F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.

FIFTH DISTRICT, WISCONSIN

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND SECURITY CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY VICE-CHAIRMAN



## Congress of the United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-4905

December 20, 2016

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

ROOM 2449
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4905
202-225-5101

DISTRICT OFFICE:

120 BISHOPS WAY, ROOM 154 BROOKFIELD, WI 53005-6294 262-784-1111

OUTSIDE MILWAUKEE METRO CALLING AREA: 1–800–242–1119

WEBSITE: HTTP://SENSENBRENNER.HOUSE.GOV

The Honorable Donald Trump President-elect 725 5<sup>th</sup> Ave New York, NY 10022

Dear President-elect Trump,

As you continue to progress with your transition and familiarize yourself with the nearly endless stream of Obama-era regulations, executive orders, and presidential directives, I wanted to highlight one relatively obscure directive that merits attention.

In January, 2014, in the wake of the Snowden leaks and with the intelligence community under intense pressure from critics at home and abroad, President Obama issued Presidential Policy Directive 28 (PPD 28). Properly understood, PPD 28 was a defense of our intelligence services, but it had the added effect of giving confidence to our allies that the United States conducted its intelligence with the utmost respect for Americans and foreigners alike.

In the words of PPD 28:

All persons should be treated with dignity and respect, regardless of their nationality or wherever they might reside, and all persons have legitimate privacy interests in the handling of their personal information. U.S. signals intelligence activities must, therefore, include appropriate safeguards for the personal information of all individuals, regardless of the nationality of the individual to whom the information pertains or where that individual resides.

Despite this promise, in 2015, the European Court of Justice (ECJ) invalidated an agreement we had with the European Union (EU) known as Safe Harbor. The Safe Harbor agreement was, at the time, one of the primary ways that U.S. and EU companies could legally transfer commercial data on EU citizens outside of the EU.

The ECJ's invalidation of the agreement caused significant uncertainty for U.S. businesses operating in Europe, particularly small businesses that could not readily afford to build alternative legal channels for transferring data.

To address this, the U.S. Department of Commerce negotiated a new agreement with the EU, known as the Privacy Shield, to replace the Safe Harbor agreement. The Privacy Shield is in effect, and American companies are increasingly relying on it. The EU Commission has committed to defending the Privacy Shield before the ECJ, but both its ability and willingness to do so depend on the U.S. maintaining the privacy commitments it has made.

Most of those commitments are currently contained in U.S. law. I led reform efforts in Congress and passed the USA FREEDOM Act and the Judicial Redress Act. Both are important foundations for the new Privacy Shield, but so is PPD 28. Over the last four years we have crafted a careful balance that first and foremost protects national security, but does so in a targeted way that respects Constitutional and basic human rights.

Because PPD 28 is a foundation for the Privacy Shield, it is directly tied to U.S. jobs and economic growth. I therefore urge you to retain it. If there are deficiencies in the authorities of our intelligence service, then we will work to address them. I pledge to work with you to ensure that the United States maintains the intelligence capabilities it needs to effectively defend our national security without upsetting the careful balance that has been crafted to protect Constitutional rights and international diplomacy.

Sincerely

F. James Sensenbrenner

Chairman, Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, Homeland Security, and Investigations House Judiciary Committee