targeted device in connection with their employment with El Faro. These devices also contained Plaintiffs' private information, including private communications, photographs, and writings.

151. Defendants violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(1) by knowingly and without permission accessing Plaintiffs' devices and altering, damaging, or using those devices in order to wrongfully control the devices and obtain data from them. Analysis by the Citizen Lab confirmed that data was obtained from at least eleven of Plaintiffs' devices. On information and belief, Defendants and their clients obtained data from all of Plaintiffs' targeted devices, including by accessing information stored on Plaintiffs' cloud-based accounts.

152. Defendants violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(2) by knowingly accessing and without permission taking, copying, and making use of data from Plaintiffs' devices, including data stored on their cloud-based accounts.

1 exfiltrated data from all of Plaintiffs' targeted devices, including by accessing data stored on their
2 cloud-based accounts.

3 165. Defendants' actions would be highly offensive to the reasonable person.

4 166. The Pegasus attacks executed by Defendants and their clients caused Plaintiffs to
5 suffer substantial harms, including the degradation in value of the devices themselves, costs
6 incurred in investigating and remediating the attacks, medical expenses, and emotional distress.

7 **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

8 Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

9 A. Declare that Defendants have:

- 10 i. Violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030;
11 ii. Violated the California Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act,
12 Cal. Penal Code § 502;
13 iii. Trespassed onto Plaintiffs' property in violation of California law; and
14 iv. Intruded upon Plaintiffs' seclusion in violation of California law.

15 B. Enter a permanent injunction restraining Defendants from accessing, attempting to
16 access, or assisting others in accessing or attempting to access, Plaintiffs' devices.

17 C. Enter a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to catalogue all information
18 obtained as a result of the Pegasus attacks on Plaintiffs' devices; to return and then
19 delete all such information in Defendants' possession; to disclose the identities of
20 all persons and/or entities with whom Defendants shared such information, when
21 that information was shared, and under what conditions; and to disclose the
22 identities of all of Defendants' clients who were involved in the attacks on
23 Plaintiffs' devices, including the specific individuals with whom Defendants
24 contracted or coordinated and the specific nature of each individual's involvement.

25 D. Award Plaintiffs compensatory damages, as permitted by law and in such amounts
26 to be proven at trial.

27 E. Award Plaintiffs punitive damages, as permitted by law and in such amounts to be
28 proven at trial.

- 1 F. Award Plaintiffs their reasonable costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this action.
2 G. Grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
3

4 DATED: December 16, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

5 /s/ Paul Hoffman

6 Paul Hoffman #71244
7 John Washington #315991
8 Schonbrun, Seplow, Harris,
9 Hoffman & Zeldes LLP
10 200 Pier Avenue, Suite 226
11 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
12 T: (424) 297-0114
13 F: (310) 399-7040
14 hoffpaul@aol.com

15 /s/ Carrie DeCell

16 Carrie DeCell, *Pro Hac Vice*
17 Jameel Jaffer, *Pro Hac Vice*
18 Alex Abdo, *Pro Hac Vice*
19 Stephanie Krent, *Pro Hac Vice*
20 Evan Welber Falcón, *Pro Hac Vice*
21 Knight First Amendment Institute
22 at Columbia University
23 475 Riverside Drive, Suite 302
24 New York, NY 10115
25 T: (646) 745-8500
26 F: (646) 661-3361
27 carrie.decell@knightcolumbia.org

28 *Counsel for Carlos Dada, Sergio Arauz,
Gabriela Cáceres Gutiérrez, Julia
Gavarrete, Roman Gressier, Gabriel
Labrador, Ana Beatriz Lazo Escobar, Efren
Lemus, Daniel Lizárraga, Carlos López
Salamanca, Carlos Martínez, Óscar
Martínez, María Luz Nóchez, Víctor Peña,
Nelson Rauda Zablah, Daniel Reyes
Martínez, Mauricio Sandoval Soriano, and
José Luis Sanz*